Maximizing Revenue in Expectation

Aaron Roth

University of Pennsylvania

April 1 2021

Overview

- We've spent a lot of time discussing welfare maximization.

Overview

- We've spent a lot of time discussing welfare maximization.
- But many auctions have a more pecuniary goal. What if we want to maximize revenue?

Overview

- We've spent a lot of time discussing welfare maximization.
- But many auctions have a more pecuniary goal. What if we want to maximize revenue?
- What does that mean? What is our benchmark?

Overview

- We've spent a lot of time discussing welfare maximization.
- But many auctions have a more pecuniary goal. What if we want to maximize revenue?
- What does that mean? What is our benchmark?
- This lecture: a case study for single item auctions.

Reasonable Benchmarks?

- The VCG mechanism was remarkable: we could always maximize welfare *ex-post*.

Reasonable Benchmarks?

- The VCG mechanism was remarkable: we could always maximize welfare *ex-post*.
- What about for revenue? Not so simple.

Reasonable Benchmarks?

- The VCG mechanism was remarkable: we could always maximize welfare *ex-post*.
- What about for revenue? Not so simple.
- Consider a single bidder, single item auction. Offering a fixed price p is always dominant strategy truthful.

Reasonable Benchmarks?

- The VCG mechanism was remarkable: we could always maximize welfare *ex-post*.
- What about for revenue? Not so simple.
- Consider a single bidder, single item auction. Offering a fixed price p is always dominant strategy truthful.
- Revenue is p if $v_i \geq p$, 0 otherwise.

Reasonable Benchmarks?

- The VCG mechanism was remarkable: we could always maximize welfare *ex-post*.
- What about for revenue? Not so simple.
- Consider a single bidder, single item auction. Offering a fixed price p is always dominant strategy truthful.
- Revenue is p if $v_i \geq p$, 0 otherwise.
- So ex-post, the revenue-optimal auction sets $p = v_i$... But ex-ante, we don't have enough information.

The Average Case

- Suppose we know that bidders have valuations $v_i \sim D$ for some distribution D.

The Average Case

- Suppose we know that bidders have valuations $v_i \sim D$ for some distribution D.
- We know D, but we don't know v_i...

The Average Case

- Suppose we know that bidders have valuations $v_i \sim D$ for some distribution D.
- We know D, but we don't know v_i...
- In a single item, single bidder auction, a fixed price p yields expected revenue:

$$Rev(p) = p \cdot (1 - F(p))$$

Where $F(p) = \Pr_{v \sim D}[v \leq p]$.

The Average Case

- Suppose we know that bidders have valuations $v_i \sim D$ for some distribution D.
- We know D, but we don't know v_i...
- In a single item, single bidder auction, a fixed price p yields expected revenue:

$$Rev(p) = p \cdot (1 - F(p))$$

  Where $F(p) = \Pr_{v \sim D}[v \leq p]$.
- E.g. if D is uniform on $[0, 1]$, then $F(p) = p$ and:

$$\max_p Rev(p) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{4}$$

Average Case: Many Bidders

- One item, many bidders.

Average Case: Many Bidders

- One item, many bidders.
- We want to design a truthful mechanism (X, P) that maximizes:

$$\mathrm{E}_{v \sim D^n}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i(v)\right]$$

Average Case: Many Bidders

- One item, many bidders.
- We want to design a truthful mechanism (X, P) that maximizes:

$$\mathrm{E}_{v \sim D^n}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i(v)\right]$$

- For truthfulness, we need X to be monotone non-decreasing...

Average Case: Many Bidders

- One item, many bidders.
- We want to design a truthful mechanism (X, P) that maximizes:

$$\mathrm{E}_{v \sim D^n}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i(v)\right]$$

- For truthfulness, we need X to be monotone non-decreasing...
- And we know:

$$P_i(v) = v_i \cdot X_i(v) - \int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dz$$

Myserson Optimal Auctions

- Lets assume monotonicity for now, and use our expression for P to derive the optimal X.

Myserson Optimal Auctions

- Lets assume monotonicity for now, and use our expression for P to derive the optimal X.
- If we are lucky and derive a monotone X, we will be done!

