

Homework VI (due April 21), Math 603, Spring 2003. (GJZ)

B I(a). Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 0 and let  $f(X) \in k[X]$  be an irreducible polynomial of degree  $n \geq 2$ . Write  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  for a full set of roots of  $f(X)$  in its splitting field,  $M$ . We proved in class that  $M$  is normal over  $k$ , and as a corollary, this implies that for any two distinct roots  $\alpha, \beta$  of  $f(X)$ , there is a  $k$ -automorphism,  $\sigma$ , of  $M$  so that  $\sigma(\alpha) = \beta$ . As every permutation is a product of transpositions, we deduce that for every permutation,  $\pi$ , of the roots  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ , there is a  $k$ -automorphism,  $\sigma$ , of  $M$  so that  $\sigma(\alpha_i) = \sigma(\alpha_{\pi(i)})$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Consequently, if some difference of roots, say  $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1$  is in  $k$ , by applying the  $k$ -automorphism,  $\sigma_j$ , so that  $\sigma_j(\alpha_2) = \alpha_j$  and  $\sigma(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i$  for all  $i \neq 2$ , with  $j \geq 3$ , we see that  $\alpha_j - \alpha_1 \in k$  for  $j = 2, \dots, n$ . Then, the sum of these differences is

$$\sum_{j=2}^n \alpha_j - (n-1)\alpha_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j - n\alpha_1 \in k.$$

But,  $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j$  is  $\pm$  the coefficient of the term of degree  $n-1$  in  $f(X)$ , thus in  $k$ , and since  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , we can divide by  $n$ , and we deduce that  $\alpha_1 \in k$ , which is absurd, as  $f(X)$  is irreducible over  $k$ .

Now, for a counter-example if  $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$ . We claim that the polynomial

$$f(X) = X^p - X - 1$$

over  $k = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is irreducible and has distinct roots,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2 = \alpha + 1, \dots, \alpha_p = \alpha + p - 1$ , where  $\alpha$  is any of the roots of  $f(X)$  in its splitting field,  $\Omega$ . Since  $p$  is a prime, we know that

$$a^p = a, \quad \text{for every } a \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$$

and so

$$a^p - a - 1 = a - a - 1 = -1, \quad \text{for every } a \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z},$$

which shows that  $f(X)$  has no root in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus,  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . We also know that  $(x+y)^p = x^p + y^p$ , and so, for every  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  (with  $a \neq 0$ )

$$f(\alpha + a) = (\alpha + a)^p - (\alpha + a) - 1 = \alpha^p + a^p - \alpha - a - 1 = 0,$$

since  $\alpha^p - \alpha - 1 = 0$  and  $a^p = a$ , for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . It remains to show that  $f(X) = X^p - X - 1$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Now, if  $f(X)$  is reducible it can be factored as  $f(X) = g(X)h(X)$ , with  $\deg(g), \deg(h) \geq 1$ . If  $\deg(g) = 1$ , then  $g(X)$  is of the form  $X - \xi$ , where  $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is some root of  $f(X)$ , contradicting the fact that no root of  $f(X)$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . So, we may assume that  $2 \leq \deg(g) \leq p-1$ . In the splitting field,  $\Omega$ , of  $f(X)$ , the roots of  $g(X)$  are  $\xi + i_1, \dots, \xi + i_r$ , where  $r = \deg(g)$ ,  $0 \leq i_j \leq p-1$  and  $\xi \in \Omega$  is some root of  $f(X)$  not in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . However,

$$\sum_{j=1}^r (\xi + i_j) = r\xi + \sum_{j=1}^r i_j$$

is equal to  $\pm$  the coefficient of  $X^{r-1}$  in  $g(X)$ ; this implies that  $r\xi \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , and since  $2 \leq r \leq p-1$ , we deduce that  $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $f(X)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

B II. Let  $k \subseteq K$  be two fields of characteristic 0 and assume the following conditions:

(i) Every  $f(X) \in k[X]$  of odd degree has a root in  $K$ .

(ii) For every  $\alpha \in K$ , the polynomial  $X^2 - \alpha$  has a root in  $K$ .

(a) Let  $g(X) \in k[X]$  be any polynomial of degree  $\deg(g) = n \geq 1$ . We prove by induction on  $m$ , where  $n = 2^m n_0$  (with  $n_0$  odd), that  $g(X)$  has a root in  $K$ . The case  $m = 0$  holds by (i), since  $n_0$  is odd.

