

## Chapter 3

# The Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch Theorem

### 3.1 Line Bundles, Vector Bundles, Divisors

From now on,  $X$  will be a complex, irreducible, algebraic variety (not necessarily smooth). We have

- (I)  $X$  with the Zariski topology and  $\mathcal{O}_X$  = germs of algebraic functions. We will write  $X$  or  $X_{\text{Zar}}$ .
- (II)  $X$  with the complex topology and  $\mathcal{O}_X$  = germs of algebraic functions. We will write  $X_{\mathbb{C}}$  for this.
- (III)  $X$  with the complex topology and  $\mathcal{O}_X$  = germs of holomorphic functions. We will write  $X^{\text{an}}$  for this.
- (IV)  $X$  with the complex topology and  $\mathcal{O}_X$  = germs of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -functions. We will write  $X_{\mathcal{C}^\infty}$  or  $X_{\text{smooth}}$  in this case.

Vector bundles come in four types: Locally trivial in the  $Z$ -topology (I); Locally trivial in the  $\mathbb{C}$ -topology (II, III, IV).

Recall that a *rank  $r$  vector bundle over  $X$*  is a space,  $E$ , together with a surjective map,  $p: E \rightarrow X$ , so that the following properties hold:

- (1) There is some open covering,  $\{U_\alpha \rightarrow X\}$ , of  $X$  and isomorphisms

$$\varphi_\alpha: p^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \prod \mathbb{C}^r \quad (\text{local triviality})$$

We also denote  $p^{-1}(U_\alpha)$  by  $E \upharpoonright U_\alpha$ .

- (2) For every  $\alpha$ , the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p^{-1}(U_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\alpha} & U_\alpha \prod \mathbb{C}^r \\ & \searrow p & \swarrow pr_1 \\ & U_\alpha & \end{array}$$

(3) Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 p^{-1}(U_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\alpha} & U_\alpha \amalg \mathbb{C}^r \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 p^{-1}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\alpha} & (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \amalg \mathbb{C}^r \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow g_\alpha^\beta \\
 p^{-1}(U_\beta \cap U_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\beta} & (U_\beta \cap U_\alpha) \amalg \mathbb{C}^r \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 p^{-1}(U_\beta) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\beta} & U_\beta \amalg \mathbb{C}^r
 \end{array}$$

where  $g_\alpha^\beta = \varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1} \upharpoonright p^{-1}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ . Then,

$$g_\alpha^\beta \upharpoonright U_\alpha \cap U_\beta = \text{id} \quad \text{and} \quad g_\alpha^\beta \upharpoonright \mathbb{C}^r \in \text{GL}_r(\Gamma(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta, \mathcal{O}_X))$$

and the functions  $g_\alpha^\beta$  in the glueing give type II, III, IV.

On triple overlaps, we have

$$g_\beta^\gamma \circ g_\alpha^\beta = g_\alpha^\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad g_\beta^\alpha = (g_\alpha^\beta)^{-1}.$$

This means that the  $\{g_\alpha^\beta\}$  form a 1-cocycle in  $Z^1(\{U_\alpha \rightarrow X\}, \mathbb{GL}_r)$ . Here, we denote by  $\mathbb{GL}_r(X)$ , or simply  $\mathbb{GL}_r$ , the sheaf defined such that, for every open,  $U \subseteq X$ ,

$$\Gamma(U, \mathbb{GL}_r(X)) = \text{GL}_r(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)),$$

the group of invertible linear maps of the free module  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)^r \cong \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X^r)$ . When  $r = 1$ , we also denote the sheaf  $\mathbb{GL}_1(X)$  by  $\mathbb{G}_m$ , or  $\mathcal{O}_X^*$ .

Say  $\{\psi_\alpha\}$  is another trivialization. We may assume (by refining the covers) that  $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$  and  $\{\psi_\alpha\}$  use the same cover. Then, we have an isomorphism,  $\sigma_\alpha: U_\alpha \amalg \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow U_\alpha \amalg \mathbb{C}^r$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & U_\alpha \amalg \mathbb{C}^r \\
 & \nearrow \varphi_\alpha & \downarrow \sigma_\alpha \\
 p^{-1}(U_\alpha) & & \\
 & \searrow \psi_\alpha & \\
 & & U_\alpha \amalg \mathbb{C}^r
 \end{array}$$

We see that  $\{\sigma_\alpha\}$  is a 0-cochain in  $C^0(\{U_\alpha \rightarrow X\}, \mathbb{GL}_r)$ . Let  $\{h_\alpha^\beta\}$  be the glueing data from  $\{\psi_\alpha\}$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_\beta &= g_\alpha^\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha \\
 \psi_\beta &= h_\alpha^\beta \circ \psi_\alpha \\
 \psi_\alpha &= \sigma_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha.
 \end{aligned}$$

From this, we deduce that  $\sigma_\beta \circ \varphi_\beta = \psi_\beta = h_\alpha^\beta \circ \sigma_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha$ , and then

$$\varphi_\beta = (\sigma_\beta^{-1} \circ h_\alpha^\beta \circ \sigma_\alpha) \circ \varphi_\alpha,$$



