CIS552: Advanced Programming Handout 10

QuickCheck

Overview

QuickCheck is a lightweight tool for random testing of Haskell programs, developed by Koen Claessen and John Hughes.

- Based on specifications of desired properties, expressed as Haskell functions
- Properties are verified on randomly generated test data.
- The class system is used in clever ways to make everything look simple.

A Simple Property of Lists

```
prop_RevApp :: [Int] -> [Int] -> Bool
prop_RevApp xs ys =
  reverse (xs ++ ys) == reverse ys ++ reverse xs
```

A Simple Property of Lists

A Simple Property of Lists

```
prop_RevApp :: [Int] -> [Int] -> Bool
prop_RevApp xs ys =
  reverse (xs ++ ys) == reverse ys ++ reverse xs
```

Prelude Main> Quickcheck.quickCheck prop_RevApp OK, passed 100 tests.

```
prop_RevApp :: [Int] -> [Int] -> Bool
prop_RevApp xs ys =
  reverse (xs ++ ys) == reverse ys ++ reverse xs
```

Prelude Main> Quickcheck.quickCheck prop_RevApp OK, passed 100 tests.

N.b.: the type declaration on the property is required here, because we need to restrict its type to a particular instance — only monomorphic properties can be checked by QuickCheck.

A Bad Property

A Bad Property

Suppose we mess up the specification:

```
prop_BadRevApp :: [Int] -> [Int] -> Bool
prop_BadRevApp xs ys =
  reverse (xs ++ ys) == reverse xs ++ reverse ys
```

Suppose we mess up the specification:

```
prop_BadRevApp :: [Int] -> [Int] -> Bool
prop_BadRevApp xs ys =
    reverse (xs ++ ys) == reverse xs ++ reverse ys

Prelude Main> Quickcheck.quickCheck prop_BadRevApp
Falsifiable, after 4 tests:
[-3,-4,-4]
[-4,-1,1,1]
```

Conditional Properties

Conditional Properties

Many properties are not true universally (for all inputs of appropriate types), but only for inputs satisfying some conditions.

Prelude Main> Quickcheck.quickCheck prop_BadIns
Falsifiable, after 9 tests:
4
[5,-3]

Conditional Properties

Conditional Properties

We can make a property conditional by writing it as <condition> ==> <pre

```
prop_Ins :: Int -> [Int] -> Property
prop_Ins a as = (ordered as) ==> (ordered (ins a as))
```

Prelude Main> Quickcheck.quickCheck prop_Ins OK, passed 100 tests.

We can make a property conditional by writing it as <condition> ==> condition> ==> :

```
prop_Ins :: Int -> [Int] -> Property
prop_Ins a as = (ordered as) ==> (ordered (ins a as))
```

Prelude Main> Quickcheck.quickCheck prop_Ins OK, passed 100 tests.

Note that the result type of property. This is because the "testing semantics" of conditional properties is a little more tricky than for simple properties.

A Pitfall of Conditional Properties

```
QuickCheck provides combinators for investigating the distribution
```

```
insWrong :: Ord a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]
insWrong a [] = [a]
insWrong a as
  | (length as) == 6 = as ++ [a]
  otherwise
                     = ins a as
prop_InsWrong :: Int -> [Int] -> Property
prop_InsWrong a as =
  (ordered as) ==> (ordered (insWrong a as))
```

Prelude Main> Quickcheck.quickCheck prop_InsWrong

of test cases.

What Went Wrong?

```
collect :: Show a => a -> Property -> Property
classify :: Bool -> String -> Property -> Property
trivial :: Bool -> Property -> Property
```

To see information about distribution, use verboseCheck instead of quickCheck.

```
prop_InsWrong' :: Int -> [Int] -> Property
prop_InsWrong' a as =
 (ordered as) ==>
   collect (length as) $
   classify (ordered (a:as)) "at-head" $
   classify (ordered (as++[a])) "at-tail" $
```

(ordered (insWrong a as))

OK, passed 100 tests.

```
Prelude Main> Quickcheck.verboseCheck prop_InsWrong'
OK, passed 100 tests.
42% 0, at-head, at-tail.
12% 1, at-tail.
11% 2, at-tail.
9% 2, at-head.
7% 2.
7% 1, at-head.
6% 1, at-head, at-tail.
2% 3, at-tail.
2% 3.
1% 4, at-head.
1% 3, at-head.
```

Fixing the distribution — First try

Fixing the distribution — First try

We can try to fix the distribution by adding another condition:

```
prop_InsWrong'' :: Int -> [Int] -> Property
prop_InsWrong'' a as =
  (ordered as) && (length as >= 5) ==>
    (ordered (insWrong a as))
```

We can try to fix the distribution by adding another condition:

```
prop_InsWrong'' :: Int -> [Int] -> Property
prop_InsWrong'' a as =
  (ordered as) && (length as >= 5) ==>
    (ordered (insWrong a as))
```

However:

Prelude Main> Quickcheck.quickCheck prop_InsWrong'' Arguments exhausted after 0 tests.

Generating Random Test Data

Generating Random Test Data

```
class Arbitrary a where
  arbitrary :: Gen a
```

```
class Arbitrary a where
  arbitrary :: Gen a
```

QuickCheck provides generators for most base types such as Int, Char, Float, and lists.

QuickCheck also provides combinators for building custom generators...

Generating Random Test Data

Generating Random Test Data

```
newtype Gen a = Gen (Rand -> a)
-- (roughly!)

choose :: (Int,Int) -> Gen Int

oneof :: [Gen a] -> Gen a
oneof [return Heads, return Tails]

frequency :: [(Int, Gen a)] -> Gen a
frequency [(1, return Heads), (2, return Tails)]

etc...
```

N.b.: The returns here are because Gen is a monad.