Assume that the induction hypothesis holds up to  $m-1$ , for any given  $m \geq 1$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be the roots of  $g$  in a splitting field,  $\Omega$ , of  $g$ , and for any  $r \in k$ , let  $\gamma_{ij}^{(r)} = \alpha_i + \alpha_j + r\alpha_i\alpha_j$ , with  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ . Let  $h(X) \in \Omega[X]$  be given by

$$h(X) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (X - \gamma_{ij}^{(r)}).$$

We know that every coefficient,  $c_l$ , of  $h(X)$  is  $\pm$  some elementary symmetric function,  $s_l$ , in the indeterminates  $\gamma_{ij}^{(r)}$ , and so,

$$c_l = \pm s_l(\dots, \gamma_{ij}^{(r)}, \dots) = \pm s_l(\dots, \alpha_i + \alpha_j + r\alpha_i\alpha_j, \dots).$$

For every transposition  $\pi = (i, k)$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , if  $j \neq k$ , then  $\pi(\gamma_{ij}^{(r)}) = \gamma_{jk}^{(r)}$ , and if  $j = k$ , then  $\pi(\gamma_{ij}^{(r)}) = \gamma_{ij}^{(r)}$ . As every permutation is a product of transpositions, we deduce that  $c_l$  is a symmetric polynomial in  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . However, it is well-known that every symmetric polynomial in  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  can be written as a polynomial in the elementary symmetric functions in  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . As these functions are  $\pm$  the coefficients of  $g(X)$ , we have  $c_l \in k$  for all  $l$ .

Moreover,  $\deg(h) = n(n-1)/2 = 2^{m-1}n_0(2^m n_0 - 1) = 2^{m-1}n'_0$ , where  $n'_0 = 2^m n_0 - 1$  is odd. Therefore, by the induction hypothesis, for every  $r \in k$ , there are some integers  $i_r, j_r$  with  $1 \leq i_r < j_r \leq n$ , so that  $\gamma_{i_r j_r}^{(r)} \in K$  is a root of  $h(X)$ . Since  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , the field  $k$  must be infinite, and so, the set of pairs  $(i, j)$  as above is infinite. Note: Since  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , the field  $\mathbb{Q}$  is contained in  $k$ , so, we may assume  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This implies that there are  $r_1 \neq r_2 \in k$  so that  $i_{r_1} = i_{r_2} = i$  and  $j_{r_1} = j_{r_2} = j$ . Then,

$$\alpha_i + \alpha_j + r_1\alpha_i\alpha_j \in K \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_i + \alpha_j + r_2\alpha_i\alpha_j \in K.$$

It follows that  $\alpha_i + \alpha_j = \alpha \in K$  and  $\alpha_i\alpha_j = \beta \in K$ . Consequently,  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$  are the roots of the quadratic equation

$$X^2 - \alpha X + \beta = 0.$$

Since  $\text{char}(K) = 0$ , of course, this equation can be written as

$$(X - \alpha/2)^2 + \frac{4\beta - \alpha^2}{4} = 0.$$

We are reduced to proving that every element of  $K$  has a square root, but this holds by (ii). Therefore, we conclude that  $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in K$ , i.e.,  $f(X)$  has a root in  $K$ . It follows that every nonconstant polynomial  $f(X) \in k[X]$  has all its roots in  $K$ .

BII (b) Further assume that  $K/k$  is normal of finite degree. Let  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(K/k)$  be the Galois group of  $K/k$ . As  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , we proved in class that the fixed field  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{G}(K/k))$  is equal to  $k$ . If  $g(X) \in K[X]$  is any polynomial of nonzero degree, let

$$h(X) = \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} \sigma(g)(X).$$

Observe that  $h$  is fixed by all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$ , so its coefficients are in  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{G}(K/k)) = k$ , and  $h(X) \in k[X]$ . By part (a), we know that  $h(X)$  has some root  $\theta \in K$ . But, if  $h(\theta) = 0$ , then

$$\sigma(g)(\theta) = g(\sigma(\theta)) = 0,$$

for some  $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$ , and thus,  $\sigma(\theta)$  is a root of  $g(X)$  in  $K$ . Therefore,  $K$  is algebraically closed.