$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{r+1}(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_r(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)) \longrightarrow 0,$$
$$0 \longrightarrow \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \longrightarrow \check{H}^1(X, \mathbb{G}\mathrm{L}_{r+1}) \longrightarrow \check{H}^1(X, \mathbb{P}\mathrm{G}\mathrm{L}_r) \longrightarrow \check{H}^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) = \mathrm{Br}(X),$$

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two topological spaces and let  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$  be a surjective continuous map. Say we have sheaves of rings  $\mathcal{O}_X$  on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{O}_Y$  on  $Y$ ; we have a homomorphism of sheaves of rings,  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ . Then, each  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module (or  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebra),  $\mathcal{F}$ , gives us the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module (or algebra),  $\pi_*\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  (and more generally,  $R^q\pi_*\mathcal{F}$ ) as follows: For any open subset,  $U \subseteq X$ ,

So,  $\Gamma(\pi^{-1}(U), \mathcal{O}_Y)$  acts on  $\Gamma(\pi^{-1}(U), \mathcal{F})$  and commutes to restriction to smaller opens. Consequently,  $\pi_*\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module (or algebra) and then  $\mathcal{O}_X$  acts on it *via*  $\mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ . Recall also, that  $R^q\pi_*\mathcal{F}$  is the sheaf on  $X$  generated by the presheaf

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is an algebra (not commutative), then only  $\pi_*$  and  $R^1\pi_*$  are so-far defined.

$$\Gamma(Y, -) = \Gamma(X, -) \circ \pi_*.$$
$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X, R^q\pi_*\mathcal{F}) \implies H^\bullet(Y, \mathcal{F}).$$
$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \pi_* \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(Y, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \\
& & & & \delta_0 & & \\
& \searrow & & & & & \\
& & H^2(X, \pi_* \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(Y, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & 
\end{array}$$
$$1 \longrightarrow H^1(X, \pi_* \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^0(X, R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{F}).$$

*Claim:*  $R^1 \mathrm{id}_* \mathrm{GL}_r = (0)$ , for all  $r \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that the stalks are zero. But these are the stalks of the corresponding presheaf

$$\varinjlim_{U \ni x} H_{\mathbb{C}}^1(U, \mathbb{G}\mathbb{L}_r)$$

where  $U$  runs over  $\mathbb{Z}$ -opens and  $H^1$  is taken in the  $\mathbb{C}$ -topology. Pick  $x \in X$  and some  $\xi \in H_{\mathbb{C}}^1(U, \mathbb{G}\mathbb{L}_r)$  for some  $\mathbb{Z}$ -open,  $U \ni x$ . So,  $\xi$  consists of a vector bundle on  $U$ , locally trivial in the  $\mathbb{C}$ -topology. There is some open in the  $\mathbb{C}$ -topology, call it  $U_0$ , with  $x \in U_0$  and  $U_0 \subseteq U$  where  $\xi|_{U_0}$  is trivial iff there exists some sections,  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ , of  $\xi$  over  $U_0$ , and  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$  are linearly independent everywhere on  $U_0$ . The  $\sigma_j$  are algebraic functions on  $U_0$  to  $\mathbb{C}^r$ . Moreover, they are l.i. on  $U_0$  iff  $\sigma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma_r$  is everywhere nonzero on  $U_0$ . But,  $\sigma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma_r$  is an algebraic function and its zero set is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -closed subset in  $X$ . So, its complement,  $V$ , is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -open and  $x \in U_0 \subseteq V \cap U$ . It follows that  $\xi|_{V \cap U}$  is trivial (since the  $\sigma_j$  are l.i. everywhere); so,  $\xi$  indeed becomes trivial on a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -open, as required.  $\square$

Apply our exact sequence and get

**Theorem 3.2** (*Comparison Theorem*) *If  $X$  is an algebraic variety, then the canonical map*

$$\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathrm{Zar}}^r(X) \cong \check{H}^1(X_{\mathrm{Zar}}, \mathbb{G}\mathbb{L}_r) \longrightarrow \check{H}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{G}\mathbb{L}_r) \cong \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^r(X)$$

*is an isomorphism for all  $r \geq 1$  (i.e., a bijection of pointed sets).*

Thus, to give a rank  $r$  *algebraic* vector bundle in the  $\mathbb{C}$ -topology is the same as giving a rank  $r$  *algebraic* vector bundle in the Zariski topology.



If we use  $\mathcal{O}_X$  = holomorphic (analytic) functions, then for many  $X$ , we get only an injection  $\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathrm{Zar}}^r(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^r(X)$ .

### Connection with the geometry inside $X$ :

First, assume  $X$  is smooth and irreducible (thus, connected). Let  $V$  be an irreducible subvariety of codimension 1. We know from Chapter 1 that locally on some open,  $U$ , there is some  $f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_U$  such that  $f = 0$  cuts out  $V$  in  $U$ . Furthermore,  $f$  is analytic if  $V$  is, algebraic if  $V$  is. Form the free abelian group on the  $V$ 's (we can also look at “locally finite”  $\mathbb{Z}$ -combinations in the analytic case); call these objects *Weil divisors* ( $W$ -divisors), and denote the corresponding group,  $\mathrm{WDiv}(X)$ .

