CIS 551 / TCOM 401 Computer and Network Security

Spring 2008 Lecture 15

Announcements

- Project 3 available on the web soon.
- Plan for the next couple lectures:
 - Industrial strength crypto: DES / AES / RSA
 - Cryptographic protocols

Computational Security

- Perfect Ciphers are *unconditionally secure*
 - No amount of computation will help crack the cipher (i.e. the only strategy is brute force)
- In practice, strive for *computationally security*
 - Given enough power, the attacker could crack the cipher (example: brute force attack)
 - But, an attacker with only *bounded resources* is extremely unlikely to crack it
 - Example: Assume attacker has only polynomial time, then encryption algorithm that can't be inverted in less than exponential time is secure.

Kinds of Industrial Strength Crypto

- Shared Key Cryptography
- Public Key Cryptography
- Cryptographic Hashes

- All of these aim for computational security
 - Not all methods have been proved to be intractable to crack.

Shared Key Cryptography

- Sender & receiver use the same key
- Key must remain private
- Also called *symmetric* or *secret key* cryptography
- Often are *block-ciphers*
 - Process plaintext data in blocks
- Examples: DES, Triple-DES, Blowfish, Twofish, AES, Rijndael, …

Shared Key Notation

• Encryption algorithm

E : key x plain \rightarrow cipher Notation: K{msg} = E(K, msg)

- Decryption algorithm
 - D : key x cipher \rightarrow plain
- D inverts E

D(K, E(K, msg)) = msg

- Use capital "K" for shared (secret) keys
- Sometimes E is the same algorithm as D

Secure Channel: Shared Keys



Data Encryption Standard (DES)

- Adopted as a standard in 1976
- Security analyzed by the National Security Agency (NSA)
 - <u>http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips46-3/fips46-3.pdf</u>
- Key length is 56 bits
 - padded to 64 bits by using 8 parity bits
- Uses simple operators on (up to) 64 bit values
 - Simple to implement in software or hardware
- Input is processed in 64 bit blocks
- Based on a series of 16 rounds
 - Each cycle uses permutation & substitution to combine plaintext with the key

DES Encryption



One Round of DES (f of previous slide)



Types of Permutations in DES



DES S-Boxes

- Substitution table
- 6 bits of input replaced by 4 bits of output
- Which substitution is applied depends on the input bits
- Implemented as a lookup table
 - 8 S-Boxes
 - Each S-Box has a table of 64 entries
 - Each entry specifies a 4-bit output

DES Decryption

- Use the same algorithm as encryption, but use $k_{16}\,\ldots\,k_1$ instead of $k_1\,\ldots\,k_{16}$
- Proof that this works:
 - To obtain round j from j-1:

(1)
$$L_j = R_{j-1}$$

(2) $R_j = L_{j-1} \oplus f(R_{j-1}, k_j)$

– Rewrite in terms of round j-1:

(1)
$$R_{j-1} = L_j$$

(2) $L_{j-1} \oplus f(R_{j-1}, k_j) = R_j$
 $L_{j-1} \oplus f(R_{j-1}, k_j) \oplus f(R_{j-1}, k_j) = R_j \oplus f(R_{j-1}, k_j)$
 $L_{j-1} = R_j \oplus f(R_{j-1}, k_j)$
 $L_{j-1} = R_j \oplus f(L_j, k_j)$

Problems with DES

- Key length too short: 56 bits
 - <u>www.distributed.net</u> broke a DES challenge in 1999 in under 24 hours (parallel attack)
- Other problems
 - Bit-wise complementation of key produces bit-wise complemented ciphertext
 - Not all keys are good (half 0's half 1's)
 - Differential cryptanalysis (1990): Carefully choose pairs of plaintext that differ in particular known ways (e.g. they are complements)
 - But particular choice of S boxes is secure against this (!)