Myserson Optimal Auctions

- Lets assume monotonicity for now, and use our expression for P to derive the optimal X.
- If we are lucky and derive a monotone X, we will be done!
- Plan: Find X to maximize:

$$\mathrm{E}_{v\sim D^n}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i(v)\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{E}_{v_{-i}\sim D^{n-1}}\left[\mathrm{E}_{v_i\sim D}\left[P_i(v_i, v_{-i})\right]\right]$$

Myserson Optimal Auctions

- Lets assume monotonicity for now, and use our expression for P to derive the optimal X.
- If we are lucky and derive a monotone X, we will be done!
- Plan: Find X to maximize:

$$\mathrm{E}_{v\sim D^n}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i(v)\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{E}_{v_{-i}\sim D^{n-1}}\left[\mathrm{E}_{v_i\sim D}\left[P_i(v_i, v_{-i})\right]\right]$$

- Notation: $f(p)$ is the pdf of D.

$$F(p) = \Pr_{v\sim D}[v \leq p] = \int_0^p f(v)dv$$

Myserson Optimal Auctions

Consider the inner term:

$$\mathrm{E}_{v_i}\left[P_i(v)\right] \quad = \quad \mathrm{E}_{v_i}\left[v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) - \int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dz\right]$$

Myserson Optimal Auctions

Consider the inner term:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}_{v_i}\left[P_i(v)\right] &= \mathrm{E}_{v_i}\left[v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) - \int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dz\right] \\
&= \mathrm{E}\left[v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i})\right] - \mathrm{E}\left[\int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dz\right]
\end{aligned}$$

Myserson Optimal Auctions

Consider the inner term:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}_{v_i}\left[P_i(v)\right] &= \mathrm{E}_{v_i}\left[v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) - \int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dz\right] \\
&= \mathrm{E}\left[v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i})\right] - \mathrm{E}\left[\int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dz\right] \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i)dv_i - \int_0^1 f(v_i)\int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dzdv_i
\end{aligned}$$

Myserson Optimal Auctions

Consider the inner term:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}_{v_i}\left[P_i(v)\right] &= \mathrm{E}_{v_i}\left[v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) - \int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dz\right] \\
&= \mathrm{E}\left[v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i})\right] - \mathrm{E}\left[\int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dz\right] \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i)dv_i - \int_0^1 f(v_i) \int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dzdv_i \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i)dv_i - \int_0^1 X_i(z, v_{-i}) \int_z^1 f(v_i)dv_idz
\end{aligned}
$$

Myserson Optimal Auctions

Consider the inner term:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}_{v_i}\left[P_i(v)\right] &= \mathrm{E}_{v_i}\left[v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) - \int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dz\right] \\
&= \mathrm{E}\left[v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i})\right] - \mathrm{E}\left[\int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dz\right] \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i)dv_i - \int_0^1 f(v_i) \int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dzdv_i \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i)dv_i - \int_0^1 X_i(z, v_{-i}) \int_z^1 f(v_i)dv_i dz \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i)dv_i - \int_0^1 X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \int_{v_i}^1 f(z)dzdv_i
\end{aligned}$$

Myserson Optimal Auctions

Consider the inner term:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}_{v_i}\left[P_i(v)\right] &= \mathrm{E}_{v_i}\left[v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) - \int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dz\right] \\
&= \mathrm{E}\left[v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i})\right] - \mathrm{E}\left[\int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dz\right] \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i)dv_i - \int_0^1 f(v_i)\int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dzdv_i \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i)dv_i - \int_0^1 X_i(z, v_{-i})\int_z^1 f(v_i)dv_idz \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i)dv_i - \int_0^1 X_i(v_i, v_{-i})\int_{v_i}^1 f(z)dzdv_i \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i)dv_i - \int_0^1 X_i(v_i, v_{-i})(1 - F(v_i))dv_i
\end{aligned}
$$