A divisor  $D \in \mathrm{WDiv}(X)$  is *effective* if  $D = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$ , with  $a_{\alpha} \geq 0$  for all  $\alpha$ . This gives a cone inside  $\mathrm{WDiv}(X)$  and partially orders  $\mathrm{WDiv}(X)$ .

Say  $g$  is a holomorphic (or algebraic) function near  $x$ . If  $V$  passes through  $x$ , in  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ —which is a UFD (by Zariski) we can write

$$g = f^a \tilde{g}, \quad \text{where } (\tilde{g}, f) = 1.$$

(The equation  $f = 0$  defines  $V$  near  $x$  so  $f$  is a prime of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ .) Notice that if  $\mathfrak{p} = (f)$  in  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_U$ , then  $g = f^a \tilde{g}$  iff  $g \in \mathfrak{p}^a$  and  $g \notin \mathfrak{p}^{a+1}$  iff  $g \in \mathfrak{p}^a(\mathcal{O}_U)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $g \notin \mathfrak{p}^{a+1}(\mathcal{O}_U)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . The ring  $(\mathcal{O}_U)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a local ring of dimension 1 and is regular as  $X$  is a manifold (can be regular even if  $X$  is singular). Therefore,  $a$  is independent of  $x$ . The number  $a$  is by definition the *order of vanishing of  $g$  along  $V$* , denoted  $\mathrm{ord}_V(g)$ . If  $g$  is a meromorphic function near  $x$ , we write  $g = g_1/g_2$  locally in  $(\mathcal{O}_U)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , with  $(g_1, g_2) = 1$  and set

$$\mathrm{ord}_V(g) = \mathrm{ord}_V(g_1) - \mathrm{ord}_V(g_2).$$

We say that  $g$  has a zero of order  $a$  along  $V$  iff  $\mathrm{ord}_V(g) = a > 0$  and a pole of order  $a$  iff  $\mathrm{ord}_V(g) = -a < 0$ . If  $g \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}\mathrm{er}(X)^*)$ , set

$$(g) = \sum_{V \in \mathrm{WDiv}(X)} \mathrm{ord}_V(g) \cdot V.$$

*Claim.* The above sum is finite, under suitable conditions:

- (a) We use algebraic functions.
- (b) We use holomorphic functions and restrict  $X$  (DX).

Look at  $g$ , then  $1/g$  vanishes on a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -closed,  $W_0$ . Look at  $X - W_0$ . Now,  $X - W_0$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -open so it is a variety and  $g \upharpoonright X - W_0$  is holomorphic. Look at  $V \subseteq X$  and  $\text{ord}_V(g) = a \neq 0$ , i.e.,  $V \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Thus,  $(g) = \mathfrak{p}^a$  in  $(\mathcal{O}_U)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , which yields  $(g) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  and then  $V \cap (X - W_0) = V(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq V((g))$ . But,  $V(g)$  is a union of irreducible components (algebraic case) and  $V$  is codimension 1, so  $V$  is equal to one of these components. Therefore, there are only finitely many  $V$ 's arising from  $X - W_0$ .

The function  $1/g$  vanishes on  $W_0$ , so write  $W_0$  as a union of irreducible components. Again, there are only finitely many  $V$  arising from  $W_0$ . So, altogether, there are only finitely many  $V$ 's associated with  $g$  where  $g$  has a zero or a pole. We call  $(g) \in \text{WDiv}(X)$  a *principal divisor*. Given any two divisors  $D, E \in \text{WDiv}(X)$ , we define *linear* (or *rational*) *equivalence* by

$$D \sim E \quad \text{iff} \quad (\exists g \in \text{Mer}(X))(D - E = (g)).$$

The equivalence classes of divisors modulo  $\sim$  is the *Weil class group*,  $\text{WCl}(X)$ .

**Remark:** All goes through for any  $X$  (of our sort) for which, for all primes,  $\mathfrak{p}$ , of height 1, the ring  $(\mathcal{O}_U)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a regular local ring (of dimension 1, i.e., a P.I.D.) This is, in general, hard to check (but, OK if  $X$  is normal).

Cartier had the idea to use a general  $X$  but consider only the  $V$ 's given locally as  $f = 0$ . For every open,  $U \subseteq X$ , consider  $A_U = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Let  $S_U$  be the set of all non-zero divisors of  $A_U$ , a multiplicative set. We get a presheaf of rings,  $U \mapsto S_U^{-1}A_U$ , and the corresponding sheaf,  $\text{Mer}(X)$ , is the *total fraction sheaf* of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . We have an embedding  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \text{Mer}(X)$  and we let  $\text{Mer}(X)^*$  be the sheaf of invertible elements of  $\text{Mer}(X)$ . Then, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^* \rightarrow \text{Mer}(X)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_X \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_X$  is the sheaf cokernel.

