Goal: Programming computers easier than communicating with people

Can programming be liberated, period.
David Harel, IEEE Computer, 2008

Enabling Technologies

- More computing power
- Mature software analysis/verification tools
- Better human-computer interfaces
- Data mining tools for code repositories
End-User Programming

Can non-programmers communicate intent intuitively?
People commanding robots
Analysts harvesting data from the web
Network operators configuring switches

Opportunity: Logic to be programmed is simple

Possible Solution: Programming by Examples (or by Demonstration)
Programming By Examples (PBE)

Desired program $P$: bit-vector transformation that resets rightmost substring of contiguous 1’s to 0’s

1. $P$ should be constructed from standard bit-vector operations $|, \&, \sim, +, -, <<, >>, 0, 1, ...$
2. $P$ specified using input-output examples
   
   $\begin{array}{c|c}
   \text{Input} & \text{Output} \\
   \hline
   00101 & 00100 \\
   01010 & 01000 \\
   10110 & 10000 \\
   \end{array}$

Desired solution:

$x \& (1 + (x | (x - 1)))$

FlashFill: PBE in Practice

Ref: Gulwani (POPL 2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(425)-706-7709</td>
<td>425-706-7709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510.220.5586</td>
<td>510-220-5586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 425 235 7654</td>
<td>425-235-7654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>425 745-8139</td>
<td>425-745-8139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Wired:** Excel is now a lot easier for people who aren’t spreadsheet- and chart-making pros. The application’s new Flash Fill feature recognizes patterns, and will offer auto-complete options for your data. For example, if you have a column of first names and a column of last names, and want to create a new column of initials, you’ll only need to type in the first few boxes before Excel recognizes what you’re doing and lets you press Enter to complete the rest of the column.
Program Optimization

Can regular programmers match experts in code performance?
    Improved energy performance in resource constrained settings
    Adoption to new computing platforms such as GPUs

Opportunity: Semantics-preserving code transformation

Possible Solution: Superoptimizing Compiler
    Structure of transformed code may be dissimilar to original

Superoptimization Illustration

Given a program $P$, find a "better" equivalent program $P'$

```c
average (bitvec[32] x, y) {
    bitvec[64] x1 = x;
    bitvec[64] y1 = y;
    bitvec[64] z1 = (x1+y1)/2;
    bitvec[32] z = z1;
    return z
}
```

Find equivalent code without extension to 64 bit vectors

```c
average (x, y) =
    (x and y) + [(x xor y) shift-right 1 ]
```
Side Channel Attacks on Cryptographic Circuits

PPRM1 AES S-Box implementation [Morioka and Satoh, 2002]

Vulnerability: Timing-based attack can reveal secret input In2

Countermeasure to Attack

FSA attack resilient ckt: All input-to-output paths have same delays

Manually hand-crafted solution [Schaumont et al, DATE 2014]
Synthesis of Attack Countermeasures

Given a circuit $C$, automatically synthesize a circuit $C'$ such that
1. $C'$ is functionally equivalent to $C$ [semantic constraint]
2. All input-to-output paths in $C$ have same length [syntactic constraint]

Existing EDA tools cannot handle this synthesis problem

Syntax-Guided Program Synthesis

Rich variety of projects in programming systems and software engineering

- Programming by examples
- Automatic program repair
- Program superoptimization
- Template-guided invariant generation
- Autograding for programming assignments
- Synthesis of patches against security vulnerabilities
- Extracting SQL queries corresponding to Java code fragments

Computational problem at the core of all these synthesis projects:
Find a program that meets given syntactic and semantic constraints
Classical Program Synthesis
Church (1957)

Specification
"What"

Synthesizer

Implementation
"How"

Logical relation $\phi(x,y)$ among input $x$ and output $y$

Constructive proof of Exists $f$. For all $x$. $\phi(x,f(x))$

Function $f(x)$ such that $\phi(x,f(x))$

Syntax-Guided Program Synthesis

www.sygu.s.org

Logical formula $\phi(x,y)$

Semantic Specification

Syntactic Specification

Set $E$ of expressions

Search for $e$ in $E$ s.t. $\phi(x,e(x))$

Synthesizer

Implementation
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Syntax-Guided Program Synthesis

- Find a program snippet $e$ such that
  1. $e$ is in a set $E$ of programs (syntactic constraint)
  2. $e$ satisfies logical specification $\phi$ (semantic constraint)

- Core computational problem in many synthesis tools/applications

Can we formalize and standardize this computational problem?

