

COLLEGE

A Spin-Glass Model for **Semi-Supervised Community Detection** Rachael Mansbach Eric Eaton Bryn Mawr College

Abstract

Current modularity-based community detection metho decreased performance as relational networks become increasingly noisy. These methods also yield a large nur diverse community structures as solutions, which is profor applications that impose constraints on the accepta solutions or in cases where the user is focused on spec communities of interest. To address both of these prob develop a semi-supervised spin-glass model that enabl community detection methods to incorporate backgrou knowledge in the forms of individual labels and pairwis constraints. Unlike current methods, our approach sho performance in the presence of noise in the relational and the ability to guide the discovery process toward s community structures. We evaluate our algorithm on s benchmark networks and a new political sentiment net representing cooperative events between nations that from news articles over six years.

Introduction

- Newman-Girvan graph modularity (Newman 2006) is th foundation for many automatic community detection
- Current modularity-based methods exhibit two key
- 1. the inability to handle noise in the network
- 2. the tendency to admit a large number of high-scoring without a clear optimum (Good et al. 2010)
- We incorporate user guidance into the community process to:
 - augment its performance in noisy networks
 - focus discovery on communities of interest to the user

Background on Newman-Girvan Graph Modu • Relational network given by G = (V, A)V: set of n vertices $A: n \ge n$ adjacency matrix, m • Newman-Girvan (2006) graph modularity Null Model P Modularity (A – **Original A**

Measures the global community structure of a parti

Kronecker delta community of vertex a

 $Q(C) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i,j} (A_{ij} - P_{ij}) \delta(C_i, C_j)$

	From Modularity to Spin-Glass N
tection methods show works become eld a large number of s, which is problematic on the acceptable cused on specific of these problems, we del that enables current orate background els and pairwise approach shows robust the relational network, cess toward specific algorithm on several sentiment network	 Graph modularity is a special case of from statistical mechanics (Reichardt 8 Ground state corresponds to commute Found by minimizing Hamiltonian:
n nations that was mined	Incorporating User Guidance
	Idea: Penalize for communities that
wman 2006) is the unity detection methods hibit two key problems: ork of high-scoring solutions () e community detection	• Penalty measured by a function U : $U(C) = \sum_{i \neq j} \left(u_{ij} \left(1 - \delta(C_i, C_j) \right) + u_{i \neq j} \right)$ same community penalty different community of the different community of the different community $\mathcal{H}'(C) = \mathcal{H}(C) + \mu \sum_{i \neq j} \left(u_{ij} - (u_{ij} - u_{ij}) + u_{ij} \right)$ • Guidance can be incorporated direct $\mathcal{H}'(C) = \mathcal{H}(C) + \mu \sum_{i \neq j} \left(u_{ij} - (u_{ij} - u_{ij}) + u_{ij} \right)$ • Re-deriving graph modularity yields $Q'(C) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i \neq j} \left(A_{ij} - \left(\frac{d_i d_j}{2m} - \mu \right) + u_{ij} \right)$ new null provide the direct of the di
fraph Modularity ncy matrix, m total edges ty Modularity (A – P) ture of a partitioning C: $P_{ij} = \frac{d_i d_j}{2}$	Forms of Guidance • Individual labels: same labels imply $u_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } \text{label}_i = \text{label}_j \neq UNK \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ • Pairwise must-link or cannot-link corror • Intuitive form of user guidance from
2m	• Penalties given by $u_{ij} = \alpha_1 w_{ij}$



This research was supported by ONR grant #N00014-10-C-0192