Myserson Optimal Auctions

Consider the inner term:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}_{v_i}\left[P_i(v)\right] &= \mathrm{E}_{v_i}\left[v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) - \int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i}) dz\right] \\
&= \mathrm{E}\left[v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i})\right] - \mathrm{E}\left[\int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i}) dz\right] \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i) dv_i - \int_0^1 f(v_i) \int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i}) dz dv_i \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i) dv_i - \int_0^1 X_i(z, v_{-i}) \int_z^1 f(v_i) dv_i dz \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i) dv_i - \int_0^1 X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \int_{v_i}^1 f(z) dz dv_i \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i) dv_i - \int_0^1 X_i(v_i, v_{-i})(1 - F(v_i)) dv_i \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(v_i - \frac{(1 - F(v_i))}{f(v_i)}\right) X(v_i, v_{-i}) f(v_i) dv_i
\end{aligned}
$$

Myserson Optimal Auctions

Consider the inner term:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}_{v_i}\left[P_i(v)\right] &= \mathrm{E}_{v_i}\left[v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) - \int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dz\right] \\
&= \mathrm{E}\left[v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i})\right] - \mathrm{E}\left[\int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dz\right] \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i)dv_i - \int_0^1 f(v_i) \int_0^{v_i} X_i(z, v_{-i})dzdv_i \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i)dv_i - \int_0^1 X_i(z, v_{-i}) \int_z^1 f(v_i)dv_i dz \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i)dv_i - \int_0^1 X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \int_{v_i}^1 f(z)dzdv_i \\
&= \int_0^1 v_i \cdot X_i(v_i, v_{-i}) \cdot f(v_i)dv_i - \int_0^1 X_i(v_i, v_{-i})(1 - F(v_i))dv_i \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(v_i - \frac{(1 - F(v_i))}{f(v_i)}\right) X(v_i, v_{-i})f(v_i)dv_i \\
&= \mathrm{E}_{v_i}\left[\left(v_i - \frac{(1 - F(v_i))}{f(v_i)}\right) X(v_i, v_{-i})\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Myserson Optimal Auctions

So: We want to maximize

$$\mathrm{E}_{v \sim D^n}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(v_i) \cdot X(v)\right] \qquad \underbrace{\phi(v_i) = \left(v_i - \frac{(1 - F(v_i))}{f(v_i)}\right)}_{\text{“Virtual Value”}}$$

Myserson Optimal Auctions

So: We want to maximize

$$\mathrm{E}_{v \sim D^n}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(v_i) \cdot X(v)\right] \qquad \underbrace{\phi(v_i) = \left(v_i - \frac{(1 - F(v_i))}{f(v_i)}\right)}_{\text{“Virtual Value”}}$$

- Our objective looks just like welfare with values replaced by virtual values.

Myserson Optimal Auctions

So: We want to maximize

$$\mathrm{E}_{v \sim D^n}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(v_i) \cdot X(v)\right] \qquad \underbrace{\phi(v_i) = \left(v_i - \frac{(1 - F(v_i))}{f(v_i)}\right)}_{\text{“Virtual Value”}}$$

- Our objective looks just like welfare with values replaced by virtual values.
- (Pointwise) optimal allocation rule: Give the item to the bidder i with highest $\phi(v_i)$ if it's positive. Otherwise give the item to nobody.

Myserson Optimal Auctions

So: We want to maximize

$$\mathrm{E}_{v\sim D^n}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\phi(v_i)\cdot X(v)\right] \qquad \underbrace{\phi(v_i)=\left(v_i-\frac{(1-F(v_i))}{f(v_i)}\right)}_{\text{“Virtual Value”}}$$

- Our objective looks just like welfare with values replaced by virtual values.
- (Pointwise) optimal allocation rule: Give the item to the bidder i with highest $\phi(v_i)$ if it's positive. Otherwise give the item to nobody.
- This is a monotone allocation rule if D is *regular*: $\phi(v_i)$ is monotone.
  - e.g. if D is uniform, $\phi(v_i) = v_i - (1 - v_i) = 2v_i - 1$
  - Note that $\phi^{-1}(0)$ recovers the optimal $p = 1/2$ for a single bidder.

Myserson Optimal Auctions

What do revenue maximizing auctions look like? (when v_i drawn iid from regular D)

- We give the item to bidder $i^* = \arg\max_i \phi(v_i)$ when $\phi(v_{i^*}) \geq 0$.