BII (c) If  $k = \mathbb{R}$  and  $K = \mathbb{C}$ , by the intermediate value theorem, (i) holds. If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , we can write  $\alpha = r(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)$ , where  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $r \geq 0$ . Then,  $\sqrt{r}(\cos(\theta/2) + i \sin(\theta/2))$  is a square root of  $\alpha$ . Moreover,  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}[i]$  is a normal extension of degree 2, since  $i$  is a root of the irreducible (separable) polynomial  $X^2 + 1$ . By part (b), we deduce that  $\mathbb{C}$  is algebraically closed.

BVI (a) Say  $k$  is a field with  $\text{char}(k) = p > 2$ ; let  $K = k(X, Y)$  (where  $X, Y$  are indep. transcendentals over  $k$ ) and let  $f(Z) = Z^{2p} + XZ^p + Y \in K[Z]$ .

First, observe that  $f'(Z) = 2pZ^{2p-1} + pXZ^{p-1} \equiv 0$ . Thus,  $f(Z)$  is inseparable over  $K$ . We claim that  $f(Z)$  is irreducible in  $K[Z]$ .

If not, then either  $f(Z) = (g(Z))^s$ , where  $g(Z)$  is irreducible, or  $f(Z) = g(Z)h(Z)$ , where  $(g, h) = 1$  (with  $g(Z), h(Z) \in K[Z]$ ). Since  $f'(Z) = 0$ , in the first case,  $f(Z) = (g(Z))^s$ , we get

$$sg(Z)g'(Z) = 0.$$

If  $p$  does not divide  $s$ , then  $g'(Z) \equiv 0$ . For degree reasons, we must have  $g(Z) = Z^p + u$  and  $s = 2$ . Thus,

$$f(Z) = (Z^p + u)^2 = Z^{2p} + 2uZ^p + u^2 = Z^{2p} + XZ^p + Y \in K[Z]$$

which implies  $2u = X$  and  $u^2 = Y$ . As  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent transcendentals over  $k$ , this is impossible. Thus,  $p \mid s$ , and for degree reasons,  $s = 2p$  and  $f(Z) = (Z^2 + aZ + b)^p$ , where  $a, b \in K$ . It follows that

$$f(Z) = (Z^2 + aZ + b)^p = Z^{2p} + a^pZ^p + b^p = Z^{2p} + XZ^p + Y \in K[Z],$$

which implies that  $a^p = X$  and  $b^p = Y$  in  $K = k(X, Y)$ . However, this is impossible. Therefore, we are reduced to the case  $f(Z) = g(Z)h(Z)$ , where  $(g, h) = 1$ .

Since  $f'(Z) = 0$ , we get  $g'h + gh' = 0$ . Since  $(g, h) = 1$ , there exist  $u, v \in K[Z]$  so that  $ug + hv = 1$ . Then, we have

$$g' = ugg' + g'hv = ugg' - gh'v = g(ug' - h'v).$$

If  $g'(Z) \neq 0$ , then  $g(Z)$  divides  $g'(Z)$ , which is absurd. Thus,  $g'(Z) \equiv 0$ . As  $g'h + gh' = 0$ , we also deduce that  $h'(Z) = 0$ . For degree reasons, we must have  $g(Z) = Z^p + u$  and  $h(Z) = Z^p + v$  (in  $K[Z]$ ). Then,

$$f(Z) = (Z^p + u)(Z^p + v) = Z^{2p} + (u + v)Z^p + uv = Z^{2p} + XZ^p + Y \in K[Z].$$

It follows that  $u + v = X$  and  $uv = Y$ , which is impossible, as  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent transcendentals over  $k$ .

In conclusion,  $f(Z)$  is irreducible over  $K[Z]$ .

Let  $L = K(\theta)$ , where  $\theta$  is a root of  $f(Z)$  in its splitting field. Assume that there is some  $\beta \in L$  with  $\beta \notin K$  and  $\beta^p \in K$ . If  $f(Z)$  were irreducible over  $K(\beta)[Z]$ , then  $f(Z)$  would be the minimum  $K(\beta)$ -polynomial of  $\theta$ , and so  $[L:K(\beta)] = 2p$ . But, as  $f(Z)$  is irreducible over  $K[Z]$ , we also have  $[L:K] = 2p = \deg(f)$ , and so,  $K = K(\beta)$ ; this implies  $\beta \in K$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $f(Z)$  is reducible over  $K(\beta)[Z]$ .

