Selective Transfer Between Learning Tasks Using Task-Based Boosting Supplementary Materials

Eric Eaton Bryn Mawr College Computer Science Department Bryn Mawr, PA USA eeaton@cs.brynmawr.edu Marie desJardins

University of Maryland Baltimore County Department of Computer Science and Electrical Engineering Baltimore, MD USA mariedj@umbc.edu

This supplement to the paper "Selective Transfer Between Learning Tasks Using Task-Based Boosting," which appeared in *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* (AAAI'11), provides the proof to Theorem 1 stated in the paper:

Theorem 1. The training error $\epsilon_T = \frac{1}{|T|} |\{j : H(x_j) \neq y_j\}|$ on the target task for TransferBoost is bounded by

$$\epsilon_T \le \frac{|D|}{|T|} \prod_{t=1}^K Z_t \left(\sum_{j \in T} w_{K+1}(x_j) \right)$$

Proof.

This proof generally follows Schapire and Singer's (1998) method for bounding AdaBoost's training error.

Let $f(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{K} \beta_t h_t(x)$, so that H(x) = sign(f(x)). The update rule (Algorithm 1, line 7) can be unraveled to determine the instance weights after the last boosting iteration K. Let $S_0 = T$ and $\alpha_t^0 = 0$. The update rule can be concisely rewritten for $x_j \in S_i$ as:

$$w_{t+1}(x_j) = \frac{w_t(x_j) \exp(-\beta_t y_j h_t(x_j) + \alpha_t^i)}{Z_t} \quad . \tag{1}$$

Repeatedly applying the update rule for t = 1, ..., K yields

$$w_{K+1}(x_j) = w_0(x_j) \prod_{t=1}^{K} \frac{\exp\left(-\beta_t y_j h_t(x_j) + \alpha_t^i\right)}{Z_t}$$

= $\frac{\exp\left(-\sum_{t=1}^{K} \beta_t y_j h_t(x_j) + \sum_{t=1}^{K} \alpha_t^i\right)}{|D| \prod_{t=1}^{K} Z_t}$
= $\frac{\exp\left(-y_j f(x_j)\right) \exp\left(\sum_{t=1}^{K} \alpha_t^i\right)}{|D| \prod_{t=1}^{K} Z_t}$.

Copyright © 2011, Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (www.aaai.org). All rights reserved.

It follows that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j \in S_i} \exp\left(-y_j f(x_j)\right) \exp\left(\sum_{t=1}^{K} \alpha_t^i\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j \in S_i} |D| w_{K+1}(x_j) \prod_{t=1}^{K} Z_t$$
$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} \exp\left(\sum_{t=1}^{K} \alpha_t^i\right) \sum_{j \in S_i} \exp\left(-y_j f(x_j)\right)$$
$$= |D| \prod_{t=1}^{K} Z_t \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j \in S_i} w_{K+1}(x_j)\right)$$
$$= |D| \prod_{t=1}^{K} Z_t(1) .$$

Expanding the LHS and subtracting the portion due to the source tasks yields

$$\sum_{j \in T} \exp\left(-y_j f(x_j)\right) = |D| \prod_{t=1}^{K} Z_t$$
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \exp\left(\sum_{t=1}^{K} \alpha_t^i\right) \sum_{j \in S_i} \exp\left(-y_j f(x_j)\right) \quad . \quad (2)$$

Schapire and Singer (1998) note that $\llbracket H(x_j) \neq y_j \rrbracket \leq \exp(-y_j f(x_j))$, where $\llbracket \pi \rrbracket$ is 1 if predicate π holds and 0 otherwise (since $H(x_j) \neq y_j \Rightarrow y_j f(x_j) \leq 0 \Rightarrow exp(-y_j f(x_i)) \geq 1$). Since $\exp(\sum_{t=1}^{K} \alpha_t^i) \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\llbracket H(x_j) \neq y_j \rrbracket \leq \exp(-y_j f(x_j))$$
$$\sum_{j \in T} \llbracket H(x_j) \neq y_j \rrbracket \leq \sum_{j \in T} \exp(-y_j f(x_j)) \quad . \tag{3}$$

By combining Equations 2 and 3,

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j \in T} \llbracket H(x_j) \neq y_j \rrbracket \\ &\leq |D| \prod_{t=1}^K Z_t - \sum_{i=1}^K \exp\left(\sum_{t=1}^K \alpha_t^i\right) \sum_{j \in S_i} \exp\left(-y_j f(x_j)\right) \\ &\leq |D| \prod_{t=1}^K Z_t - \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j \in S_i} \left(|D| w_{K+1}(x_j) \prod_{t=1}^K Z_t\right) \\ &\leq |D| \prod_{t=1}^K Z_t - |D| \prod_{t=1}^K Z_t \left(\sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j \in S_i} w_{K+1}(x_j)\right) \\ &\leq |D| \prod_{t=1}^K Z_t \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j \in S_i} w_{K+1}(x_j)\right) \\ &\leq |D| \prod_{t=1}^K Z_t \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j \in S_i} w_{K+1}(x_j)\right) \\ & \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{j \in T} \llbracket H(x_j) \neq y_j \rrbracket \leq \frac{|D|}{|T|} \prod_{t=1}^K Z_t \left(\sum_{j \in T} w_{K+1}(x_j)\right) . \end{split}$$

The theorem follows directly.

References

Schapire, R., and Singer, Y. 1998. Improved boosting algorithms using confidence-rated predictions. *COLT*, 80–91.