Advanced Programming Handout 5

Purely Functional Data Structures: A Case Study in Functional Programming

Persistent vs. Ephemeral

- An ephemeral data structure is one for which only one version is available at a time: after an update operation, the structure as it existed before the update is lost.
- A persistent structure is one where multiple versions are simultaneously accessible: after an update, both old and new versions can be used.

Persistent vs. Ephemeral

- In imperative languages, most data structures are ephemeral.
 - It is generally accepted that persistent variants, when they are possible at all, will be more complex to code and asymptotically less efficient.
- In purely functional languages like Haskell, all data structures are persistent!
 - Since there is no assignment, there is no way to destroy old information. When we are done with it, we just drop it on the floor and let the garbage collector take care of it.
- So one might worry that efficient data structures might be hard or even impossible to code in Haskell.

Enter Okasaki

- Interestingly, it turns out that many common data structures have purely functional variants that are easy to understand and have exacly the same asymptotic efficiency as their imperative, ephemeral variants.
- These structures have been explored in an influential book, *Purely Functional Data Structures*, by Chris Okasaki, and in a long series of research papers by Okasaki and others.

Simple Example

To get started, let's take a quite simple trick that was known to functional programmers long before Okasaki...

Functional Queues

A queue (of values of type a) is a structure supporting the following operations:

> enqueue :: a -> Queue a -> Queue a dequeue :: Queue a -> (a, Queue a)

We expect that each operation should run in O(1) --- i.e., constant --- time, no matter the size of the queue.

Naive Implementation

- A queue of values of type a is just a list of as: type Queue a = [a]
- To dequeue the first element of the queue, use the head and tail operators on lists: dequeue q = (head q, tail q)
- To enqueue an element, append it to the end of the list:

enqueue e q = q ++ [e]

Naive Implementation

This works, but the efficiency of enqueue is disappointing: each enqueue requires O(n) cons operations!

> Of course, cons operations are not the only things that take time! But counting just conses actually yields a pretty good estimate of the (asymptotic) wall-clock efficiency of programs. Here, it is certainly clear that the real efficiency can be no *better* than O(n).

Better Implementation

- Idea:
 - Represent a queue using two lists:
 - 1. the "front part" of the queue
 - 2. the "back part" of the queue in reverse order
 - E.g.:
 - ([1,2,3],[7,6,5,4]) represents the queue with elements 1,2,3,4,5,6,7
 - ([],[3,2,1]) and ([1,2,3],[]) both represent the queue with elements 1,2,3

Better Implementation

- To enqueue an element, just cons it onto the back list.
- To dequeue an element, just remove it from the front list...
- …unless the front list is empty, in which case we reverse the back list and use it as the front list from now on.

Better Implementation

data Queue a = Queue [a] [a]

enqueue :: a -> Queue a -> Queue a
enqueue e (Queue front back) =
Queue front (e:back)

dequeue :: Queue a -> (a, Queue a)
dequeue (Queue (e:front) back) =
 (e, (Queue front back))
dequeue (Queue [] back) =
 dequeue (Queue (reverse back) [])

Efficiency

Intuition: a dequeue may require O(n) cons operations (to reverse the back list), but this cannot happen too often.

Efficiency

In more detail:

- Note that each element can participate in <u>at most</u> one list reversal during its "lifetime" in the queue.
- When an element is enqueued, we can "charge two tokens" for two cons operations. One of these is performed immediately; the other we put "in the bank."
- At every moment, the number of tokens in the bank is equal to the length of the back list.
- When we find we need to reverse the back list to perform a dequeue, we will always have just enough tokens in the bank to pay for all of the cons operations involved.

Efficiency

- So we can say that the *amortized cost* of each enqueue operation is two conses.
- The amortized cost of each dequeue is zero (i.e., no conses --- just some pointer manipulation).

Caveat: This efficiency argument is somewhat rough and ready --- it is intended just to give an intuition for what is going on. Making everything precise requires more work, especially in a lazy language.

