

Chapter 4

RAM Programs, Turing Machines, and the Partial Recursive Functions

4.1 Partial Functions and RAM Programs

We define an abstract machine model for computing functions

$$f: \underbrace{\Sigma^* \times \cdots \times \Sigma^*}_n \rightarrow \Sigma^*,$$

where $\Sigma = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ is some input alphabet. Numerical functions $f: \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ can be viewed as functions defined over the one-letter alphabet $\{a_1\}$, using the bijection $m \mapsto a_1^m$.

Let us recall the definition of a partial function.

A binary relation $R \subseteq A \times B$ between two sets A and B is *functional* iff, for all $x \in A$, and $y, z \in B$,

$$(x, y) \in R \quad \text{and} \quad (x, z) \in R \quad \text{implies that} \quad y = z.$$

A *partial function* is a triple $f = \langle A, G, B \rangle$, where A and B are arbitrary sets (possibly empty) and G is a functional relation (possibly empty) between A and B , called the *graph* of f .

Hence, a partial function is a functional relation such that every argument has at most one image under f .

The graph of a function f is denoted as $graph(f)$. When no confusion can arise, a function f and its graph are usually identified.

A partial function $f = \langle A, G, B \rangle$ is often denoted as $f: A \rightarrow B$.

The *domain* $dom(f)$ of a partial function $f = \langle A, G, B \rangle$ is the set

$$dom(f) = \{x \in A \mid \exists y \in B, (x, y) \in G\}.$$

For every element $x \in dom(f)$, the unique element $y \in B$ such that $(x, y) \in graph(f)$ is denoted as $f(x)$. We say that $f(x)$ *converges*, also denoted as $f(x) \downarrow$.

If $x \in A$ and $x \notin dom(f)$, we say that $f(x)$ *diverges*, also denoted as $f(x) \uparrow$.

Intuitively, if a function is partial, it does not return any output for any input not in its domain. This corresponds to an infinite computation.

A partial function $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a *total function* iff $dom(f) = A$. It is customary to call a total function simply a function.

We now define a model of computation known as the *RAM programs*, or *Post machines*. RAM programs are written in a sort of assembly language involving simple instructions manipulating strings stored into registers.

Every RAM program uses a fixed and finite number of registers denoted as R_1, \dots, R_p , with no limitation on the size of strings held in the registers.

RAM programs can be defined either in flowchart form or in linear form. Since the linear form is more convenient for coding purposes, we present RAM programs in linear form.

A RAM program P (in linear form) consists of a finite sequence of instructions using a finite number of registers R_1, \dots, R_p .

Instructions may optionally be labeled with line numbers denoted as N_1, \dots, N_q .

It is neither mandatory to label all instructions, nor to use distinct line numbers!

Thus, the same line number can be used in more than one line. As we will see later on, this makes it easier to concatenate two different programs without performing a renumbering of line numbers.

Every instruction has four fields, not necessarily all used. The main field is the **op-code**.

Definition 4.1.1 RAM programs are constructed from seven types of instructions shown below:

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|------------------|------------|
| (1 _j) | <i>N</i> | | add _j | <i>Y</i> |
| (2) | <i>N</i> | | tail | <i>Y</i> |
| (3) | <i>N</i> | | clr | <i>Y</i> |
| (4) | <i>N</i> | <i>Y</i> | ← | <i>X</i> |
| (5 _a) | <i>N</i> | | jmp | <i>N1a</i> |
| (5 _b) | <i>N</i> | | jmp | <i>N1b</i> |
| (6 _j <i>a</i>) | <i>N</i> | <i>Y</i> | jmp _j | <i>N1a</i> |
| (6 _j <i>b</i>) | <i>N</i> | <i>Y</i> | jmp _j | <i>N1b</i> |
| (7) | <i>N</i> | | continue | |

An instruction of type (1_j) concatenates the letter a_j to the right of the string held by register Y ($1 \leq j \leq k$). The effect is the assignment

$$Y := Y a_j$$

An instruction of type (2) deletes the leftmost letter of the string held by the register Y . This corresponds to the function *tail*, defined such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tail}(\epsilon) &= \epsilon, \\ \text{tail}(a_j u) &= u. \end{aligned}$$

The effect is the assignment

$$Y := \text{tail}(Y)$$

An instruction of type (3) clears register Y , i.e., sets its value to the empty string ϵ . The effect is the assignment

$$Y := \epsilon$$

An instruction of type (4) assigns the value of register X to register Y . The effect is the assignment

$$Y := X$$

An instruction of type (5a) or (5b) is an unconditional jump.

The effect of (5a) is to jump to the closest line number $N1$ occurring above the instruction being executed, and the effect of (5b) is to jump to the closest line number $N1$ occurring below the instruction being executed.

