

Posted Pricings and Prophet Inequalities

Aaron Roth

University of Pennsylvania

April 6 2021

Overview

- ▶ We've seen (if we know the valuation distributions) how to maximize social welfare and revenue with an auction.

Overview

- ▶ We've seen (if we know the valuation distributions) how to maximize social welfare and revenue with an auction.
- ▶ But auctions are difficult to run. They require e.g. all participants to be present and coordinating.

Overview

- ▶ We've seen (if we know the valuation distributions) how to maximize social welfare and revenue with an auction.
- ▶ But auctions are difficult to run. They require e.g. all participants to be present and coordinating.
- ▶ Many things are instead sold via posted prices.

Overview

- ▶ We've seen (if we know the valuation distributions) how to maximize social welfare and revenue with an auction.
- ▶ But auctions are difficult to run. They require e.g. all participants to be present and coordinating.
- ▶ Many things are instead sold via posted prices.
- ▶ This lecture: How to approximate the welfare and revenue of the optimal auction with posted prices

Pricing for a single item (e.g. a car)

A Model:

- ▶ k recognizable *types* of buyers (based on demographics, purchase history, or anything else).

Pricing for a single item (e.g. a car)

A Model:

- ▶ k recognizable *types* of buyers (based on demographics, purchase history, or anything else).
- ▶ Buyers of type i have valuation $v_i \sim D_i$, where D_i regular.

Pricing for a single item (e.g. a car)

A Model:

- ▶ k recognizable *types* of buyers (based on demographics, purchase history, or anything else).
- ▶ Buyers of type i have valuation $v_i \sim D_i$, where D_i regular.
- ▶ Buyers arrive one at a time until the item is sold.

Pricing for a single item (e.g. a car)

A Model:

- ▶ k recognizable *types* of buyers (based on demographics, purchase history, or anything else).
- ▶ Buyers of type i have valuation $v_i \sim D_i$, where D_i regular.
- ▶ Buyers arrive one at a time until the item is sold.
- ▶ Buyers of type i face price p_i . If $v_i \geq p_i$ they buy the item, and we get revenue p_i . Otherwise they pass.

Pricing for a single item (e.g. a car)

A Model:

- ▶ k recognizable *types* of buyers (based on demographics, purchase history, or anything else).
- ▶ Buyers of type i have valuation $v_i \sim D_i$, where D_i regular.
- ▶ Buyers arrive one at a time until the item is sold.
- ▶ Buyers of type i face price p_i . If $v_i \geq p_i$ they buy the item, and we get revenue p_i . Otherwise they pass.

Are there choices of p_i that allow us to approximate the welfare or revenue of the optimal auction?

Prophets and Profits (an Interlude)

Consider the following game:

- ▶ In each of n steps $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, you are offered a prize $\pi_i \sim G_i$. (The distributions G_i are known in advance).

Prophets and Profits (an Interlude)

Consider the following game:

- ▶ In each of n steps $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, you are offered a prize $\pi_i \sim G_i$. (The distributions G_i are known in advance).
- ▶ At each step i , after seeing π_i , you can either choose to accept it *and end the game* or reject it and continue.

Prophets and Profits (an Interlude)

Consider the following game:

- ▶ In each of n steps $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, you are offered a prize $\pi_i \sim G_i$. (The distributions G_i are known in advance).
- ▶ At each step i , after seeing π_i , you can either choose to accept it *and end the game* or reject it and continue.
- ▶ A *prophet* could foresee all of the prizes and make sure to always take the highest one. His expected profit would be:

$$Profit(Prophet) = \mathbb{E}[\max_i \pi_i]$$

Prophets and Profits (an Interlude)

Consider the following game:

- ▶ In each of n steps $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, you are offered a prize $\pi_i \sim G_i$. (The distributions G_i are known in advance).
- ▶ At each step i , after seeing π_i , you can either choose to accept it *and end the game* or reject it and continue.
- ▶ A *prophet* could foresee all of the prizes and make sure to always take the highest one. His expected profit would be:

$$Profit(Prophet) = \mathbb{E}[\max_i \pi_i]$$

- ▶ How well can you do?

The Prophet Inequality

Definition

A *threshold* strategy fixes some threshold t and accepts the first prize such that $\pi_i \geq t$.

The Prophet Inequality

Definition

A *threshold* strategy fixes some threshold t and accepts the first prize such that $\pi_i \geq t$.

An immediate connection to welfare: t corresponds to price p , accepting reward π_i corresponds to obtaining welfare v_i .

The Prophet Inequality

Definition

A *threshold* strategy fixes some threshold t and accepts the first prize such that $\pi_i \geq t$.

An immediate connection to welfare: t corresponds to price p , accepting reward π_i corresponds to obtaining welfare v_i .

Theorem

For every set of distributions G_1, \dots, G_n , there is a threshold strategy that guarantees reward at least $\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[\max_i \pi_i]$.

The Prophet Inequality

- ▶ Notation: $z^+ = \max(z, 0)$, $V^* = \max_j \pi_j$.