Moral

We can implement a persistent queue data structure whose operations have the same (asymptotic, amortized) efficiency as the standard (ephemeral, doublepointer) imperative implementation.

Binary Search Trees

Suppose we want to implement a type Set a supporting the following operations:

empty :: Set a
member :: Ord a => a -> Set a -> Bool
insert :: Ord a => a -> Set a -> Set a

One very simple implementation for sets is in terms of binary search trees...

Binary Search Trees

Quick Digression on Patterns

The insert function is a little hard to read because it is not immediately obvious that the phrase "T a y b" in the body just means "return the input."

Quick Digression on Patterns

Haskell provides @-patterns for such situations.

The pattern "t@(T a y b)" means "check that the input value was constructed with a T, bind its parts to a, y, and b, and <u>additionally</u> let t stand for the whole input value in what follows..."

Balanced Trees

- If our sets grow large, we may find that the simple binary tree implementation is not fast enough: in the worse case, each insert or member operation may take O(n) time!
- We can do much better by keeping the trees <u>balanced</u>.
- There are many ways of doing this. Let's look at one fairly simple (but still very fast) one that you have probably seen before in an imperative setting: red-black trees.

Red-Black Trees

A red-black tree is a binary search tree where every node is additionally marked with a color (red or black) and in which the following invariants are maintained...

The empty nodes at the leaves are considered black.



The root is always black.



- From each node, every path to a leaf has the same number of black nodes.
- Red nodes have black children



- Together, these invariants imply that every redblack tree is "approximately balanced," in the sense that the longest path to an empty node is no more than twice the length of the shortest.
- From this, it follows that all operations will run in O(log₂ n) time.

Now let's look at the details...

Type Declaration

The data declaration is a straightforward modification of the one for unbalanced trees:

```
data Color = R | B
data RedBlackSet a =
    E
    I T Color
      (RedBlackSet a)
      a
      (RedBlackSet a)
```

Membership

The empty tree is the same as before. Membership testing requires just a trivial change.

empty = E



Insertion is more interesting...

Insertion is implemented in terms of a recursive auxiliary function ins, which walks down the tree until it either gets to an empty leaf node, in which case it constructs a new (red) node containing the value being inserted...

ins E = T R E x E

In or discovers that the value being inserted is already in the tree, in which case it returns the input unchanged:

```
ins s@(T color a y b)
    | x < y = ...
    | x > y = ...
    | True = s
```

The recursive cases are where the real work happens...

In the recursive case, ins determines whether the new value belongs in the left or right subtree, makes a recursive call to insert it there, and rebuilds the current node with the new subtree.

```
ins s@(T color a y b)
  | x < y = ... (T color (ins a) y b)
  | x > y = ... (T color a y (ins b))
  | True = s
```

Before returning it, however, we may need to <u>rebalance</u> to maintain the red-black invariants. The code to do this is encapsulated in a helper function balance.

```
ins s@(T color a y b)
  | x < y = balance (T color (ins a) y b)
  | x > y = balance (T color a y (ins b))
  | True = s
```

- The key insight in writing the balancing function is that we do not try to rebalance as soon as we see a red node with a red child. Instead, we return this tree as-is and wait until we are called with the black parent of this node.
- I.e., the job of the balance function is to rebalance trees with a black-red-red path starting at the root.
- Since the root has two children and four grandchildren, there are four ways in which such a path can happen.













All that remains is to turn these pictures into code...

balance	(T B (T R (T R a x b) y c) z d)
	= T R (T B a x b) y (T B c z d)
balance	(T B (T R a x (T R b y c)) z d)
	= T R (T B a x b) y (T B c z d)
balance	(T B a x (T R (T R b y c) z d))
	= T R (T B a x b) y (T B c z d)
balance	(T B a x (T R b y (T R c z d)))
	= T R (T B a x b) y (T B c z d)
balance	t = t

One Final Detail

- Since we only rebalance black nodes with red children and grandchildren, it is possible that the ins function could return a red node with a red child as its final result.
- We can fix this by forcing the root node of the returned tree to be black, regardless of the color returned by ins.

