The Algorithmic Foundations of Data Privacy

Instructor: Aaron Roth

Administrivia

http://www.cis.upenn.edu/~aaroth/courses/privacyF11.html

- Time: Tuesday/Thursday 1:30-3:00
- Room: Here (Towne 315)
- Format:
 - Lectures
 - Student Presentations of Projects
- Evaluation:
 - Class project (60%)
 - Participation (40%)
 - Including blog posts! <u>http://privacyfoundations.wordpress.com/</u>

Administrivia

http://www.cis.upenn.edu/~aaroth/courses/privacyF11.html

- Project: Semester long study of a topic in privacy
 - Topic suggestions up soon on the website
 - Feel free to pick your own!
 - Can be pure theory, implementation, or somewhere in between
 - Literature review
 - Some component of original research
 - Graded components:
 - Proposal, mid project report, final report and presentation.

Course Overview

- How can we perform private data analysis?
 How do we mathematically define "Privacy"?
- How does "privacy" degrade when multiple analyses are performed?
- What are the theoretical limits of how much information we can release about a dataset while preserving "privacy"?

Course Overview

- How can we design efficient algorithms that make use of data privately?
- How should economic agents reason about their privacy?
 - How should we design auctions and other mechanisms for privacy-aware consumers?

Today

- Some motivation
- The definition of differential privacy
- An overview of topics we will cover
- If there is time: A lower bound.

Warning

- Powerpoint: I will probably go too fast
- Stop me! Ask questions!
 - Other people probably have the same question.
 - I will be suspicious if you don't...
 - Remember participation is 40% of your grade!



- Computation is not the only constraint
- Dealing with large datasets
 - Data *belongs* to other people
 - Must protect their privacy
 - Must convince them to report it truthfully

 Use search logs to recommend query completions



why is	Advanced Search
why is the sky blue	
why is my poop green	
why is it called black friday	
why is everyone posting colors on facebook	
why is a raven like a writing desk	
why is yawning contagious	
why is haiti so poor	
why is the world going to end in 2012	
why is my computer so slow	
why is lil wayne going to jail	
Google Search I'm Feeling Lucky	

 Find closely connected components in a social network



 Decide which ads to show based on user data and other users previous searches.

Google Web	Images <u>Video News Maps Desktop</u> more » 07 Search Ar	dvanced Search eferences
Web	Results 1 - 10 of about	ut 136,000 for FOCS 07. (0.09 seconds)
Computational Complexity: F FOCS 07 will be in Providence, RI. Renaissance Hotel, currently unde weblog.fortnow.com/2006/10/focs- Cached - Similar pages - Note this	OCS Day 1 and Business Meeting - 2:39pm Program chair is Alistair Sinclair. Location is the new r construction day-1-and-business-meeting.html - 34k -	Sponsored Links <u>2007 Fox</u> Make Volkswagen Shopping Easier Compare Your Favorites Side By Side www.AutoTrader.com
Computational Complet And in that great circle of the Submission deadline is Apriv weblog.fortnow.com/2007/02 Cached - Similar pages - Not [More results from weblog.12]	xity: STOC and FOCS eory life, the FOCS '07 Call for Papers is out, il 20 and FOCS will be held October 21-23 in 2/stoc-and-focs.html - 28k - ote this fortnow.com]	Pittsburgh Soda Find soda here! We offer local search in your city. Pittsburgh.Local.com Pittsburgh, PA

What is Privacy?



- Privacy isn't restricting questions to large populations.
 - "What is the average salary of Penn faculty?"
 - "What is the average salary of Penn faculty not named Aaron Roth?"

- Privacy isn't restricting to "ordinary" facts.
 - Statistics on Alice's bread buying habits: For 20 years she regularly buys bread, and then stops.
 - Type 2 diabetes?

- Privacy isn't "Anonymization"
 - Anonymization is hard.
 - Problem: Auxiliary Information and Linkage Attacks!
 - Case Study: NetFlix Prize Dataset
 - Linked with IMDB database to re-identify users [Narayanan, Shmatikov]
 - 2nd Netflix prize cancelled
 - Can't know what the adversary knows, or might know in the *future*.

- Privacy isn't "Anonymization"
 - Anonymization isn't enough
 - Collection of medical records from a specific urgent care center and date might correspond to only a small collection of medical conditions.
 - Knowledge (from a neighbor?) that Alice went to that urgent care center doesn't identify her record, but implies she has one of a small number of conditions.

What is Privacy?

• Freedom from harm.

Privacy Definition, Attempt 1:

An analysis of a dataset D is private if the data analyst knows no more about Alice after the analysis than he knew about Alice before the analysis.

