## 23 Lecture 04.20

We considered the problem of establishing that a schema S is not implied by a set of schemata X, or equivalently, that the set of schemata  $X \cup \{\neg S\}$  is not satisfiable. As we noted last time, there is no uniform approach to this problem, that is, the collection of satisfiable schemata is *not* semi-decidable.

Let X be the conjunction of the following schemata.

- $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)((Lxy \land Lyz) \supset Lxz)$
- $(\forall x)(\forall y)(x \neq y \supset (Lxy \lor Lyx))$
- $(\forall x) \neg Lxx$
- $(\forall x)(\exists y)(Lxy \land (\forall z) \neg (Lxz \land Lzy))$
- $(\forall x)(\exists y)(Lyx \land (\forall z) \neg (Lyz \land Lzx))$
- $(\forall x)(\exists y)(Lyx \land Fy)$
- $(\forall x)(\exists y)(Lxy \land Fy)$
- $(\forall x)(\forall y)((Fx \land Fy \land Lxy) \supset (\exists z)(Fz \land Lxz \land Lzy))$

We showed that  $X \not\models (\forall x)Lxx$ , that is, we showed X is satisfiable by constructing a structure A with  $A \models X$ . The structure A is defined as follows. Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of integers and  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  is the set of positive rational numbers.

- $U^A = \mathbb{Q}^+ \times \mathbb{Z} = \{ \langle r, i \rangle \mid r \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \text{ and } i \in \mathbb{Z} \}$  (the cartesian product of  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ ).
- $L^A = \{ \langle \langle r, i \rangle, \langle s, j \rangle \rangle \mid r < s \} \cup \{ \langle \langle r, i \rangle, \langle s, j \rangle \rangle \mid r = s \text{ and } i < j \}.$

We gave another example of demonstrating satisfiability, this time for an infinite collection of schemata. Let S be the conjunction of the following schemata.

- $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)((Lxy \land Lyz) \supset Lxz)$
- $(\forall x)(\forall y)(x \neq y \supset (Lxy \lor Lyx))$
- $(\forall x) \neg Lxx$
- $(\forall x)((\exists y)Lxy \supset (\exists y)(Lxy \land (\forall z)\neg (Lxz \land Lzy)))$
- $(\forall x)((\exists y)Lyx \supset (\exists y)(Lyx \land (\forall z)\neg (Lyz \land Lzx)))$
- $\neg(\forall x)(\exists y)Lyx$
- $\neg(\forall x)(\exists y)Lxy$

For each  $n \ge 2$ , let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be the schema,

$$(\exists x_1) \dots (\exists x_n) \bigwedge_{1 \le i < j \le n} Lx_i x_j.$$

Finally, let  $X = \{S\} \cup \{R^n \mid n \ge 2\}$ . We gave two proofs that X is satisfiable. The first appealed to the

**Theorem 1 (Compactness Theorem)** Let  $\Sigma$  be a set of schemata of polyadic quantification theory. If every finite  $\Delta \subseteq \Sigma$  is satisfiable, then  $\Sigma$  is satisfiable.

*First Proof*: Observe that for every  $n \ge 2$ ,  $\{S\} \cup \{R^m \mid m \le n\}$  is satisfied by a linear order of length n. Hence, by the Compactness Theorem, X is satisfiable.

Second Proof: Define the structure B as follows.

- $U^B = \mathbb{Z}$ .
- $L^B = \{ \langle i, j \rangle \mid (0 \le i \text{ and } j < 0) \text{ or } (i < j \text{ and } (0 \le i, j \text{ or } i, j < 0)) \}.$

Observe that  $B \models X$ .