Final Version

```
insert x t = makeRootBlack (ins t)
where
ins E = T R E x E
ins s@(T color a y b)
| x < y = balance (T color (ins a) y b)
| x > y = balance (T color a y (ins b))
| True = s
makeRootBlack (T _ a y b) = T B a y b
```

The Whole Banana

```
data Color = R \mid B
data RedBlackSet a = E | T Color (RedBlackSet a) a (RedBlackSet a)
empty = E
member x E = False
member x (T _ a y b)
   | x < y = member x a
   | x > y = member x b
   | otherwise = True
balance (T B (T R (T R a x b) y c) z d) = T R (T B a x b) y (T B c z d)
balance (T B (T R a x (T R b y c)) z d) = T R (T B a x b) y (T B c z d)
balance (T B a x (T R (T R b y c) z d)) = T R (T B a x b) y (T B c z d)
balance (T B a x (T R b y (T R c z d))) = T R (T B a x b) y (T B c z d)
balance t = t
insert x t = colorRootBlack (ins t)
  where
    ins E = T R E x E
    ins s@(T color a y b)
      | x < y = balance (T color (ins a) y b)
      | x > y = balance (T color a y (ins b))
      | otherwise = s
    colorRootBlack (T a y b) = T B a y b
```

For Comparison...

/* This function can be called only if K2 has a left child */ /* Perform a rotate between a node (K2) and its left child */ /* Update heights, then return new root */

static Position SingleRotateWithLeft(Position K2)

Position K1;

K1 = K2->Left; K2->Left = K1->Right; K1->Right = K2;

return K1; /* New root */

/* This function can be called only if K1 has a right child */ /* Perform a rotate between a node (K1) and its right child */ /* Update heights, then return new root */

static Position
SingleRotateWithRight(Position K1)
{

Position K2;

K2 = K1->Right; K1->Right = K2->Left; K2->Left = K1;

return K2; /* New root */

/* Perform a rotation at node X */ /* (whose parent is passed as a parameter) */ /* The child is deduced by examining Item */

static Position Rotate(ElementType Item, Position Parent)

if(Item < Parent->Element)
 return Parent->Left = Item < Parent->Left->Element ?
 SingleRotateWithLeft(Parent->Left) :
 SingleRotateWithRight(Parent->Left);
else
 return Parent->Right = Item < Parent->Right->Element ?

SingleRotateWithLeft(Parent->Right) : SingleRotateWithRight(Parent->Right); static Position X, P, GP, GGP;

static void HandleReorient(ElementType Item, RedBlackTree T) { X->Color = Red; /* Do the color flip */ X->Left->Color = Black; X->Right->Color = Black;

if(P->Color == Red) /* Have to rotate */

GP->Color = Red; if((Item < GP->Element) != (Item < P->Element)) P = Rotate(Item, GP); /* Start double rotate */ X = Rotate(Item, GGP); X->Color = Black;

T->Right->Color = Black; /* Make root black */

RedBlackTree Insert(ElementType Item, RedBlackTree T)

X = P = GP = T; NullNode->Element = Item; while(X->Element != Item) /* Descend down the tree */

GGP = GP; GP = P; P = X; if(Item < X->Element) X = X->Left; else X = X->Right; if(X->Left->Color == Red && X->Right->Color == Red) HandleReorient(Item, T);

if(X != NullNode) return NullNode; /* Duplicate */

X = malloc(sizeof(struct RedBlackNode)); if(X == NULL) FatalError("Out of space!!!"); X->Element = Item; X->Left = X->Right = NullNode;

if(Item < P->Element) /* Attach to its parent */ P->Left = X; else P->Right = X; HandleReorient(Item, T); /* Color it red; maybe rotate */

return T;

}