The Prophet Inequality

- ▶ Notation: $z^+ = \max(z, 0)$, $V^* = \max_j \pi_j$.
- ▶ We'll use threshold $t = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[V^*]$.

The Prophet Inequality

- ▶ Notation: $z^+ = \max(z, 0)$, $V^* = \max_j \pi_j$.
- ▶ We'll use threshold $t = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[V^*]$.
- ▶ We'll use language of the economic application:
 - ▶ “item is unsold” \Leftrightarrow “We don't accept any prizes”
 - ▶ “item is sold” \Leftrightarrow “We accept a prize”

The Prophet Inequality

- ▶ Notation: $z^+ = \max(z, 0)$, $V^* = \max_j \pi_j$.
- ▶ We'll use threshold $t = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[V^*]$.
- ▶ We'll use language of the economic application:
 - ▶ “item is unsold” \Leftrightarrow “We don't accept any prizes”
 - ▶ “item is sold” \Leftrightarrow “We accept a prize”
- ▶ We'll prove the prophet inequality by decomposing expected reward between:
 1. Expected revenue, and
 2. Expected buyer utility.

The Prophet Inequality

- ▶ To show: Expected welfare (reward) is large.

The Prophet Inequality

- ▶ To show: Expected welfare (reward) is large.
- ▶ Suppose we sell to buyer i at price p (select reward i):
 - ▶ We obtain revenue p
 - ▶ Buyer obtains *utility* $v_i - p$.

The Prophet Inequality

- ▶ To show: Expected welfare (reward) is large.
- ▶ Suppose we sell to buyer i at price p (select reward i):
 - ▶ We obtain revenue p
 - ▶ Buyer obtains *utility* $v_i - p$.
- ▶ Welfare = Revenue + Buyer Utility.

The Prophet Inequality

- ▶ To show: Expected welfare (reward) is large.
- ▶ Suppose we sell to buyer i at price p (select reward i):
 - ▶ We obtain revenue p
 - ▶ Buyer obtains *utility* $v_i - p$.
- ▶ Welfare = Revenue + Buyer Utility.
- ▶ Strategy: Prove lower bounds on expected revenue and buyer utility separately.

The Prophet Inequality

- ▶ Expected Revenue:

$$E[\text{Revenue}] = p \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}] = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}]$$

The Prophet Inequality

- ▶ Expected Revenue:

$$E[\text{Revenue}] = p \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}] = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}]$$

- ▶ Buyer Utility:

The Prophet Inequality

- ▶ Expected Revenue:

$$E[\text{Revenue}] = p \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}] = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}]$$

- ▶ Buyer Utility:

- ▶ *If we get to buyer i before selling the item, she has opportunity to buy. So her utility is $(v_i - p)^+$.*

The Prophet Inequality

- ▶ Expected Revenue:

$$E[\text{Revenue}] = p \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}] = \frac{1}{2} E[V^*] \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}]$$

- ▶ Buyer Utility:

- ▶ *If we get to buyer i before selling the item, she has opportunity to buy. So her utility is $(v_i - p)^+$.*
- ▶ So expected buyer utility is:

$$E[\text{Utility}] = \sum_{i=1}^n E[(v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold before } i]$$

The Prophet Inequality

- ▶ Expected Revenue:

$$E[\text{Revenue}] = p \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}] = \frac{1}{2} E[V^*] \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}]$$

- ▶ Buyer Utility:

- ▶ *If we get to buyer i before selling the item, she has opportunity to buy. So her utility is $(v_i - p)^+$.*
- ▶ So expected buyer utility is:

$$\begin{aligned} E[\text{Utility}] &= \sum_{i=1}^n E[(v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold before } i] \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n E[(v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold}] \end{aligned}$$

The Prophet Inequality

- ▶ Expected Revenue:

$$E[\text{Revenue}] = p \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}] = \frac{1}{2} E[V^*] \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}]$$

- ▶ Buyer Utility:

- ▶ *If we get to buyer i before selling the item, she has opportunity to buy. So her utility is $(v_i - p)^+$.*
- ▶ So expected buyer utility is:

$$\begin{aligned} E[\text{Utility}] &= \sum_{i=1}^n E[(v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold before } i] \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n E[(v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold}] \\ &\geq E[\max_i (v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold}] \end{aligned}$$

The Prophet Inequality

- ▶ Expected Revenue:

$$E[\text{Revenue}] = p \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}] = \frac{1}{2} E[V^*] \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}]$$

- ▶ Buyer Utility:

- ▶ *If we get to buyer i before selling the item, she has opportunity to buy. So her utility is $(v_i - p)^+$.*
- ▶ So expected buyer utility is:

$$\begin{aligned} E[\text{Utility}] &= \sum_{i=1}^n E[(v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold before } i] \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n E[(v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold}] \\ &\geq E[\max_i (v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold}] \\ &\geq (E[\max_i v_i] - p) \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold}] \end{aligned}$$

The Prophet Inequality

- ▶ Expected Revenue:

$$E[\text{Revenue}] = p \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}] = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}]$$

- ▶ Buyer Utility:

