

**Preview of Lecture 01.25**

On 01.25, we will begin to explore the expressive power of truth-functional logic. At the end of the last lecture, we suggested using the notion of the proposition expressed by a schema as an intuitive vehicle for pursuing this investigation. Since the semantical correlate of a truth-functional schema is a set of truth assignments to some finite set of sentence letters, we can frame the question of the *expressive completeness of truth-functional logic* in terms of propositions. Let  $X$  be a finite set of sentence letters. We deploy the notation:  $\mathbb{A}(X)$  for the set of truth assignments to the sentence letters  $X$ , and  $\mathbb{S}(X)$  for the set of truth-functional schemata compounded from sentence letters all of which are members of  $X$ . If  $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathbb{A}(X)$ , we call  $\mathfrak{P}$  a *proposition over  $X$* . We will establish

**Theorem 1 (Expressive Completeness of Truth-functional Logic)** *Let  $X$  be a finite set of sentence letters and let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a proposition over  $X$ . There is a schema  $S \in \mathbb{S}(X)$  such that  $\mathbb{P}_X(S) = \mathfrak{P}$ .*

With Theorem 1 in hand, we will be able to approach several interesting questions about truth-functional logic, some of which arise in connection with Problem Set 2.