Inspiration: Success of SMT solvers in formal verification
SMT: Satisfiability Modulo Theories

- Computational problem: Find a satisfying assignment to a formula
  - Boolean + Int types, logical connectives, arithmetic operators
  - Bit-vectors + bit-manipulation operations in C
  - Boolean + Int types, logical/arithmetic ops + Uninterpreted functs

- "Modulo Theory": Interpretation for symbols is fixed
  - Can use specialized algorithms (e.g. for arithmetic constraints)

SMT Success Story

Testing → Verification → Planning → Control → ...

SMT-LIB Standardized Interchange Format (smt-lib.org)
  Problem classification + Benchmark repositories
  LIA, LIA_UF, LRA, QF_LIA, ...

+ Annual Competition (smt-competition.org)

Z3 → Yices → CVC4 → MathSAT5 → ...

Syntax-Guided Synthesis (SyGuS) Problem

- Fix a background theory T: fixes types and operations
- Function to be synthesized: name f along with its type
  - General case: multiple functions to be synthesized
- Inputs to SyGuS problem:
  - Specification \( \varphi(x, f(x)) \)
    Typed formula using symbols in T + symbol f
  - Set E of expressions given by a context-free grammar
    Set of candidate expressions that use symbols in T
- Computational problem:
  Output e in E such that \( \varphi[f/e] \) is valid (in theory T)

Syntax-guided synthesis: FMCAD’13
with Bodik, Juniwal, Martin, Raghothaman, Seshia, Singh, Solar-Lezama, Torlak, Udupa

SyGuS Example 1

- Theory QF-LIA (Quantifier-free linear integer arithmetic)
  Types: Integers and Booleans
  Logical connectives, Conditionals, and Linear arithmetic
  Quantifier-free formulas
- Function to be synthesized \( f \) (int \( x_1, x_2 \)) : int
- Specification: \( (x_1 \leq f(x_1, x_2)) \) \& \( (x_2 \leq f(x_1, x_2)) \)
- Candidate Implementations: Linear expressions
  \( \text{LinExp} := x_1 \mid x_2 \mid \text{Const} \mid \text{LinExp} + \text{LinExp} \mid \text{LinExp} - \text{LinExp} \)
- No solution exists
SyGuS Example 2

- Theory QF-LIA
- Function to be synthesized: \( f(\text{int } x_1, x_2) : \text{int} \)
- Specification: \( (x_1 \leq f(x_1, x_2)) \& (x_2 \leq f(x_1, x_2)) \)
- Candidate Implementations: Conditional expressions without +
  
  \[
  \text{Term} := x_1 \mid x_2 \mid \text{Const} \mid \text{If-Then-Else} (\text{Cond}, \text{Term}, \text{Term}) \\
  \text{Cond} := \text{Term} \leq \text{Term} \mid \text{Cond} \& \text{Cond} \mid \neg \text{Cond} \mid (\text{Cond})
  \]
- Possible solution:
  \( \text{If-Then-Else} (x_1 \leq x_2, x_2, x_1) \)

SyGuS as Active Learning

Initial examples I

Search Algorithm → Candidate Expression

Search Algorithm → Verification Oracle

Verification Oracle → Counterexample

Verification Oracle → Success

Search Algorithm → Fail

Candidate Expression → Verification Oracle

Candidate Expression → Search Algorithm

Concept class: Set \( E \) of expressions

Examples: Concrete input values
Counterexample-Guided Inductive Synthesis  
Solar-Lezama et al (ASPLOS’06)

- Specification: \((x_1 \leq f(x_1, x_2)) \& (x_2 \leq f(x_1, x_2))\)

- Set \(E\): All expressions built from \(x_1, x_2, 0, 1\), Comparison, If-Then-Else

I = {}

Search Algorithm  
Verification Oracle

Candidate  
f(x_1, x_2) = x_1

Example  
\((x_1=0, x_2=1)\)

CEGIS Example

- Specification: \((x_1 \leq f(x_1, x_2)) \& (x_2 \leq f(x_1, x_2))\)

