

## 20 Lecture 04.11

We commenced our study of the deductive apparatus for polyadic quantification theory expounded in Warren Goldfarb's text *Deductive Logic*. We gave examples of deductions using the rules described on pages 183 – 185 of the text. We began with a simple to deduction to show that if a relation is asymmetric, then it is irreflexive.

$\{(\forall x)(\forall y)(Lxy \supset \neg Lyx)\}$  implies  $(\forall x)\neg Lxx$ .

{1}	(1) $(\forall x)(\forall y)(Lxy \supset \neg Lyx)$	P
{1}	(2) $(\forall y)(Lxy \supset \neg Lyx)$	(1) UI
{1}	(3) $Lxx \supset \neg Lxx$	(2) UI
{1}	(4) $\neg Lxx$	(3) TF
{1}	(5) $(\forall x)\neg Lxx$	(4) UG

We next showed that if a relation is transitive and irreflexive, then it's asymmetric.

$\{(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)(Lxy \supset (Lyz \supset Lxz)), (\forall x)\neg Lxx\}$  implies  
 $(\forall x)(\forall y)(Lxy \supset \neg Lyx)$ .

{1}	(1) $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)(Lxy \supset (Lyz \supset Lxz))$	P
{1}	(2) $(\forall y)(\forall z)(Lxy \supset (Lyz \supset Lxz))$	(1) UI
{1}	(3) $(\forall z)(Lxy \supset (Lyz \supset Lxz))$	(2) UI
{1}	(4) $Lxy \supset (Lyx \supset Lxx)$	(3) UI
{5}	(5) $(\forall x)\neg Lxx$	P
{5}	(6) $\neg Lxx$	(5) UI
{1, 5}	(7) $(Lxy \supset \neg Lyx)$	(4, 6) TF
{1, 5}	(8) $(\forall y)(Lxy \supset \neg Lyx)$	(7) UG
{1, 5}	(9) $(\forall x)(\forall y)(Lxy \supset \neg Lyx)$	(8) UG