Block Cipher Performance

Algorithm	Key Length	Block Size	Rounds	Clks/Byte
Twofish	variable	128	16	18.1
Blowfish	variable	64	16	19.8
Square	128	128	8	20.3
RC5-32/16	variable	64	32	24.8
CAST-128	128	64	16	29.5
DES	56	64	16	43
Serpent	128,192,256	128	32	45
SAFER (S)K-128	128	64	8	52
FEAL-32	64, 128	64	32	65
IDEA	128	64	8	74
Triple-DES	112	64	48	116

Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)

- National Institute of Standards & Technology NIST
 - Computer Security Research Center (CSRC)
 - <u>http://csrc.nist.gov/</u>
 - <u>http://www.esat.kuleuven.ac.be/~rijmen/rijndael/</u>
- Uses the Rijndael algorithm
 - Invented by Belgium researchers
 Dr. Joan Daemen & Dr. Vincent Rijmen
 - Adopted May 26, 2002
 - Key length: 128, 192, or 256 bits
 - Block size: 128, 192, or 256 bits

AES Operations



AES Operations



Problems with Shared Key Crypto

- Compromised key means interceptors can decrypt any ciphertext they've acquired.
 - Change keys frequently to limit damage
- Distribution of keys is problematic
 - Keys must be transmitted securely
 - Use couriers?
 - Distribute in pieces over separate channels?
- Number of keys is $O(n^2)$ where n is # of participants
- Potentially easier to break?

Hash Algorithms

- Take a variable length string
- Produce a fixed length digest
 - Typically 128-1024 bits



- (Noncryptographic) Examples:
 - Parity (or byte-wise XOR)
 - CRC (cyclic redundancy check) used in communications
 - Ad hoc hashes used for hash tables
- Realistic Example
 - The NIST Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA) takes a message of less than 2⁶⁴ bits and produces a digest of 160 bits

Cryptographic Hashes

- Create a hard-to-invert summary of input data
- Useful for integrity properties
 - Sender computes the hash of the data, transmits data and hash
 - Receiver uses the same hash algorithm, checks the result
- Like a check-sum or error detection code
 - Uses a cryptographic algorithm internally
 - More expensive to compute
- Sometimes called a Message Digest
- History:
 - Message Digest (MD4 -- invented by Rivest, MD5)
 - Secure Hash Algorithm 1993 (SHA-0)
 - Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA-1)
 - SHA-2 (actually a family of hash algorithms with varying output sizes)
- Attacks have been found against both SHA-0 and SHA-1

Uses of Hash Algorithms

- Hashes are used to protect *integrity* of data
 - Virus Scanners
 - Program fingerprinting in general
 - Modification Detection Codes (MDC)
- Message Authenticity Code (MAC)
 - Includes a cryptographic component
 - Send (msg, hash(msg, key))
 - Attacker who doesn't know the key can't modify msg (or the hash)
 - Receiver who knows key can verify origin of message
- Make digital signatures more efficient (we'll see this later)

Desirable Properties

- The probability that a randomly chosen message maps to an n-bit hash should ideally be (¹/₂)ⁿ.
 - Attacker must spend a lot of effort to be able to modify the source message without altering the hash value
- Hash functions h for cryptographic use as MDC's fall in one or both of the following classes.
 - Collision Resistant Hash Function: It should be computationally infeasible to find two distinct inputs that hash to a common value (i.e. h(x) = h(y)).
 - One Way Hash Function: Given a specific hash value y, it should be computationally infeasible to find an input x such that h(x)=y.

Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA)

- Pad message so it can be divided into 512-bit blocks, including a 64 bit value giving the length of the original message.
- Process each block as 16 32-bit words called W(t) for t from 0 to 15.
- Expand from these 16 words to 80 words by defining as follows for each t from 16 to 79:
 - $W(t) := W(t-3) \oplus W(t-8) \oplus W(t-14) \oplus W(t-16)$
- Constants H0, ..., H5 are initialized to special constants
- Result is final contents of H0, ..., H5

for each 16-word block begin

A := H0; B := H1; C := H2; D := H3; E := H4

for I := 0 to 19 begin TEMP := $S(5,A) + ((B \land C) \lor (\neg B \land D)) + E + W(I) + 5A827999;$ E := D; D := C; C := S(30,B); B := A; A := TEMP