We can use these primitives to build generators for a variety of types. E.g. \dots

(Actually, both of these are predefined.)

A Custom Generator for Ordered Lists

Using Custom Generators

The forAll combinator uses a specified custom generator instead of the default one.

```
prop_InsWrong''' :: Int -> Property
prop_InsWrong''' a =
   forAll orderedList $ \ as -> ordered (insWrong a as)

Prelude Main> Quickcheck.quickCheck prop_InsWrong'''
Falsifiable, after 19 tests:
0
[-5,0,3,5,7,8]
```

Whew.

Generators for Recursive Types

Generators for Recursive Types

Here is a naive definition of arbitrary lists:

Why is this not what we want?

Here is a naive definition of arbitrary lists:

Why is this not what we want?

Better:

Generators for Trees

Generators for Trees

However, in some cases we need to be even more careful...

```
data Tree a = Leaf a | Branch (Tree a) (Tree a)
  deriving Show

instance Arbitrary a => Arbitrary (Tree a) where
  arbitrary =
    frequency
    [(1, liftM Leaf arbitrary),
        (2, liftM2 Branch arbitrary arbitrary)]
```

What goes wrong?

However, in some cases we need to be even more careful...

```
data Tree a = Leaf a | Branch (Tree a) (Tree a)
  deriving Show

instance Arbitrary a => Arbitrary (Tree a) where
  arbitrary =
    frequency
    [(1, liftM Leaf arbitrary),
        (2, liftM2 Branch arbitrary arbitrary)]
```

What goes wrong?

Prelude Main> Quickcheck.quickCheck prop_SomeTreeProperty Stack space overflow: current size 1048576 bytes.

The Problem

Sized Generators

Given our definition, an arbitrary tree has only a 50% chance of being finite!

Intuition: If the first few choices yield Branches, then the only way for the tree to be finite is for many subtrees to choose (with 1/3 probability each time) to be leaves.

We need to be able to control the size of the generated data.

This is accomplished by changing the definition of the Gen monad:

```
newtype Gen a = Gen (Int -> Rand -> a)
```

Sized Generators

Using Sized Generators

The <u>sized</u> combinator allows the programmer to access the "current size bound."

```
instance Arbitrary a => Arbitrary (Tree a) where
  arbitrary = sized arbTree

arbTree 0 = liftM Leaf arbitrary
arbTree n =
   frequency
   [(1, liftM Leaf arbitrary),
        (4, liftM2 Branch (arbTree (n 'div' 2)))]
        (arbTree (n 'div' 2)))]
```

The Gen Monad

Generating Random Functions

Since Haskell encourages higher-order programming, we may well want to use QuickCheck to test functions that take other functions as parameters.

To do so, we need to be able to generate random functions. Surprisingly, this is possible.

Intuition

Promote

We want to build a function generator of type Gen (a->b). Unpacking the definition of Gen, we find that this is Int->Rand->a->b.

But this type is isomorphic to a->Int->Rand->b, which is the representation of a -> Gen b.

I.e., we can define a function

We can now use promote to build a generator for a function type a->b, given a function that takes an a and uses it to construct a b generator that depends in some way on the a argument.

Where do such functions come from?

Arbitrary Class, Take 2

Generating Random Functions

```
class Arbitrary a where
  arbitrary :: Gen a
  coarbitrary :: a -> Gen b -> Gen b
```

l.e., coarbitrary takes a value of a and yields a generator transformer that takes a b generator and yields a new b generator whose behavior depends on the a argument.

We can now use arbitrary and coarbitrary, together with promote, to generate random functions as needed:

```
instance (Arbitrary a, Arbitrary b) => Arbitrary (a -> b)
where
    arbitrary = promote ('coarbitrary' arbitrary)
    coarbitrary f gen = ...later...
```

All we need to do now is to define appropriate coarbitrary functions for each instance of the Arbitrary class.

Defining coarbitrary

coarbitrary for Booleans

Recall that all our generators were ultimately based on the **choose** function (which generates uniformly distributed integers from a given range).

Similarly, the foundation of all our generator transformers is a function

```
variant :: Int -> Gen a -> Gen a
```

defined in such a way that

```
(variant i1 . variant i2 . \cdots . variant im) g \neq (variant j1 . variant j2 . \cdots . variant jn) g (with high probability) whenever [i1,...,im] \neq [j1,...,jn].
```

instance Arbitrary Bool where
 arbitrary = ...
coarbitrary b = if b then variant 0 else variant 1

coarbitrary for Ints

coarbitrary for pairs

```
instance (Arbitrary a, Arbitrary b) => Arbitrary (a, b)
where
    arbitrary = ...
    coarbitrary (a, b) = coarbitrary a . coarbitrary b
```

Note how function composition (.) is used to combine the generator transformers for types ${\tt a}$ and ${\tt b}$.

coarbitrary for lists

Defining variant

The same idea can be applied to lists:

Here is the actual definition of the variant function:

coarbitrary for functions

Closing Thoughts

All we need to do now is to define appropriate coarbitrary functions for each instance of the Arbitrary class.

- Thinking about properties (specifications) of functions is useful even when no errors are found by testing them.
- Indeed, many users report that, when errors are found by QuickCheck, they are just as often errors in the properties as in the code!
- The properties make excellent documentation, in part because they can be re-verified automatically as part of regression testing.

Acknowledgment

These slides are partly based on a nice presentation of QuickCheck by Jue Wang.