CIS 551 / TCOM 401 Computer and Network Security

Spring 2007 Lecture 6

Announcements

- Reminder:
 - Send in project groups TODAY
 - If you don't have a group, let us know.
 - If you haven't started on the project -- start now.

- I will be out of town next Tuesday.
 - Jeff Vaughan will be teaching the lecture.

Plan

- Covert Channels
- Common Criteria (systems security regulations)
- Next: Network Security

Covert Channels & Information Hiding

- A covert channel is a means by which two components of a system that are not permitted to communicate do so anyway by affecting a shared resource.
- Information hiding: Two components of the system that are permitted to communicate about one set of things, exchange information about disallowed topics by encoding contraband information in the legitimate traffic.
- Not that hard to leak a small amount of data
 - A 64 bit encryption key is not that hard to transmit
 - Even possible to encode relatively large amounts of data!
- Example channels / information hiding strategies
 - Program behavior
 - Adjust the formatting of output: use the "\t" character for "1" and 8 spaces for "0"
 - Vary timing behavior based on key
 - Use "low order" bits to send signals
 - Power consumption
 - Grabbing/releasing a lock on a shared resource

Watermarking Basic Idea

- Pictures, Video, and Sound
 - Human perception is imperfect
 - There are a lot of "least significant bits"
 - Modifying the least significant bits doesn't change the picture much



• Encode a signal in the least significant bits.

Watermarking Example



Original Image



Watermarked Image

Differential Power Analysis

• Read the value of a DES password off of a smartcard by watching power consumption!



• This figure shows simple power analysis of DES encryption. The 16 rounds are clearly visible.

TEMPEST Security

- Transient Electromagnetic Pulse Emanation Standard
 - (Or?) Temporary Emanation and Spurious Transmission
 - Emission security (Van Eck phreaking)
 - computer monitors and other devices give off electromagnetic radiation
 - With the right antenna and receiver, these emanations can be intercepted from a remote location, and then be redisplayed (in the case of a monitor screen) or recorded and replayed (such as with a printer or keyboard).
- Policy is set in National Communications Security Committee Directive 4
- Guidelines for preventing EM reception
 - Shield the device (expensive)
 - Shield a location (inconvenient?)

Defenses for Covert Channels

- Well specified security policies at the human level
- Auditing mechanisms at the human level
 - Justify prosecution if the attacker is caught
- Code review
 - This is a form of audit
- Automated program analysis
 - Type systems that let programmers specify confidentiality labels li
 - Transform programs so that both branches of a conditional statement take the same amount of time
 - Disallow branches on "secret" information

Countermeasures

- Against timing attacks:
 - Make all operations run in same amount of time
 - Hard to implement!
 - Can't design platform-independent algorithms
 - All operations take as long as slowest one
 - Add random delays
 - Can take more samples to remove randomness
- Against power analysis attacks:
 - Make all operations take the same amount of power
 - Again, hard to implement
 - Add randomness

Question:

- Suppose you have gone through the cost/benefit and risk analysis to determine the securty requirements for a computer system.
- How do you know whether a system meets its security requirements?
- Class answers:
 - Try the attacks -- test the system in some way
 - Debug on test cases
 - Testing is incomplete: if you find a bug then there definitely is a problem, if you don't find a bug, there still may be a problem
 - Issues: coverage of possible execution paths through the program
 - "Fuzzing" randomly generate inputs to the program
 - Audit
 - Record logs of program traces (review the logs later!)
 - Have code reviews of the software
 - Tools automated auditing
 - Turn on warnings in the compiler
 - Run purify or valgrind
 - Typechackers -- lightweight "stactc analysis tools"

Assurance methods

- Testing
 - Regression testing, automation tools, etc.
 - Can demonstrate existence of flaw, not absence
- Validation
 - Requirements checking
 - Design and code reviews
 - Sit around table, drink lots of coffee, ...
 - Module and system testing
- Formal verification
 - Develop a rigorous (mathematical) specification of the system
 - Prove (using tools or by hand) that the implementation meets the specification
 - Time-consuming, painstaking process
 - Has been done for some systems. (See www.praxis-his.com)

Rainbow Series

DoD Trusted Computer Sys Evaluation Criteria (Orange Book) Audit in Trusted Systems (Tan Book) Configuration Management in Trusted Systems (Amber Book) Trusted Distribution in Trusted Systems (Dark Lavender Book) Security Modeling in Trusted Systems (Aqua Book) Formal Verification Systems (Purple Book) Covert Channel Analysis of Trusted Systems (Light Pink Book) ... many more

http://www.radium.ncsc.mil/tpep/library/rainbow/index.html

Orange Book Requirements (TCSEC)