- Set \(E\): All expressions built from \(x_1, x_2, 0, 1\), Comparison, If-Then-Else

I = \{((x_1=0, x_2=1))\}

Candidate  
f(x_1, x_2) = x_2

Search Algorithm  
Verification Oracle

Example  
\((x_1=1, x_2=0)\)
**CEGIS Example**

- Specification: $(x_1 \leq f(x_1, x_2)) \land (x_2 \leq f(x_1, x_2))$

- Set $E$: All expressions built from $x_1$, $x_2$, $0$, $1$, Comparison, If-Then-Else

\[
\{(x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1), \\
(x_1 = 1, x_2 = 0), \\
(x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0), \\
(x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1)\}
\]

**Enumerative Search**

- Given:
  - Specification $\varphi(x, f(x))$
  - Grammar for set $E$ of candidate implementations
  - Finite set $I$ of inputs
  - Find an expression $e(x)$ in $E$ s.t. $\varphi(x, e(x))$ holds for all $x$ in $I$

- Attempt 0: Enumerate expressions in $E$ increasing size till you find one that satisfies $\varphi$ for all inputs in $I$

- Attempt 1: Pruning of search space based on:
  - Expressions $e_1$ and $e_2$ are equivalent
    - if $e_1(x) = e_2(x)$ on all $x$ in $I$
  - Only one representative among equivalent subexpressions needs to be considered for building larger expressions
Illustrating Pruning

- Spec: \( (x_1 < f(x_1, x_2)) \land (x_2 < f(x_1, x_2)) \)
- Grammar: \( E ::= x_1 \mid x_2 \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid E + E \)
- \( I = \{ (x_1=0, x_2=1) \} \)
- Find an expression \( f \) such that \( f(0,1) > 0 \) & \( f(0,1) > 1 \)

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
x_1 & x_2 \\
\hline
0 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
&
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
x_1 + x_1 & x_1 + x_2 & x_2 + x_2 \\
\hline
x_2 + x_1 & & \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

SyGuS Competition

- Program optimization
- Program repair
- Programming by examples
- Invariant generation

SYNTH-LIB Standardized Interchange Format
Problem classification + Benchmark repository
+ SyGuS-COMP (Competition for solvers) held since FLoC 2014

Techniques for Solvers:
Learning, Constraint solvers, Enumerative/stochastic search

Collaborators: Fisman, Singh, Solar-Lezama
SyGuS Progress

Over 1500 benchmarks
- Hacker's delight
- Invariant generation (based on verification competition SV-Comp)
- FlashFill (programming by examples system from Microsoft)
- Synthesis of attack-resilient crypto circuits
- Program repair
- Motion planning
- ICFP programming competition

Special tracks for competition
- Invariant generation
- Programming by examples
- Conditional linear arithmetic

New solution strategies and applications

Scaling Enumerative Search by Divide & Conquer

For the spec \( (x_1 \leq f(x_1, x_2)) \land (x_2 \leq f(x_1, x_2)) \) the answer is If-Then-Else \( (x_1 \leq x_2, x_2, x_1) \)

Size of expressions in conditionals and terms can be much smaller than the size of the entire expression!

\( f(x_1, x_2) = x_2 \) is correct when \( x_1 \leq x_2 \) and \( f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 \) is correct otherwise

Key idea:
- Generate partial solutions that are correct on subsets of inputs and combine them using conditionals
- Enumerate terms and tests for conditionals separately
- Terms and tests are put together using decision tree learning

With A. Radhakrishna and A. Udupa (TACAS 2017)
Enumerative Search with Decision Tree Learning

**Expressions / Labels**
- $x_1$
- $x_2$
- $x_2 + x_2$
- ...

**Inputs / Data points**
- $(x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1)$
- $(x_1 = 1, x_2 = 0)$
- ...
- ...

**Predicates / Attributes**
- $x_1 \leq x_2$
- $x_2 + x_2 \leq x_1$
- ...
- ...

Input $x$ labeled with expression $e$ if $\varphi(x, e(x))$ holds
Input $x$ has attribute $p$ if $p(x)$ holds

Desired decision tree:
Internal nodes: predicates + Leaves: expressions

---

Acceleration Using Learned Probabilistic Models

- Can we bias the search towards likely programs?