We claim that if we define  $\mathcal{D}_X = \text{Coker}(\mathcal{O}_X^* \rightarrow \text{Mer}(X)^*)$  in the  $\mathbb{C}$ -topology, then it is also the kernel in the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -topology.

Take  $\sigma \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}_X)$  and replace  $X$  by  $U$ , so that we may assume that  $U = X$ . Then, as  $\sigma$  is liftable locally in the  $\mathbb{C}$ -topology, there exist a  $\mathbb{C}$ -open cover,  $U_\alpha$  and some  $\sigma_\alpha \in \Gamma(U, \text{Mer}(X)^*)$  so that  $\sigma_\alpha \mapsto \sigma \upharpoonright U_\alpha$ . Make the  $U_\alpha$  small enough so that  $\sigma_\alpha = f_\alpha/g_\alpha$ , where  $f_\alpha, g_\alpha$  are holomorphic. It follows that  $\sigma_\alpha$  is defined on a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -open,  $\tilde{U}_\alpha \supseteq U_\alpha$ . Look at  $\tilde{U}_\alpha \cap \tilde{U}_\beta \supseteq U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ . We know  $\sigma_\alpha/\sigma_\beta$  is invertible holomorphic on  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$  and so,

$$\frac{\sigma_\alpha}{\sigma_\beta} \cdot \frac{\sigma_\beta}{\sigma_\alpha} \equiv 1 \quad \text{on } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta.$$

It follows that  $\sigma_\alpha/\sigma_\beta$  is invertible on  $\tilde{U}_\alpha \cap \tilde{U}_\beta$  and then, restricting slightly further we get a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -open cover and  $\sigma_\alpha$ 's on it lifting  $\sigma$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.1** A *Cartier divisor* (for short, *C-divisor*) on  $X$  is a global section of  $\mathcal{D}_X$ . Two Cartier divisors,  $\sigma, \tau$  are *rationally equivalent*, denoted  $\sigma \sim \tau$ , iff  $\sigma/\tau \in \Gamma(X, \text{Mer}(X)^*)$ . Of course, this means there is a  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$ -open cover,  $U_\alpha$ , of  $X$  and some  $\sigma_\alpha, \tau_\alpha \in \Gamma(U_\alpha, \text{Mer}(X)^*)$  with  $\sigma_\alpha/\tau_\alpha$  invertible holomorphic on  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ . The group of Cartier divisors is denoted by  $\text{CDiv}(X)$  and the corresponding group of equivalence classes modulo rational equivalence by  $\text{Cl}(X)$  (the *class group*).

The idea is that if  $\{(U_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha)\}_\alpha$  defines a *C-divisor*, then we look on  $U_\alpha$  at

$$\sigma_\alpha^0 - \sigma_\alpha^\infty = (\text{locus } \sigma_\alpha = 0) - (\text{locus } \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha} = 0).$$

When we have the situation where  $\text{WDiv}(X)$  exists, then the map

$$\{(U_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha)\}_\alpha \mapsto \{\sigma_\alpha^0 - \sigma_\alpha^\infty\}$$

takes  $C$ -divisors to Weil divisors. Say  $\sigma_\alpha$  and  $\sigma'_\alpha$  are both liftings of the same  $\sigma$ , then on  $U_\alpha$  we have

$$\sigma'_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha g_\alpha \quad \text{where } g_\alpha \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*).$$

Therefore,

$$\sigma'^0_\alpha - \sigma'^\infty_\alpha = \sigma^0_\alpha - \sigma^\infty_\alpha$$

and the Weil divisors are the same (provided they make sense). If  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{CDiv}(X)$  and  $\sigma \sim \tau$ , then there is a global meromorphic function,  $f$ , with  $\sigma = f\tau$ . Consequently

$$\sigma^0_\alpha - \sigma^\infty_\alpha = (f)^0 - (f)^\infty + \tau^0_\alpha - \tau^\infty_\alpha,$$

which shows that the corresponding Weil divisors are linearly equivalent. We get

**Proposition 3.3** *If  $X$  is an algebraic variety, the sheaf  $\mathcal{D}_X$  is the same in either the Zariski or  $\mathbb{C}$ -topology and if  $X$  allows Weil divisors (non-singular in codimension 1), then the map  $\text{CDiv}(X) \rightarrow \text{WDiv}(X)$  given by  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^0_\alpha - \sigma^\infty_\alpha$  is well-defined and we get a commutative diagram with injective rows*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{CDiv}(X) & \hookrightarrow & \text{WDiv}(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Cl}(X) & \hookrightarrow & \text{WCl}(X). \end{array}$$

*If  $X$  is a manifold then our rows are isomorphisms.*

*Proof.* We only need to prove the last statement. Pick  $D = \sum_\alpha n_\alpha V_\alpha$ , a Weil divisor, where each  $V_\alpha$  is irreducible of codimension 1. As  $X$  is manifold, each  $V_\alpha$  is given by  $f_\alpha = 0$  on a small enough open,  $U$ ; take for  $\sigma \upharpoonright U$ , the product  $\prod_\alpha f_\alpha^{n_\alpha}$  and this gives our  $C$ -divisor.