An instruction of type (6_ja) or (6_jb) is a conditional jump. Let $head$ be the function defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} head(\epsilon) &= \epsilon, \\ head(a_ju) &= a_j. \end{aligned}$$

The effect of (6_ja) is to jump to the closest line number $N1$ occurring above the instruction being executed iff $head(Y) = a_j$, else to execute the next instruction (the one immediately following the instruction being executed).

The effect of (6_jb) is to jump to the closest line number $N1$ occurring below the instruction being executed iff $head(Y) = a_j$, else to execute the next instruction.

When computing over \mathbb{N} , instructions of type (6_jb) jump to the closest $N1$ above or below iff Y is nonnull.

An instruction of type (7) is a no-op, i.e., the registers are unaffected. If there is a next instruction, then it is executed, else, the program stops.

Obviously, a program is syntactically correct only if certain conditions hold.

Definition 4.1.2 A *RAM program* P is a finite sequence of instructions as in Definition 4.1.1, and satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) For every jump instruction (conditional or not), the line number to be jumped to must exist in P .
- (2) The last instruction of a RAM program is a **continue**.

The reason for allowing multiple occurrences of line numbers is to make it easier to concatenate programs without having to perform a renaming of line numbers. The technical choice of jumping to the closest address $N1$ above or below comes from the fact that it is easy to search up or down using primitive recursion, as we will see later on.

It is fairly obvious that linear RAM programs can be represented in flowchart form, and that the two models are equivalent. We will not worry about this in this Chapter.

For the purpose of computing a function

$f: \underbrace{\Sigma^* \times \cdots \times \Sigma^*}_n \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ using a RAM program P , we assume that P has at

least n registers called *input registers*, and that these registers R_1, \dots, R_n are initialized with the input values of the function f . We also assume that the output is returned in register R_1 .

The following RAM program concatenates two strings x_1 and x_2 held in registers $R1$ and $R2$.

| | | | |
|------|------|-------------------|-------|
| | $R3$ | \leftarrow | $R1$ |
| | $R4$ | \leftarrow | $R2$ |
| $N0$ | $R4$ | jmp_a | $N1b$ |
| | $R4$ | jmp_b | $N2b$ |
| | | jmp | $N3b$ |
| $N1$ | | add_a | $R3$ |
| | | tail | $R4$ |
| | | jmp | $N0a$ |
| $N2$ | | add_b | $R3$ |
| | | tail | $R4$ |
| | | jmp | $N0a$ |
| $N3$ | $R1$ | \leftarrow | $R3$ |
| | | continue | |

Since $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$, for more clarity, we wrote jmp_a instead of jmp_1 , jmp_b instead of jmp_2 , add_a instead of add_1 , and add_b instead of add_2 .

Definition 4.1.3 A RAM program P computes the partial function $\varphi: (\Sigma^*)^n \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ if the following conditions hold: For every input $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (\Sigma^*)^n$, having initialized the input registers $R1, \dots, Rn$ with x_1, \dots, x_n , the program eventually halts iff $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ converges, and if and when P halts, the value of $R1$ is equal to $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. A partial function φ is *RAM-computable* iff it is computed by some RAM program.

For example, the following program computes the *erase function* E defined such that

$$E(u) = \epsilon$$

for all $u \in \Sigma^*$:

```

clr      R1
continue

```

The following program computes the *j*th successor function S_j defined such that

$$S_j(u) = ua_j$$

for all $u \in \Sigma^*$:

```

    addj      R1
    continue

```

The following program (with n input variables) computes the *projection function* P_i^n defined such that

$$P_i^n(u_1, \dots, u_n) = u_i,$$

where $n \geq 1$, and $1 \leq i \leq n$:

```

    R1      ←      Ri
    continue

```

Note that P_1^1 is the identity function.

Having a programming language, we would like to know how powerful it is, that is, we would like to know what kind of functions are RAM-computable.

At first glance, RAM programs don't do much, but this is not so. Indeed, we will see shortly that the class of RAM-computable functions is quite extensive.

One way of getting new programs from previous ones is via composition. Another one is by primitive recursion. We will investigate these constructions after introducing another model of computation, *Turing machines*.

Remarkably, the classes of (partial) functions computed by RAM programs and by Turing machines are identical. This is the class of *partial recursive function*. This class can be given several other definitions. We will present the definition of the so-called *μ -recursive functions* (due to Kleene).

The following Lemma will be needed to simplify the encoding of RAM programs as numbers.

Lemma 4.1.4 *Every RAM program can be converted to an equivalent program only using the following type of instructions:*

| | | | | |
|--------------------|-----|-----|------------------------|-------|
| (1 _j) | N | | add_j | Y |
| (2) | N | | tail | Y |
| (6 _j a) | N | Y | jmp_j | $N1a$ |
| (6 _j b) | N | Y | jmp_j | $N1b$ |
| (7) | N | | continue | |

The proof is fairly simple. For example, instructions of the form

$$R_i \leftarrow R_j$$

can be eliminated by transferring the contents of R_j in reverse order into an auxiliary register R_k , and then by transferring the contents of R_k in reverse order into R_i .