- **Step 1**: Mine existing solutions to convert given grammar into a probabilistic higher-order grammar
  - Weighted production rules
  - Conditioned on parent and sibling context
  - Transfer learning used to avoid overfitting

- **Step 2**: Enumerative search to generate expressions in decreasing likelihood
  - Use $A^*$ with cost estimation heuristic
  - Integrated with previous optimizations (equivalence-based pruning...)

With W. Lee, K. Heo, and M. Naik (PLDI 2018)
Experimental Evaluation

- 2017 SyGuS Competition
  - Over 1500 benchmarks in different categories
  - Solution size:
    - about 20 AST nodes in string manipulation programs
    - upto 1000 AST nodes in bitvector manipulation programs
  - Number of participating solvers: 8
- State of the art solver: Euphony
  - Enumerative + Decision trees + Learned probabilistic models
- Evaluation of Euphony
  - 70% of all benchmarks solved with a time limit of 1 hour
  - Average time ~ 10 min
  - Median time ~ 2 min

2018 Winner: CVC4 (Reynolds et al):
Integration of enumerative search with constraint solving !!

Emerging Applications of SyGuS

- Synthesis of crypto-circuits resilient to timing attack
  (Wang et al, CAV 2016)
- Solving of quantified formulas in SMT solvers
  (Biere et al, TACAS 2017)
  - To solve For all x. Exists y. $\varphi(x,y)$
  - Synthesize Skolem function $f(x)$ such that For all x. $\varphi(x,f(x))$
- Improved solver for bit-vector arithmetic in CVC4
  (Barrett et al, CAV 2018)
  - Automatic generation of side conditions for bit-vector rewriting
- Automatic inversion of list manipulating programs
  (Hu and D’Antoni, PLDI 2018)
  - Modeled as symbolic transducers and applied to string encoders
Back to Synthesis of Attack Countermeasures

Given a circuit $C$, automatically synthesize a circuit $C'$ such that
1. $C'$ is functionally equivalent to $C$ [sematic constraint]
2. All input-to-output paths in $C'$ have same length [syntactic constraint]

Can be encoded directly as a SyGuS problem (Wang et al, CAV'16)

SyGuS Result

Original ckt prone to attack  SyGuS-generated Attack resilient ckt

Hand-crafted attack resilient ckt

Fully automatic
Smaller size
Shorter delays
**SyGuS Conclusions**

- Problem definition
  - Syntactic constraint on space of allowed programs
  - Semantic constraint given by logical formula

- Solution strategies
  - Counterexample-guided inductive synthesis
  - Search in program space + Verification of candidate solutions

- Applications
  - Programming by examples
  - Program optimization with respect to syntactic constraints

- Annual competition (SyGuS-comp)
  - Standardized interchange format + benchmarks repository

**Program Synthesis: Future**

- Can search-based synthesis scale?
  - Many unexplored opportunities to exploit program structure
  - Highly parallelizable

  - Computationally hard analysis problems such as model checking, constraint solving were considered hopeless at the beginning

- How to integrate synthesis in programming environments?
  - Synthesis tool can suggest code completions
  - User interaction model is key
  - Integration in next-generation compilers

- Relationship to machine learning?
Learning to Program

- How can machine learning help program synthesis?
  - Already discussed: decision trees, probabilistic models of code

- Programming by examples: can we train a neural network?
  - Challenges: very few examples, program space far from continuous
  - Illustrative effort: Neural Flashfill (Microsoft)

- Can we mine code bases to suggest program completions
  - DARPA MUSE program
  - Illustrative effort: Bayou (Chaudhuri et al) for prediction of API usage in Java code via Bayesian inference

Program Synthesis to Aid ML

- Can program synthesis help in design of ML systems?
  - Illustrative effort (Google Brain): Use syntax-guided synthesis to generate script of API calls for TensorFlow programs

- Can program verification/synthesis contribute to "explainable AI"?
  - Synthesize logical input-output relationships for trained neural networks
  - Synthesize adversarial test inputs to check robustness of neural networks
Goal: Programming computers easier than communicating with people

- Program Synthesis
- Machine Learning
- Human-Computer Interaction