Myserson Optimal Auctions

What do revenue maximizing auctions look like? (when v_i drawn iid from regular D)

- We give the item to bidder $i^* = \arg\max_i \phi(v_i)$ when $\phi(v_{i^*}) \geq 0$.
- Because ϕ is monotone, $i^* = \arg\max_i v_i$: the item goes to the highest bidder when $\phi(v_{i^*}) \geq 0$.

Myserson Optimal Auctions

What do revenue maximizing auctions look like? (when v_i drawn iid from regular D)

- We give the item to bidder $i^* = \arg\max_i \phi(v_i)$ when $\phi(v_{i^*}) \geq 0$.
- Because ϕ is monotone, $i^* = \arg\max_i v_i$: the item goes to the highest bidder when $\phi(v_{i^*}) \geq 0$.
- Winner pays $v_{i^*} - \int_{p^*}^{v_{i^*}} 1 = p^*$, where:

$$p^* = \max(\max_{i \neq i^*} v_i, \phi^{-1}(0))$$

Myserson Optimal Auctions

What do revenue maximizing auctions look like? (when v_i drawn iid from regular D)

- We give the item to bidder $i^* = \arg\max_i \phi(v_i)$ when $\phi(v_{i^*}) \geq 0$.
- Because ϕ is monotone, $i^* = \arg\max_i v_i$: the item goes to the highest bidder when $\phi(v_{i^*}) \geq 0$.
- Winner pays $v_{i^*} - \int_{p^*}^{v_{i^*}} 1 = p^*$, where:

$$p^* = \max(\max_{i \neq i^*} v_i, \phi^{-1}(0))$$

- i.e. its just a Vickrey auction with a reserve price of $\phi^{-1}(0)$!

Myserson Optimal Auctions

What do revenue maximizing auctions look like? (when v_i drawn iid from regular D)

- We give the item to bidder $i^* = \arg\max_i \phi(v_i)$ when $\phi(v_{i^*}) \geq 0$.
- Because ϕ is monotone, $i^* = \arg\max_i v_i$: the item goes to the highest bidder when $\phi(v_{i^*}) \geq 0$.
- Winner pays $v_{i^*} - \int_{p^*}^{v_{i^*}} 1 = p^*$, where:

$$p^* = \max(\max_{i \neq i^*} v_i, \phi^{-1}(0))$$

- i.e. its just a Vickrey auction with a reserve price of $\phi^{-1}(0)$!
- Remarkable — Simple eBay style auction is *the best possible*.

Extensions/Limitations

- Can be made to work even when D is not regular.

Extensions/Limitations

- Can be made to work even when D is not regular.
- Have to "iron" $\phi(v)$ to make it monotone.

Extensions/Limitations

- Can be made to work even when D is not regular.
- Have to "iron" $\phi(v)$ to make it monotone.
- Analysis required v_i's be drawn independently, but not identically. Each bidder can have their own distribution D_i.
  - Each bidder has their own virtual valuation function $\phi_i(v_i)$.
  - Auction no longer so natural. e.g. high bidder no longer necessarily wins.

Extensions/Limitations

- Can be made to work even when D is not regular.
- Have to "iron" $\phi(v)$ to make it monotone.
- Analysis required v_i's be drawn independently, but not identically. Each bidder can have their own distribution D_i.
  - Each bidder has their own virtual valuation function $\phi_i(v_i)$.
  - Auction no longer so natural. e.g. high bidder no longer necessarily wins.
- Doesn't extend beyond single parameter domains...

Extensions/Limitations

- Can be made to work even when D is not regular.
- Have to "iron" $\phi(v)$ to make it monotone.
- Analysis required v_i's be drawn independently, but not identically. Each bidder can have their own distribution D_i.
  - Each bidder has their own virtual valuation function $\phi_i(v_i)$.
  - Auction no longer so natural. e.g. high bidder no longer necessarily wins.
- Doesn't extend beyond single parameter domains...
- Requires knowledge of D...

One more thing

- If we care about revenue, should we give up on welfare?

One more thing

- If we care about revenue, should we give up on welfare?
- The Vickrey auction yields *no* revenue selling to a single bidder, whereas when D is uniform over $[0, 1]$ we can get expected revenue $1/4$.