- ▶ *If we get to buyer i before selling the item, she has opportunity to buy. So her utility is $(v_i - p)^+$.*
- ▶ So expected buyer utility is:

$$\begin{aligned} E[\text{Utility}] &= \sum_{i=1}^n E[(v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold before } i] \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n E[(v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold}] \\ &\geq E[\max_i (v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold}] \\ &\geq (E[\max_i v_i] - p) \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is unsold}] \end{aligned}$$

The Prophet Inequality

So we can bound expected welfare/reward...

$$E[\text{Welfare}] = E[\text{Revenue}] + E[\text{Utility}]$$

The Prophet Inequality

So we can bound expected welfare/reward...

$$\begin{aligned} E[\text{Welfare}] &= E[\text{Revenue}] + E[\text{Utility}] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}] + \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is unsold}] \end{aligned}$$

The Prophet Inequality

So we can bound expected welfare/reward...

$$\begin{aligned} E[\text{Welfare}] &= E[\text{Revenue}] + E[\text{Utility}] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}] + \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is unsold}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot (\Pr[\text{Item is sold}] + \Pr[\text{Item is unsold}]) \end{aligned}$$

The Prophet Inequality

So we can bound expected welfare/reward...

$$\begin{aligned} E[\text{Welfare}] &= E[\text{Revenue}] + E[\text{Utility}] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is sold}] + \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is unsold}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot (\Pr[\text{Item is sold}] + \Pr[\text{Item is unsold}]) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \end{aligned}$$

Welfare

Immediate implications for welfare maximization!

- ▶ Using a *single* fixed price $p = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[V^*]$, can obtain half the expected welfare of the VCG mechanism.

Welfare

Immediate implications for welfare maximization!

- ▶ Using a *single* fixed price $p = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*]$, can obtain half the expected welfare of the VCG mechanism.
- ▶ Without needing to gather all bidders ahead of time, and despite the uncertainty about realizations!

Welfare

Immediate implications for welfare maximization!

- ▶ Using a *single* fixed price $p = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*]$, can obtain half the expected welfare of the VCG mechanism.
- ▶ Without needing to gather all bidders ahead of time, and despite the uncertainty about realizations!
- ▶ What about for revenue?

Revenue

Recall that for monotone allocation rules X paired with truthful pricings P :



$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Revenue}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(v_i)X(v)\right]$$

Revenue

Recall that for monotone allocation rules X paired with truthful pricings P :



$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Revenue}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(v_i)X(v)\right]$$

- ▶ Optimal revenue is $\text{OPT} = \mathbb{E}[\max_i(\phi_i(v_i))^+]$.

Revenue

Recall that for monotone allocation rules X paired with truthful pricings P :



$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Revenue}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(v_i)X(v)\right]$$

- ▶ Optimal revenue is $\text{OPT} = \mathbb{E}[\max_i(\phi_i(v_i))^+]$.
- ▶ Define $\pi_i = (\phi_i(v_i))^+$. So $\mathbb{E}[V^*] = \text{OPT}$.

Revenue

Recall that for monotone allocation rules X paired with truthful pricings P :



$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Revenue}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(v_i)X(v)\right]$$

- ▶ Optimal revenue is $\text{OPT} = \mathbb{E}[\max_i(\phi_i(v_i))^+]$.
- ▶ Define $\pi_i = (\phi_i(v_i))^+$. So $\mathbb{E}[V^*] = \text{OPT}$.
- ▶ We can achieve *virtual value* at least $\frac{1}{2}\text{OPT}$ with threshold $t = \text{OPT}/2$.

Revenue

Recall that for monotone allocation rules X paired with truthful pricings P :



$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Revenue}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(v_i)X(v)\right]$$

- ▶ Optimal revenue is $\text{OPT} = \mathbb{E}[\max_i(\phi_i(v_i))^+]$.
- ▶ Define $\pi_i = (\phi_i(v_i))^+$. So $\mathbb{E}[V^*] = \text{OPT}$.
- ▶ We can achieve *virtual value* at least $\frac{1}{2}\text{OPT}$ with threshold $t = \text{OPT}/2$.
- ▶ This corresponds to setting threshold/price $p_i = \phi_i^{-1}\left(\frac{\text{OPT}}{2}\right)$.
 - ▶ (Note a fixed price corresponds to a monotone allocation rule with payment = price)

Revenue

Recall that for monotone allocation rules X paired with truthful pricings P :



$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Revenue}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(v_i)X(v)\right]$$

- ▶ Optimal revenue is $\text{OPT} = \mathbb{E}[\max_i(\phi_i(v_i))^+]$.
- ▶ Define $\pi_i = (\phi_i(v_i))^+$. So $\mathbb{E}[V^*] = \text{OPT}$.
- ▶ We can achieve *virtual value* at least $\frac{1}{2}\text{OPT}$ with threshold $t = \text{OPT}/2$.
- ▶ This corresponds to setting threshold/price $p_i = \phi_i^{-1}\left(\frac{\text{OPT}}{2}\right)$.
 - ▶ (Note a fixed price corresponds to a monotone allocation rule with payment = price)
- ▶ We need to use different prices for different types of bidders, but approximate optimal revenue.

Thanks!

See you next class — stay healthy, and wear a mask!