end 2. D,

Chaining Variables

for I := 20 to 39 begin

 $TEMP := S(5,A) + (B \oplus C \oplus D) + E + W(I) + 6ED9EBA1;$

E := D; D := C; C := S(30,B); B := A; A := TEMP

end

for I := 40 to 59 begin

TEMP := $S(5,A) + ((B \land C) \lor (B \land D) \lor (C \land D)) + E + W(I) + 8F1BBCDC;$ E := D; D := C; C := S(30,B); B := A; A := TEMP

end

for I := 60 to 79 begin \checkmark Shift A left 5 bits

 $TEMP := S(5,A) + (B \oplus C \oplus D) + E + W(I) + CA62C1D6;$

E := D; D := C; C := S(30,B); B := A; A := TEMP

end

H0 := H0+A; H1 := H1+B; H2 := H2+C; H3 := H3+D; H4 := H4+E end

Attacks against SHA-1

- In early 2005, <u>Rijmen</u> and Oswald published an attack on a reduced version of SHA-1 (53 out of 80 rounds) which finds collisions with a complexity of fewer than 2⁸⁰ operations.
- In February 2005, an attack by <u>Xiaoyun Wang</u>, <u>Yiqun Lisa</u> <u>Yin</u>, and <u>Hongbo Yu</u> was announced. The attacks can find collisions in the full version of SHA-1, requiring fewer than 2⁶⁹ operations (brute force would require 2⁸⁰.)
- In August 2005, same group lowered the threshold to 2^{63.}
- May lead to more attacks...

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- Problem with shared-key systems: Distributing the shared key
- Suppose that Alice and Bart want to agree on a secret (i.e. a key)
 - Communication link is public
 - They don't already share any secrets

Diffie-Hellman by Analogy: Paint

Alice

Bart

28



- Alice & Bart decide on a public color, and mix one liter of that color.
- 2. They each choose a random secret color, and mix two liters of their secret color.
- 3. They keep one liter of their secret color, and mix the other with the public color.

Diffie-Hellman by Analogy: Paint



- 4. They exchange the mixtures over the public channel.
- 5. When they get the other person's mixture, they combine it with their retained secret color.
- 6. The secret is the resulting color: Public + Alice's + Bart's

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- Choose a prime p (publicly known)
 - Should be about 512 bits or more
- Pick g < p (also public)
 - g must be a *primitive root* of p.
 - A primitive root generates the finite field p.
 - Every n in {1, 2, ..., p-1} can be written as g^k mod p
 - Example: 2 is a primitive root of 5
 - $-2^{0} = 1$ $2^{1} = 2$ $2^{2} = 4$ $2^{3} = 3 \pmod{5}$
 - Intuitively means that it's hard to take logarithms base g because there are many candidates.

Diffie-Hellman



- 1. Alice & Bart decide on a public prime p and primitive root g.
- 2. Alice chooses secret number A. Bart chooses secret number B
- 3. Alice sends Bart $g^A \mod p$.
- 4. The shared secret is g^{AB} mod p.

Details of Diffie-Hellman

- Alice computes g^{AB} mod p because she knows A:
 - $g^{AB} \mod p = (g^B \mod p)^A \mod p$
- An eavesdropper gets g^A mod p and g^B mod p
 - They can easily calculate $g^{A+B} \mod p$ but that doesn't help.
 - The problem of computing discrete logarithms (to recover A from g^A mod p is hard.

Example

- Alice and Bart agree that q=71 and g=7.
- Alice selects a private key A=5 and calculates a public key $g^A \equiv 7^5 \equiv 51 \pmod{71}$. She sends this to Bart.
- Bart selects a private key B=12 and calculates a public key $g^B \equiv 7^{12} \equiv 4 \pmod{71}$. He sends this to Alice.
- Alice calculates the shared secret: $S \equiv (g^B)^A \equiv 4^5 \equiv 30 \pmod{71}$
- Bart calculates the shared secret $S \equiv (g^A)^B \equiv 51^{12} \equiv 30 \pmod{71}$

Why Does it Work?

- Security is provided by the difficulty of calculating discrete logarithms.
- Feasibility is provided by
 - The ability to find large primes.
 - The ability to find primitive roots for large primes.
 - The ability to do efficient modular arithmetic.
- Correctness is an immediate consequence of basic facts about modular arithmetic.