We can use the following in some computations.

**Proposition 3.4** *Assume  $X$  is an algebraic variety and  $Y \hookrightarrow X$  is a subvariety. Write  $U = X - Y$ , then the maps*

$$\sigma \in \text{CDiv}(X) \mapsto \sigma \upharpoonright U \in \text{CDiv}(U),$$

*resp.*

$$\sum_\alpha n_\alpha V_\alpha \in \text{WDiv}(X) \mapsto \sum_\alpha n_\alpha (V_\alpha \cap U) \in \text{WDiv}(U)$$

*are surjections from  $\text{CDiv}(X)$  or  $\text{WDiv}(X)$  to the corresponding object in  $U$ . If  $\text{codim}_X(Y) \geq 2$ , then our maps are isomorphisms. If  $\text{codim}_X(Y) = 1$  and  $Y$  is irreducible and locally principal, then the sequences*

$$\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \text{CDiv}(X) \longrightarrow \text{CDiv}(U) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \text{WDiv}(X) \longrightarrow \text{WDiv}(U) \longrightarrow 0$$

*are exact (where the left hand map is  $n \mapsto nY$ ).*

*Proof.* The maps clearly exist. Given an object in  $U$ , take its closure in  $X$ , then restriction to  $U$  gives back the object. For  $Y$  of codimension at least 2, all procedures are insensitive to such  $Y$ , so we don't change anything by removing  $Y$ . A divisor  $\xi \in \text{CDiv}(X)$  (or  $\text{WDiv}(X)$ ) goes to zero iff its "support" is contained in  $Y$ . But,  $Y$  is irreducible and so are the components of  $\xi$ . Therefore,  $\xi = nY$ , for some  $n$ .  $\square$

Recall that line bundles on  $X$  are in one-to-one correspondence with invertible sheaves, that is, rank 1, locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. If  $L$  is a line bundle, we associate to it,  $\mathcal{O}_X(L)$ , the sheaf of sections (algebraic, holomorphic,  $C^\infty$ ) of  $L$ .

In the other direction, if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a rank 1 locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module, first make  $\mathcal{L}^D$  and the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra,  $\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}^D)$ , where

$$\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}^D) = \coprod_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{L}^D)^{\otimes n} / (a \otimes b - b \otimes a).$$

On a small enough open,  $U$ ,

$$\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}^D) \upharpoonright U = \mathcal{O}_U[T],$$

so we form  $\text{Spec}(\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}^D) \upharpoonright U) \cong U \amalg \mathbb{C}^1$ , and glue using the data for  $\mathcal{L}^D$ . We get the line bundle,  $\text{Spec}(\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}^D))$ .

Given a Cartier divisor,  $D = \{(U_\alpha, f_\alpha)\}$ , we make the submodule,  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ , of  $\text{Mer}(X)$  given on  $U_\alpha$  by

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D) \upharpoonright U_\alpha = \frac{1}{f_\alpha} \mathcal{O}_X \upharpoonright U_\alpha \subseteq \text{Mer}(X) \upharpoonright U_\alpha.$$

If  $\{(U_\alpha, g_\alpha)\}$  also defines  $D$  (we may assume the covers are the same by refining the covers if necessary), then there exist  $h_\alpha \in \Gamma(U_\alpha, \text{Mer}(X)^*)$ , with

$$f_\alpha h_\alpha = g_\alpha.$$

Then, the map  $\xi \mapsto \frac{1}{h_\alpha} \xi$  takes  $\frac{1}{f_\alpha}$  to  $\frac{1}{g_\alpha}$ ; so,  $\frac{1}{f_\alpha}$  and  $\frac{1}{g_\alpha}$  generate the same submodule of  $\text{Mer}(X) \upharpoonright U_\alpha$ . On  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ , we have

$$\frac{f_\alpha}{f_\beta} \in \Gamma(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta, \mathcal{O}_X^*),$$

and as

$$\frac{f_\alpha}{f_\beta} \cdot \frac{1}{f_\alpha} = \frac{1}{f_\beta},$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{f_\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} \upharpoonright U_\alpha \cap U_\beta = \frac{1}{f_\beta} \mathcal{O}_{U_\beta} \upharpoonright U_\alpha \cap U_\beta.$$

Consequently, our modules agree on the overlaps and so,  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  is a rank 1, locally free subsheaf of  $\text{Mer}(X)$ .

Say  $D$  and  $E$  are Cartier divisors and  $D \sim E$ . So, there is a global meromorphic function,  $f \in \Gamma(X, \text{Mer}(X)^*)$  and on  $U_\alpha$ ,

$$f_\alpha f = g_\alpha.$$

Then, the map  $\xi \mapsto \frac{1}{f} \xi$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(E).$$

Therefore, we get a map from  $\text{Cl}(X)$  to the invertible submodules of  $\text{Mer}(X)$ .

