Safe Policy Search for Lifelong Reinforcement Learning with Sublinear Regret







Summary

We developed a lifelong policy gradient learner that operates in an adversarial setting to learn multiple tasks online while enforcing safety constraints on the learned policies.

- Fully online learning of multiple, consecutive RL tasks
- Ensures "safe" policies by respecting safety constraints
- Exhibits sublinear regret for lifelong policy search
- Validated on benchmark dynamical systems and quadrotor control

Motivations

- 1. Reuse knowledge from previously learned tasks to accelerate the learning of new control policies
 - \rightarrow Lifelong RL to learn multiple, consecutive tasks online
 - \rightarrow Exhibit vanishing regrets
- 2. Robotic control policies must obey safety constraints (e.g., prevent damage to the robot and environment, avoid catastrophic failure)
 - \rightarrow Incorporate constraints directly into the optimization

Background: Policy Gradient (PG) Methods

- Agent interacts with environment, taking consecutive actions
- PG methods support continuous state and action spaces
- Have shown recent success in applications to robotic control



Background: Online Learning & Regret Analysis

- **Regret Minimization Game:** Each round $j = 1 \dots R$,
 - a.) agent chooses a prediction θ_i , and
 - b.) environment (i.e., the adversary) chooses a loss function l_j

Goal: minimize cumulative regret (modified for multi-task case)

$$\Re_{R} = \sum_{j=1}^{R} l_{t_{j}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{j}) - \inf_{u \in \mathcal{K}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{R} l_{t_{j}}(\boldsymbol{u}) \right] \qquad \begin{array}{c} l_{t_{j}}: \text{loss} \\ \text{at response of } l_{t_{j}}: \text{loss} \\ l_{t_{j}}: \text{loss} \\ l_{t_{j}}: \text{loss} \\ l_{t_{j}}: l_{t_{j}: l_{t_{j}}$$

Solve via "Follow the Regularized Leader":

1.) Find θ via unconstrained optimization over accumulated losses 2.) Project θ onto the constraint set via Bregman projections

Haitham Bou Ammar University of Pennsylvania









$$\begin{split} \min_{\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{S}} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \left[\eta_{t_{j}} l_{t_{j}} \left(\boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{s}_{t_{j}} \right) \right] + \mu_{1} \left| |\boldsymbol{S}| \right|_{\mathsf{F}}^{2} + \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{A}_{t_{j}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{t_{j}} \leq \boldsymbol{b}_{t_{j}} \quad \forall t_{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{r} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\min} \left(\boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{L}^{\mathsf{T}} \right) \geq p \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{t_{j}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{t_{j}} \leq p \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{t_{j}} \geq p \quad \boldsymbol{$$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{r+1} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\in\mathcal{K}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_r(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \qquad \boldsymbol{\Omega}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mu_2$$

$$oldsymbol{\Omega}_j(oldsymbol{ heta}) = oldsymbol{\Omega}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\boldsymbol{L}} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1} & \dots & \boldsymbol{\theta}_{d(k-1)+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\theta}_{d} & \dots & \boldsymbol{\theta}_{dk} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\boldsymbol{s}_{d}}$$

- Step 2: Project θ_{r+1} to the constraint set via the Bregman divergence over Ω_r — involves solving 2nd order cone and semi-definite programs

Rasul Tutunov University of Pennsylvania



Eric Eaton University of Pennsylvania



We thank Ali Jadbabaie for assistance with the optimization solution, and the anonymous reviewers for their helpful feedback.