4.2 Definition of a Turing Machine

We define a Turing machine model for computing functions

$$f: \underbrace{\Sigma^* \times \cdots \times \Sigma^*}_n \rightarrow \Sigma^*,$$

where $\Sigma = \{a_1, \dots, a_N\}$ is some input alphabet. We only consider deterministic Turing machines.

A Turing machine also uses a *tape alphabet* Γ such that $\Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$. The tape alphabet contains some special symbol $B \notin \Sigma$, the *blank*.

In this model, a Turing machine uses a single tape. This tape can be viewed as a string over Γ . The tape is both an input tape and a storage mechanism.

Symbols on the tape can be overwritten, and the tape can grow either on the left or on the right. There is a read/write head pointing to some symbol on the tape.

Unlike Pushdown automata or NFA's, the read/write head can move left or right.

Definition 4.2.1 A (deterministic) *Turing machine* (or *TM*) M is a sextuple $M = (K, \Sigma, \Gamma, \{L, R\}, \delta, q_0)$, where

- K is a finite set of *states*;
- Σ is a finite *input alphabet*;
- Γ is a finite *tape alphabet*, s.t. $\Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$, $K \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$, and with blank $B \notin \Sigma$;
- $q_0 \in K$ is the *start state* (or *initial state*);
- δ is the *transition function*, a (finite) set of quintuples

$$\delta \subseteq K \times \Gamma \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\} \times K,$$

such that for all $(p, a) \in K \times \Gamma$, there is at most one triple $(b, m, q) \in \Gamma \times \{L, R\} \times K$ such that $(p, a, b, m, q) \in \delta$.

A quintuple $(p, a, b, m, q) \in \delta$ is called an *instruction*. It is also denoted as

$$p, a \rightarrow b, m, q.$$

The effect of an instruction is to switch from state p to state q , overwrite the symbol currently scanned a with b , and move the read/write head either left or right, according to m .

4.3 Computations of Turing Machines

To explain how a Turing machine works, we describe its action on *Instantaneous descriptions*. We take advantage of the fact that $K \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$ to define instantaneous descriptions.

Definition 4.3.1 Given a Turing machine

$$M = (K, \Sigma, \Gamma, \{L, R\}, \delta, q_0),$$

an *instantaneous description* (for short an *ID*) is a (nonempty) string in $\Gamma^* K \Gamma^+$, that is, a string of the form

$$upav,$$

where $u, v \in \Gamma^*$, $p \in K$, and $a \in \Gamma$.

The intuition is that an ID $upav$ describes a snapshot of a TM in the current state p , whose tape contains the string uav , and with the read/write head pointing to the symbol a .

Thus, in $upav$, the state p is just to the left of the symbol presently scanned by the read/write head.

We explain how a TM works by showing how it acts on ID's.

Definition 4.3.2 Given a Turing machine

$$M = (K, \Sigma, \Gamma, \{L, R\}, \delta, q_0),$$

the *yield relation (or compute relation)* \vdash is a binary relation defined on the set of ID's as follows. For any two ID's ID_1 and ID_2 , we have $ID_1 \vdash ID_2$ iff either

- (1) $(p, a, b, R, q) \in \delta$, and either
 - (a) $ID_1 = upacv$, $c \in \Gamma$, and $ID_2 = ubqcv$, or
 - (b) $ID_1 = upa$ and $ID_2 = ubqB$;

or

- (2) $(p, a, b, L, q) \in \delta$, and either
 - (a) $ID_1 = uc pav$, $c \in \Gamma$, and $ID_2 = uqcbv$, or
 - (b) $ID_1 = pav$ and $ID_2 = qBbv$.

Note how the tape is extended by one blank after the rightmost symbol in case (1)(b), and by one blank before the leftmost symbol in case (2)(b).

As usual, we let \vdash^+ denote the transitive closure of \vdash , and we let \vdash^* denote the reflexive and transitive closure of \vdash .

We can now explain how a Turing function computes a partial function

$$f: \underbrace{\Sigma^* \times \cdots \times \Sigma^*}_n \rightarrow \Sigma^*.$$

Since we allow functions taking $n \geq 1$ input strings, we assume that Γ contains the special delimiter $,$ not in Σ , used to separate the various input strings.

It is convenient to assume that a Turing machine “cleans up” its tape when it halts, before returning its output. For this, we will define proper ID’s.

Definition 4.3.3 Given a Turing machine

$$M = (K, \Sigma, \Gamma, \{L, R\}, \delta, q_0),$$

where Γ contains some delimiter $\#$, not in Σ in addition to the blank B , a *starting ID* is of the form

$$q_0 w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$$

where $w_1, \dots, w_n \in \Sigma^*$ and $n \geq 2$, or $q_0 w$ with $w \in \Sigma^+$, or $q_0 B$.