Given an invertible submodule,  $\mathcal{L}$ , of  $\text{Mer}(X)$ , locally, on  $U$ , we have  $\mathcal{L} \upharpoonright U = \frac{1}{f_U} \mathcal{O}_U \subseteq \text{Mer}(X) \upharpoonright U$ . Thus,  $\{(U, f_U)\}$  gives a  $C$ -divisor describing  $\mathcal{L}$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are two invertible submodules of  $\text{Mer}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{M}$ ; say  $\varphi: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -isomorphism. Locally (possibly after refining covers), on  $U_\alpha$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L} \upharpoonright U_\alpha \cong \frac{1}{f_\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M} \upharpoonright U_\alpha \cong \frac{1}{g_\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha}.$$

So,  $\varphi: \mathcal{L} \upharpoonright U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \upharpoonright U_\alpha$  is given by some  $\tau_\alpha$  such that

$$\varphi\left(\frac{1}{f_\alpha}\right) = \tau_\alpha \frac{1}{g_\alpha}.$$



Consequently,  $\varphi_\alpha \upharpoonright U_\alpha$  is multiplication by  $\tau_\alpha$  and  $\varphi_\beta \upharpoonright U_\beta$  is multiplication by  $\tau_\beta$ . Yet  $\varphi_\alpha \upharpoonright U_\alpha$  and  $\varphi_\beta \upharpoonright U_\beta$  agree on  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ , so  $\tau_\alpha = \tau_\beta$  on  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ . This shows that the  $\tau_\alpha$  patch and define a global  $\tau$  such that

$$\tau \upharpoonright U_\alpha = \tau_\alpha = g_\alpha \varphi \left( \frac{1}{f_\alpha} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau \upharpoonright U_\beta = \tau_\beta = g_\beta \varphi \left( \frac{1}{f_\beta} \right)$$

on overlaps. Therefore, we can define a global  $\Phi$  via

$$\Phi = g_\alpha \varphi \left( \frac{1}{f_\alpha} \right) \in \text{Mer}(X),$$

and we find  $\xi \mapsto \frac{1}{\Phi} \xi$  gives the desired isomorphism.

**Theorem 3.5** *If  $X$  is an algebraic variety (or holomorphic or  $C^\infty$  variety) then there is a canonical map,  $\text{CDiv}(X) \longrightarrow \text{rank } 1, \text{ locally free submodules of } \text{Mer}(X)$ . It is surjective. Two Cartier divisors  $D$  and  $E$  are rationally equivalent iff the corresponding invertible sheaves  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_X(E)$  are (abstractly) isomorphic. Hence, there is an injection of the class group,  $\text{Cl}(X)$  into the group of rank 1, locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -submodules of  $\text{Mer}(X)$  modulo isomorphism. If  $X$  is an algebraic variety and we use algebraic functions and if  $X$  is irreducible, then every rank 1, locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module is an  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ . The map  $D \mapsto \mathcal{O}_X(D)$  is just the connecting homomorphism in the cohomology sequence,*

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{D}_X) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*).$$

*Proof.* Only the last statement needs proof. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^* \longrightarrow \text{Mer}(X)^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_X \longrightarrow 0.$$

Apply cohomology (we may use the Z-topology, by the comparison theorem): We get

$$\Gamma(X, \text{Mer}(X)^*) \longrightarrow \text{CDiv}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \text{Mer}(X)^*).$$

But,  $X$  is irreducible and in the Z-topology  $\text{Mer}(X)$  is a constant sheaf. As constant sheaves are flasque,  $\text{Mer}(X)$  is flasque, which implies that  $H^1(X, \text{Mer}(X)^*) = (0)$ . Note that this shows that there is a surjection  $\text{CDiv}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X)$ .

How is  $\delta$  defined? Given  $D \in H^0(X, \mathcal{D}_X) = \text{CDiv}(X)$ , if  $\{(U_\alpha, f_\alpha)\}$  is a local lifting of  $D$ , the map  $\delta$  associates the cohomology class  $[f_\beta/f_\alpha]$ , where  $f_\beta/f_\alpha$  is viewed as a 1-cocycle on  $\mathcal{O}_X^*$ . On the other hand, when we go through the construction of  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ , we have the isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D) \upharpoonright U_\alpha = \frac{1}{f_\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} \supseteq \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} \cap \mathcal{O}_{U_\beta} \quad (\text{mult. by } f_\alpha)$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D) \upharpoonright U_\beta = \frac{1}{f_\beta} \mathcal{O}_{U_\beta} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_\beta} \supseteq \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} \cap \mathcal{O}_{U_\beta} \quad (\text{mult. by } f_\beta)$$

and we see that the transition function,  $g_{\alpha\beta}^\beta$ , on  $\mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} \cap \mathcal{O}_{U_\beta}$  is nonother that multiplication by  $f_\beta/f_\alpha$ . But then, both  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  and  $\delta(D)$  are line bundles defined by the same transition functions (multiplication by  $f_\beta/f_\alpha$ ) and  $\delta(D) = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ .  $\square$