We claim that  $f(Z) = g(Z)^p$ , for some  $g(Z) \in K(\beta)[Z]$ . If so, for degree reasons,  $g(Z) = Z^2 + aZ + b$ , and as we saw earlier,  $X = a^p$  and  $Y = b^p$ , for some  $a, b \in K(\beta) \subseteq L$ . It follows that  $X^{1/p}, Y^{1/p} \in K(\beta) \subseteq L$  and then

$$2p = [L:K] \geq [k(X^{1/p}, Y^{1/p}):K] = p^2,$$

i.e.,  $p(2-p) \geq 0$ , but this is absurd, since  $p \geq 3$ .

Thus, it remains to prove that if  $f(Z)$  is reducible in  $K(\beta)[Z]$ , then  $f(Z) = (g(Z))^p$ , for some  $g(Z) \in K(\beta)[Z]$ . The proof of the irreducibility of  $f(Z)$  in  $K[Z]$  already proved that if  $f(Z)$  is not a product of relatively prime factors, then  $f(Z) = (g(Z))^p$ . So, assume that  $f(Z) = g(Z)h(Z)$ , with  $(g, h) = 1$ . We already know from the proof of the irreducibility of  $f(Z)$  in  $K[Z]$  that we must have  $g(Z) = Z^p + u$  and  $h(Z) = Z^p + v$ , in  $k(\beta)[Z]$ . But now, as  $f(\theta) = 0$ , either  $g(\theta) = 0$  or  $h(\theta) = 0$ . Say,  $g(\theta) = 0$ , the other case being similar.

Then,  $\theta^p + u = 0$  with  $u \in K(\beta)[Z]$ , and since  $\beta^p \in K$ , we get  $\theta^{p^2} \in K$ . From  $\theta^{2p} + X\theta^p + Y = 0$ , we get

$$\theta^{2p^2} + X^p\theta^{p^2} + Y^p = 0.$$

If we write  $\theta^{p^2} = a/b$ , where  $a, b \in k[X, Y]$ , with  $(a, b) = 1$ , we get

$$a^2 + X^p ab + Y^p b^2 = 0.$$

Thus,  $b \mid a$ , and since  $(a, b) = 1$ , we may assume that  $b = 1$ . It follows that

$$a^2 = -(X^p a + Y^p),$$

which is impossible, as the degree of  $Y$  in  $a^2$  must be even. Finally, this proves that  $L/K$  does not contain any purely separable element over  $K$  even though it is inseparable over  $K$ .

BVI (b) Let  $\Omega$  be the a normal closure of  $L/K$ . We claim that  $\mathcal{G}(\Omega/K) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . This is because

$$Z^{2p} + XZ^p + Y = 0$$

has two distinct roots in  $\Omega$ , each with multiplicity  $p$ . Indeed, if we let  $U = Z^p$ , then  $U$  is a root of  $Z^2 + XZ + Y = 0$ , which has two distinct roots,  $\theta_1, \theta_2$ , if  $\text{char}(k) = p \geq 3$ . Then, we need to solve for  $Z^p = \theta_i$ , with  $i = 1, 2$ . Each of these equations has  $p$  multiple roots.

B VIII (a) Assume that  $K/k$  is a finite extension of fields and assume that  $K/k$  is separable. If so,  $K = k(\theta)$ , where  $\theta$  is some root of some irreducible separable polynomial  $f(X) \in k[X]$  and

$$K \cong k[X]/(f(X)).$$

In any extension  $L/k$ , we can write  $f(X) = \prod_{i=1}^t g_i(X)$ , where the  $g_i(X)$  are mutually distinct irreducible polynomials, because  $f(X)$  has distinct roots in its splitting field. Then,

$$K \otimes_k L = (k[X]/(f(X))) \otimes_k L \cong L[X]/(f(X)L) \cong \prod_{i=1}^t L[X]/(g_i(X)L).$$

However, as each  $g_i(X)$  is irreducible over  $k$ , each  $K_i = L[X]/(g_i(X)L)$  is a field. Moreover, each extension  $K_i/L$  is separable. This yields (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

Obviously, (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).