One more thing

- If we care about revenue, should we give up on welfare?
- The Vickrey auction yields *no* revenue selling to a single bidder, whereas when D is uniform over $[0, 1]$ we can get expected revenue $1/4$.
- What about a Vickrey auction with 2 bidders?
- $$Rev(VA) = \mathrm{E}_{v_1, v_2 \sim D}[\min(v_1, v_2)] = 1/3$$

One more thing

- If we care about revenue, should we give up on welfare?
- The Vickrey auction yields *no* revenue selling to a single bidder, whereas when D is uniform over $[0,1]$ we can get expected revenue $1/4$.
- What about a Vickrey auction with 2 bidders?
- $$Rev(VA) = \mathrm{E}_{v_1, v_2 \sim D}[\min(v_1, v_2)] = 1/3$$
- So we might be better off maximizing welfare with more bidders...

The Bulow/Klemperer Theorem

Theorem

Consider bidders drawn i.i.d. from a regular distribution D. For any $n \geq 1$, the Vickrey auction with $n+1$ bidders has higher expected revenue than the revenue optimal auction with n bidders.

The Bulow/Klemperer Theorem

Theorem

Consider bidders drawn i.i.d. from a regular distribution D. For any $n \geq 1$, the Vickrey auction with $n+1$ bidders has higher expected revenue than the revenue optimal auction with n bidders.

So recruiting just *one* extra bidder is worth more than optimizing revenue for the current population.

The Bulow/Klemperer Theorem

Consider the hypothetical auction A for $n+1$ bidders:

1. Run the revenue optimal auction for the first n bidders.

The Bulow/Klemperer Theorem

Consider the hypothetical auction A for $n+1$ bidders:

1. Run the revenue optimal auction for the first n bidders.
2. If the auction fails to allocate the item, give it to bidder $n+1$ for free.

The Bulow/Klemperer Theorem

Consider the hypothetical auction A for $n+1$ bidders:

1. Run the revenue optimal auction for the first n bidders.
2. If the auction fails to allocate the item, give it to bidder $n+1$ for free.

Observations:

1. The revenue of A is exactly equal to the optimal revenue obtainable from n bidders.
2. A *always* allocates the item.

But...

- **Claim**: The Vickrey mechanism is obtains the maximum revenue amongst all mechanisms that always allocate the item.

But...

- **Claim**: The Vickrey mechanism is obtains the maximum revenue amongst all mechanisms that always allocate the item.
- Recall that $\mathrm{E}_v[\sum_i P_i(v)] = \mathrm{E}[\sum_i \phi_i(v_i) \cdot X_i(v)]$.

But...

- **Claim**: The Vickrey mechanism is obtains the maximum revenue amongst all mechanisms that always allocate the item.
- Recall that $\mathrm{E}_v[\sum_i P_i(v)] = \mathrm{E}[\sum_i \phi_i(v_i) \cdot X_i(v)]$.
- We can maximize the RHS (subject to always allocating the item) by always allocating to $\arg\max_i \phi(v_i)$.

But...

- **Claim**: The Vickrey mechanism is obtains the maximum revenue amongst all mechanisms that always allocate the item.
- Recall that $\mathrm{E}_v[\sum_i P_i(v)] = \mathrm{E}[\sum_i \phi_i(v_i) \cdot X_i(v)]$.
- We can maximize the RHS (subject to always allocating the item) by always allocating to $\arg\max_i \phi(v_i)$.
- Since D is regular, ϕ is monotone: this is $\arg\max_i v_i$ — the Vickrey allocation!

But...

- **Claim**: The Vickrey mechanism is obtains the maximum revenue amongst all mechanisms that always allocate the item.
- Recall that $\mathrm{E}_v[\sum_i P_i(v)] = \mathrm{E}[\sum_i \phi_i(v_i) \cdot X_i(v)]$.
- We can maximize the RHS (subject to always allocating the item) by always allocating to $\arg\max_i \phi(v_i)$.
- Since D is regular, ϕ is monotone: this is $\arg\max_i v_i$ — the Vickrey allocation!
- So: The Vickrey-auction with $n+1$ bidders has only higher revenue than the optimal n bidder auction.

Thanks!

See you next class — stay healthy, and wear a mask!