- TCSEC = Trusted Computer System Evaluation Criteria
- Security Policy
- Accountability
- Assurance
- Documentation

- Next few slides: details not important ...
 - Main point: Higher levels require more work ..., documentation and configuration management are part of the criteria

Orange Book Criteria (TCSEC)

- Level D
 - No security requirements
- Level C For environments with cooperating users
 - C1 protected mode OS, authenticated login, DAC, security testing and documentation (Unix)
 - C2 DAC to level of individual user, object initialization, auditing (Windows NT 4.0)
- Level B, A
 - All users and objects must be assigned a security label (classified, unclassified, etc.)
 - System must enforce Bell-LaPadula model

Levels B, A

(continued)

- Level B
 - B1 classification and Bell-LaPadula
 - B2 system designed in top-down modular way, must be possible to verify, covert channels must be analyzed
 - B3 ACLs with users and groups, formal TCB must be presented, adequate security auditing, secure crash recovery
- Level A1
 - Formal proof of protection system, formal proof that model is correct, demonstration that impl conforms to model, formal covert channel analysis

Common Criteria

- Three parts
 - CC Documents
 - Protection profiles: requirements for category of systems
 - Functional requirements
 - Assurance requirements
 - CC Evaluation Methodology
 - National Schemes (local ways of doing evaluation)
- Endorsed by 14 countries
- Replaces TCSEC
 - CC adopted 1998
 - Last TCSEC evaluation completed 2000

http://www.commoncriteria.org/

Protection Profiles

- Requirements for categories of systems
 - Subject to review and certified
- Example: Controlled Access PP (CAPP_V1.d)
 - Security functional requirements
 - Authentication, User Data Protection, Prevent Audit Loss
 - Security assurance requirements
 - Security testing, Admin guidance, Life-cycle support, ...
 - Assumes non-hostile and well-managed users
 - Does not consider malicious system developers

Evaluation Assurance Levels 1 – 4

EAL 1: Functionally Tested

- Review of functional and interface specifications
- Some independent testing
- EAL 2: Structurally Tested
 - Analysis of security functions, including high-level design
 - Independent testing, review of developer testing
- EAL 3: Methodically Tested and Checked
 - Development environment controls; configuration mgmt
- EAL 4: Methodically Designed, Tested, Reviewed
 - Informal spec of security policy, Independent testing

Evaluation Assurance Levels 5 – 7

EAL 5: Semiformally Designed and Tested

- Formal model, modular design
- Vulnerability search, covert channel analysis
- EAL 6: Semiformally Verified Design and Tested
 - Structured development process
- EAL 7: Formally Verified Design and Tested
 - Formal presentation of functional specification
 - Product or system design must be simple
 - Independent confirmation of developer tests

Example: Windows 2000, EAL 4+

- Evaluation performed by SAIC
- Used "Controlled Access Protection Profile"
- Level EAL 4 + Flaw Remediation
 - "EAL 4 ... represents the highest level at which products not built specifically to meet the requirements of EAL 5-7 ought to be evaluated."

(EAL 5-7 requires more stringent design and development procedures ...)

- Flaw Remediation
- Evaluation based on specific configurations
 - Produced configuration guide that may be useful



The IT product identified in this certificate has been evaluated at an accredited testing laboratory using the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (Version 1.0) for conformance to the Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (Version 2.1). This certificate applies only to the specific version and release of the product in its evaluated configuration. The product's functional and assurance security specifications are contained in its security target. The evaluation has been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the NIAP Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme and the conclusions of the testing laboratory in the evaluation technical report are consistent with the evidence adduced. This certificate is not an endorsement of the IT product by any agency of the U.S. Government and no warranty of the IT product is either expressed or implied.

Product Name: Windows 2000 Professional, Server, and Advanced Server with SP3 and Q326886 Hotfix Evaluation Platform: Compaq Proliant ML570, ML330; Compaq Professional Workstation AP550; Dell Optiplex GX400; Dell PE 2500, 6450, 2550, 1550 Assurance Level: EAL4 Augmented

Jusan 7 Stur

Information Technology Laboratory National Institute of Standards and Technology

Name of CCTL: Science Applications International Corporation Validation Report Number: CCEVS-VR-02-0025 Date Issued: 25 October 2002 Protection Profile Identifier: Controlled Access Protection Profile, Version 1.d, October 8, 1999

Information Assurance Director National Security Agency

CIS/TCOM 551

Is Windows is "Secure"?

- Good things
 - Design goals include security goals
 - Independent review, configuration guidelines
- But ...
 - "Secure" is a complex concept
 - What properties protected against what attacks?
 - Typical installation includes more than just OS
 - Many problems arise from applications, device drivers
 - Windows driver certification program

Discussion

• Network Security