What is Privacy

- Problem: Impossible to achieve with auxiliary information.
 - Suppose an insurance company knows that Alice is a smoker.
 - An analysis that reveals that smoking and lung cancer are correlated might cause them to raise her rates!
- Was her privacy violated?
 - This is a problem even if Alice was not in the database!
 - This is exactly the sort of information we want to be able to learn...

What is Privacy?

Privacy Definition, Attempt 2:

An analysis of a dataset D is private if the data analyst knows almost no more about Alice after the analysis than he would have known had he conducted the same analysis on an identical database with Alice's data removed.

Differential Privacy [Dwork-McSherry-Nissim-Smith 06]



Differential Privacy

- X: The data *universe*.
- $D \subset X$: The dataset (one element per person)

Definition: Two datasets $D, D' \subset X$ are neighbors if they differ in the data of a single individual. i.e. $|D \Delta D'| \leq 1$.

Differential Privacy

X: The data *universe*.

 $D \subset X$: The dataset (one element per person)

Definition: A mechanism $M: 2^X \to R$ is (ϵ, δ) -differentially private if for all pairs of neighboring databases $D, D' \subset X$, and for all events $S \subseteq R$: $\Pr[M(D) \in S] \leq e^{\epsilon} \Pr[M(D') \in S] + \delta$ Definition: A mechanism $M: 2^X \to R$ is (ϵ, δ) -differentially private if for all pairs of neighboring databases $D, D' \subset X$, and for all events $S \subseteq R$: $\Pr[M(D) \in S] \leq e^{\epsilon} \Pr[M(D') \in S] + \delta$

- Think of δ as exponentially small (or even 0)
- Think of ϵ as a small constant.

- If $M: 2^X \to R$ is $(\epsilon, 0)$ -DP, and $|D\Delta D'| = k$, then: $\Pr[M(D) \in S] \le e^{\epsilon k} \Pr[M(D') \in S]$

• So nothing useful is possible for $\epsilon = o(\frac{1}{n})$

Why is Differential Privacy "Privacy"?

- It should guarantee "freedom from harm"
- A useful fact resilience to post-processing:
 - For any $f: R \to R'$, and any (ϵ, δ) -differentially private $M: 2^X \to R, f \circ M: 2^X \to R'$ is also (ϵ, δ) -differentially private.
- What if f maps mechanism output to events you care about?
 - Differential privacy: "Except for rare events that occur with probability $\leq \delta$, your future utility will decrease by at most a (1ϵ) factor by participating in the database."

Why is Differential Privacy "Privacy"?

- *f* incorporates any auxiliary information an analyst may have about the database now *or in the future*.
- The guarantee is just as strong even if the analyst knows the entire database except for your value.
 - A worst case model: no longer any need to reason about what the analyst knows.

- What are the big questions?
 - How do we trade off privacy and utility?



- What are the big questions?
 - How do we trade off privacy and utility?



- How can we build useful, differentially private algorithms?
 - Out of basic building blocks, glued together by composition theorems.

Basic Building Blocks

- Answering numeric queries through perturbation



- Basic Building Blocks
 - Answering non-numeric queries by sampling from a private distribution

$$M_q(D)\colon 2^X\to R$$

Output $r \in R$ with probability $\sim \exp(-\epsilon q(r, D))$



- Combining building blocks into algorithms
 - What are the privacy guarantees for an algorithm M composed of k subroutines A_1, \ldots, A_k that are each (ϵ, δ) -differentially private?
 - $(k\epsilon, k\delta)$ -differentially private

• Also
$$\approx (\sqrt{k \log \frac{1}{\delta'}} \epsilon, k\delta + \delta')$$
-differentially private

– Can *trade* lots of ϵ for a little more δ .

- What can we build?
 - Algorithms for accurately answering *exponentially* many numeric queries in the database size!
 - Leveraging machine learning theory, compression, random projection...



• What can we build?

- Algorithms for combinatorial optimization



- What can we build?
 - Streaming Algorithms
 - That are private even if a hacker is able to look at the internal state of the algorithm.



- What can we build?
 - Auctions and truthful mechanisms for privacyaware economic agents





- What *can't* we build?
 - Lower bounds from linear programming
 - Answering queries *too* accurately lets an adversary reconstruct the database



- What *can't* we build?
 - Lower bounds from packing arguments
 - The existence of good *error correcting codes* give lower bounds in differential privacy



- What *can't* we build?
 - Lower bounds from learning theory
 - Efficient query release algorithms in Kearns' statistical query model would lead to too-good-to-be-true learning algorithms.



To Muse On:

- Think about why differential privacy protects against blatant non-privacy
- Read [Narayanan,Shmatikov06]: How to deanonymize the Netflix data set.