A *blocking (or halting) ID* is an ID $upav$ such that there are no instructions $(p, a, b, m, q) \in \delta$ for any $(b, m, q) \in \Gamma \times \{L, R\} \times K$.

A *proper ID* is a halting ID of the form

$$B^k p w B^l,$$

where $w \in \Sigma^*$, and $k, l \geq 0$ (with $l \geq 1$ when $w = \epsilon$).

Computation sequences are defined as follows.

Definition 4.3.4 Given a Turing machine

$$M = (K, \Sigma, \Gamma, \{L, R\}, \delta, q_0),$$

a *computation sequence (or computation)* is a finite or infinite sequence of ID's

$$ID_0, ID_1, \dots, ID_i, ID_{i+1}, \dots,$$

such that $ID_i \vdash ID_{i+1}$ for all $i \geq 0$.

A computation sequence *halts* iff it is a finite sequence of ID's, so that

$$ID_0 \vdash^* ID_n,$$

and ID_n is a halting ID.

A computation sequence *diverges* if it is an infinite sequence of ID's.

We now explain how a Turing machine computes a partial function.

Definition 4.3.5 A Turing machine

$$M = (K, \Sigma, \Gamma, \{L, R\}, \delta, q_0)$$

computes the partial function

$$f: \underbrace{\Sigma^* \times \dots \times \Sigma^*}_n \rightarrow \Sigma^*$$

iff the following conditions hold:

- (1) For every $w_1, \dots, w_n \in \Sigma^*$, given the starting ID

$$ID_0 = q_0 w_1 w_2 \dots w_n$$

or $q_0 w$ with $w \in \Sigma^+$, or $q_0 B$, the computation sequence of M from ID_0 halts in a proper ID iff $f(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ is defined.

- (2) If $f(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ is defined, then M halts in a proper ID of the form

$$ID_n = B^k p f(w_1, \dots, w_n) B^h,$$

which means that it computes the right value.

A function f (over Σ^*) is *Turing computable* iff it is computed by some Turing machine M .

Note that by (1), the TM M may halt in an improper ID, in which case $f(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ must be undefined. This corresponds to the fact that we only accept to retrieve the output of a computation if the TM has cleaned up its tape, i.e., produced a proper ID. In particular, intermediate calculations have to be erased before halting.

Example.

$$K = \{q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3\};$$

$$\Sigma = \{a, b\};$$

$$\Gamma = \{a, b, B\};$$

The instructions in δ are:

$$q_0, B \rightarrow B, R, q_3,$$

$$q_0, a \rightarrow b, R, q_1,$$

$$q_0, b \rightarrow a, R, q_1,$$

$$q_1, a \rightarrow b, R, q_1,$$

$$q_1, b \rightarrow a, R, q_1,$$

$$q_1, B \rightarrow B, L, q_2,$$

$$q_2, a \rightarrow a, L, q_2,$$

$$q_2, b \rightarrow b, L, q_2,$$

$$q_2, B \rightarrow B, R, q_3.$$

The reader can easily verify that this machine exchanges the a 's and b 's in a string. For example, on input $w = aaababb$, the output is $bbbabaa$.

4.4 RAM-computable functions are Turing-computable

Turing machines can simulate RAM programs, and as a result, we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 4.4.1 *Every RAM-computable function is Turing-computable. Furthermore, given a RAM program P , we can effectively construct a Turing machine M computing the same function.*

The idea of the proof is to represent the contents of the registers R_1, \dots, R_p on the Turing machine tape by the string

$$\#r_1\#r_2\#\cdots\#r_p\#,$$

Where $\#$ is a special marker and r_i represents the string held by R_i , We also use Lemma 4.1.4 to reduce the number of instructions to be dealt with.

The Turing machine M is built of blocks, each block simulating the effect of some instruction of the program P . The details are a bit tedious, and can be found in the notes or in Machtey and Young.

4.5 Turing-computable functions are RAM-computable

RAM programs can also simulate Turing machines.

Theorem 4.5.1 *Every Turing-computable function is RAM-computable. Furthermore, given a Turing machine M , one can effectively construct a RAM program P computing the same function.*

The idea of the proof is to design a RAM program containing an encoding of the current ID of the Turing machine M in register $R1$, and to use other registers $R2, R3$ to simulate the effect of executing an instruction of M by updating the ID of M in $R1$.

The details are tedious and can be found in the notes.

Another proof can be obtained by proving that the class of Turing computable functions coincides with the class of *partial recursive functions*. Indeed, it turns out that both RAM programs and Turing machines compute precisely the class of partial recursive functions.

First, we define the *primitive recursive functions*.