

# Unit Testing Code

# Testing a unit of code

```
int findMax(int a, int b, int c) {  
    if (a > b) {  
        if (a > c) return a;  
        else return c;  
    }  
    else {  
        if (b > c) return b;  
        else return a;           // should be c  
    }  
}
```

# Testing a unit of code

```
int findMax(int a, int b, int c) {  
    if (a > b) {  
        if (a > c) return a;  
        else return c;  
    }  
    else {  
        if (b > c) return b;  
        else return a;           // should be c  
    }  
}
```

Identify:

1. **INPUT**, possibly including any state variables
2. Generate, manually or through means **OUTSIDE** of your code an **EXPECTED OUTPUT**
3. Executed code to get an **ACTUAL OUTPUT**

# Test Case

- An Input
  - An EXPECTED output
  - And an ACTUAL output.
- 
- If an expected output doesn't match the actual output, one of the two is wrong
    - Usually, but not necessarily, the actual output is wrong

# Testing a unit of code

```
int findMax(int a, int b, int c) {  
    if (a > b) {  
        if (a > c) return a;  
        else return c;  
    }  
    else {  
        if (b > c) return b;  
        else return a;           // should be c  
    }  
}
```

Test Case #1: Input = {3,2,1}; Expected output = 3; Actual output = 3

**PASS!!!**

Test Case #2: Input = {1,2,3}; Expected output = 3; Actual output = 1

**FAIL!!!**

# Testing is like potato chips

- They both contribute to my overall poor health
- Additionally, you can't have just one
  - One test passing may have no bearing on another test passing

# Why does Test 1 Pass and Not Test 2

- Test 1 does not cover/execute the underlying **FAULT** in the code.
- A fault is a static defect in the code, or “bug”

Test Case #1: Input = {3,2,1}; Expected output = 3; Actual output = 3

**PASS!!!**

Test Case #2: Input = {1,2,3}; Expected output = 3; Actual output = 1

**FAIL!!!**

# JUnit

- An automatic testing tool that allows you to write tests once and continue to use them again and again
- In this way, if you change something later that breaks code that worked previously, you will immediately know because your tests fail
- Technically not built into Java



# Import Statements

Start all Test files with the two important statements below.

```
Counter.java CounterTest.java x
1 import static org.junit.Assert.*;
2
3 import org.junit.*;
4
5 public class CounterTest {
6
7     @Test
8     public void test() {
9         fail("Not yet implemented");
10    }
```

# Writing a test

```
@Test //This must be before every test function
public void testFindMax0() { //Notice – no static keyword
    //inputs
    int a = 3;
    int b = 2;
    int c = 1;
    //expected – generated manually
    int expected = 3;
    //actual – Execute the code with the above input
    int actual = max(a, b, c);
    //Assertion – if the two things below aren't equal, the
    //                test fails. Always put expected argument first.
    assertEquals(expected, actual).
}
```

# Writing

This is not optional!

```
@Test //This must be before every test function
public void testFindMax0() { //Notice – no static keyword
    //inputs
    int a = 3;
    int b = 2;
    int c = 1;
    //expected – generated manually
    int expected = 3;
    //actual – Execute the code with the above input
    int actual = max(a, b, c);
    //Assertion – if the two things below aren't equal, the
    //                test fails. Always put expected argument first.
    assertEquals(expected, actual).
}
```

Write

This is not optional!

@Test

```
public void testFindMax0() {  
    //have a error message if test fails  
    String message = "ERROR: findMax(3,2,1) returned an  
        incorrect result";  
    int expected = 3; //you manually find and enter this  
    int actual = findMax(3,2,1); //generated by your code  
    assertEquals(message, expected, actual); //the test  
}
```

# What a test failing means

- A test failing doesn't always mean the code has a bug
  - The test could be written wrong (that is, the test writer came up with the wrong expected output)
- A test passing doesn't mean there is no bug
  - The test code not execute a buggy statement
  - The test could execute a buggy statement in a way that a failure doesn't manifest

# Consider these test cases

```
int findMax(int a, int b, int c) {  
    if (a > b) {  
        if (a > c) return a;  
        else return c;  
    }  
    else {  
        if (b > c) return b;  
        else return a;           // should be c  
    }  
}
```

Test Case #3: Input = {1,1,1}; Expected output = 1; Actual output = 1

**PASS!!!**

Test Case #4: Input = {4,5,6}; **Expected output = 4**; Actual output = 4

**PASS!!!**

# Consider these test cases

- Covering the fault doesn't mean your test will fail.
- Your test could be erroneous!

Test Case #3: Input = {1,1,1}; Expected output = 1; Actual output = 1

PASS!!!

Test Case #4: Input = {4,5,6}; **Expected output = 4**; Actual output = 4

PASS!!!

# False positive

- If your test is erroneous, you could get a false positive.
- This test DOESN'T cover the fault, but still fails, due to erroneous testing

Test Case #4: Input = {9,8,7}; **Expected output = 7**; Actual output = 9

**FAIL!!!**



# Testing Strategies

- Exhaustive Testing
  - Attempt a test with every possible input
  - Not even remotely feasible in most cases
- Random Testing
  - Select random inputs
  - Likely to miss narrow inputs that are special cases (example, dividing by zero)

# Testing Strategies

- Black-box Testing
  - Select inputs based on the specification space
  - “Assume the code can’t be seen”
  - *We focus on this one*
- White-box Testing
  - Select inputs based on the code itself
  - Have every line of code covered by at least one test

# The need for automatic testing

- Automatic testing (such as JUnit) allows for testing rapidly after each update
- If an update breaks a test, a commit can be rejected
- Ensure you don't break something that already worked
  - Not fool proof

# Black-Box Testing Exercise

- Write tests based on the specification.
- Identify “spaces” of solutions that should behave similarly
  - Equivalence partitioning (spaces that “behave” the same)
- Identify “edge cases”

# Example, Power Function

- What are the spaces of inputs?
- What are the edge cases?
- What tests should we write?

# Additional In-Class Exercise

```
public double calculateBill (  
    int credits, double overdue,  
    boolean exempt)
```

- total is:
  - 8000/credit if less than 3 credits
  - 6000/credit if 3-6 credits
  - 5500/credit if more than 6 credits
- increase total by 10% if overdue is more than 2000
- increase overdue by 10% if exempt is false
- return sum of total and overdue

# Black-Box Testing

- If we have a test for 4 credits, do we also need to test 5?
- If we have a test for 8 credits, do we also need to test 10?
- If we have a test for overdue = 2500, do we need one for 3000?

# Equivalence Partitioning

- Assumption: “Similar” inputs, relative to the spec, behave similarly.
- Therefore, divide the space of inputs into similar groups and pick a representative example