Say  $D = \{(U_\alpha, f_\alpha)\}$  is a Cartier divisor on  $X$ . Then, the intuition is that the geometric object associated to  $D$  is

$$(\text{zeros of } f_\alpha - \text{poles of } f_\alpha) \quad \text{on } U_\alpha.$$

This leads to saying that the Cartier divisor  $D$  is an *effective* divisor iff each  $f_\alpha$  is holomorphic on  $U_\alpha$ . In this case,  $f_\alpha = 0$  gives on  $U_\alpha$  a locally principal, codimension 1 subvariety and conversely. Now each subvariety,  $V$ , has a corresponding sheaf of ideals,  $\mathfrak{I}_V$ . If  $V$  is locally principal, given by the  $f_\alpha$ 's, then  $\mathfrak{I}_V \upharpoonright U_\alpha = f_\alpha \mathcal{O}_X \upharpoonright U_\alpha$ . But,  $f_\alpha \mathcal{O}_X \upharpoonright U_\alpha$  is exactly  $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)$  on  $U_\alpha$  if  $D = \{(U_\alpha, f_\alpha)\}$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{I}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-D)$ . We get

**Proposition 3.6** *If  $X$  is an algebraic variety, then the effective Cartier divisors on  $X$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the locally principal codimension 1 subvarieties of  $X$ . If  $V$  is one of the latter and if  $D$  corresponds to  $V$ , then the ideal cutting out  $V$  is exactly  $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)$ . Hence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_V \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{is exact.}$$

What are the global sections of  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ ?

Such sections are holomorphic maps  $\sigma: X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D)$  such that  $\pi \circ \sigma = id$  (where  $\pi: \mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow X$  is the canonical projection associated with the bundle  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ ). If  $D$  is given by  $\{(U_\alpha, f_\alpha)\}$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_X(D) \upharpoonright U_\alpha & \xlongequal{\quad} & f_\alpha \mathcal{O}_X \upharpoonright U_\alpha \xrightarrow{\times f_\alpha} \mathcal{O}_X \upharpoonright U_\alpha \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{O}_X(D) \upharpoonright U_\alpha \cap U_\beta & & \mathcal{O}_X \upharpoonright U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \\ \parallel & & \downarrow g_\alpha^\beta \\ \mathcal{O}_X(D) \upharpoonright U_\beta \cap U_\alpha & & \mathcal{O}_X \upharpoonright U_\beta \cap U_\alpha \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_X(D) \upharpoonright U_\beta & \xlongequal{\quad} & f_\beta \mathcal{O}_X \upharpoonright U_\beta \xrightarrow{\times f_\beta} \mathcal{O}_X \upharpoonright U_\beta \end{array}$$

implies that

$$\sigma_\alpha = f_\alpha \sigma: U_\alpha \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \upharpoonright U_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_\beta = f_\beta \sigma: U_\beta \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \upharpoonright U_\beta.$$

However, we need

$$\sigma_\beta = g_\alpha^\beta \sigma_\alpha,$$

which means that a global section,  $\sigma$ , is a family of local holomorphic functions,  $\sigma_\alpha$ , so that  $\sigma_\beta = g_\alpha^\beta \sigma_\alpha$ . But, as  $g_\alpha^\beta = f_\beta / f_\alpha$ , we get

$$\frac{\sigma_\alpha}{f_\alpha} = \frac{\sigma_\beta}{f_\beta} \quad \text{on } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta.$$

Therefore, the meromorphic functions,  $\sigma_\alpha / f_\alpha$ , patch and give a global meromorphic function,  $F_\sigma$ . We have

$$f_\alpha(F_\sigma \upharpoonright U_\alpha) = \sigma_\alpha$$

a holomorphic function. Therefore,  $(f_\alpha \upharpoonright U_\alpha) + (F_\sigma \upharpoonright U_\alpha) \geq 0$ , for all  $\alpha$  and as the pieces patch, we get

$$D + (F_\sigma) \geq 0.$$

Conversely, say  $F \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}er(X))$  and  $D + (F) \geq 0$ . Locally on  $U_\alpha$ , we have  $D = \{(U_\alpha, f_\alpha)\}$  and  $(f_\alpha F) \geq 0$ . If we set  $\sigma_\alpha = f_\alpha F$ , we get a holomorphic function on  $U_\alpha$ . But,

$$g_\alpha^\beta \sigma_\alpha = \frac{f_\beta}{f_\alpha} f_\alpha F = f_\beta F = \sigma_\beta,$$

so the  $\sigma_\alpha$ 's give a global section of  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ .

**Proposition 3.7** *If  $X$  is an algebraic variety, then*

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = \{0\} \cup \{F \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}er(X)) \mid (F) + D \geq 0\}.$$

*in particular,*

$$|D| = \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))) = \{E \mid E \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E \sim D\},$$

*the complete linear system of  $D$ , is naturally a projective space and  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \neq (0)$  iff there is some Cartier divisor,  $E \geq 0$ , and  $E \sim D$ .*

Recall that an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module,  $\mathcal{F}$ , is a Z-QC (resp.  $\mathbb{C}$ -QC, here QC = *quasi-coherent*) iff everywhere locally, i.e., for small (Z, resp.  $\mathbb{C}$ ) open,  $U$ , there exist sets  $I(U)$  and  $J(U)$  and some exact sequence

$$(\mathcal{O}_X \upharpoonright U)^{I(U)} \xrightarrow{\varphi_U} (\mathcal{O}_X \upharpoonright U)^{J(U)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \upharpoonright U \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is coherent (usual fact that the rings  $\Gamma(U_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_X) = A_\alpha$ , for  $U_\alpha$  open affine, are noetherian) or Oka's theorem in the analytic case, a sheaf,  $\mathcal{F}$ , is *coherent* iff it is QC and finitely generated iff it is finitely presented, i.e., everywhere locally,

$$(\mathcal{O}_X \upharpoonright U)^q \xrightarrow{\varphi_U} (\mathcal{O}_X \upharpoonright U)^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \upharpoonright U \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{is exact.} \quad (\dagger)$$

(Here,  $p, q$  are functions of  $U$  and finite).

In the case of the Zariski topology,  $\mathcal{F}$  is QC iff for every affine open,  $U$ , the sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \upharpoonright U$  has the form  $\widetilde{M}$ , for some  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ -module,  $M$ . The sheaf  $\widetilde{M}$  is defined so that, for every open  $W \subseteq U$ ,

$$\Gamma(W, \widetilde{M}) = \left\{ \sigma: W \longrightarrow \bigcup_{\xi \in W} M_\xi \left| \begin{array}{l} (1) \sigma(\xi) \in M_\xi \\ (2) (\forall \xi \in W) (\exists V \text{ (open)} \subseteq W, \exists f \in M, \exists g \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_X))(g \neq 0 \text{ on } V) \\ (3) (\forall y \in V) \left( \sigma(y) = \text{image} \left( \frac{f}{g} \right) \text{ in } M_y \right) \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

**Proposition 3.8** *Say  $X$  is an algebraic variety and  $\mathcal{F}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Then,  $\mathcal{F}$  is Z-coherent iff  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -coherent.*

*Proof.* Say  $\mathcal{F}$  is Z-coherent, then locally Z, the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies  $(\dagger)$ . But, every Z-open is also  $\mathbb{C}$ -open, so  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -coherent.

Now, assume  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -coherent, then locally  $\mathbb{C}$ , we have  $(\dagger)$ , where  $U$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -open. The map  $\varphi_U$  is given by a  $p \times q$  matrix of holomorphic functions on  $U$ . Each is algebraically defined on a Z-open containing  $U$ . The intersection of these finitely many Z-opens is a Z-open,  $\widetilde{U}$  and  $\widetilde{U} \supseteq U$ . So, we get a sheaf

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \upharpoonright \widetilde{U} = \text{Coker}((\mathcal{O}_X \upharpoonright \widetilde{U})^q \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X \upharpoonright \widetilde{U})^p).$$

The sheaves  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \upharpoonright \widetilde{U}$  patch (easy-DX) and we get a sheaf,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ . On  $U$ , the sheaf  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{F}$ , so  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

We have the continuous map  $X_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\text{id}} X_{\text{Zar}}$  and we get (see Homework)

**Theorem 3.9** *(Comparison Theorem for cohomology of coherent sheaves) If  $X$  is an algebraic variety and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module, then the canonical map*

$$H^q(X_{\text{Zar}}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^q(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{F})$$

*is an isomorphism for all  $q \geq 0$ .*

Say  $V$  is a closed subvariety of  $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ . Then,  $V$  is given by a coherent sheaf of ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , say  $\mathcal{I}_V$  and we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_V \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_V \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_V$  is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions on  $V$  and has support on  $V$ . If  $V$  is a hypersurface, then  $V$  is given by  $f = 0$ , where  $f$  is a form of degree  $d$ . If  $D$  is a Cartier divisor of  $f$ , then  $\mathcal{I}_V = \mathcal{O}_X(-D)$ . Similarly another hypersurface,  $W$ , is given by  $g = 0$  and if  $\deg(f) = \deg(g)$ , then  $f/g$  is a global meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Therefore,  $(f/g) = V - W$ , which implies  $V \sim W$ . In particular,  $g = (\text{linear form})^d$  and so,  $V \sim dH$ , where  $H$  is a hyperplane. Therefore the set of effective Cartier disors of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is in one-to-one correspondence with forms of varying degrees  $d \geq 0$  and

$$\text{Cl}(\mathbb{P}^n) \cong \mathbb{Z},$$





For the rest of the cases, we use Serre duality and the Euler sequence. Serre duality says

$$H^q(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))^D \cong H^{n-q}(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-d) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^n).$$

From the Euler sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \longrightarrow \coprod_{n+1 \text{ times}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \longrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{1,0} \longrightarrow 0,$$

by taking the highest wedge, we get

$$\bigwedge^{n+1} \left( \coprod_{n+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \right) \cong \bigwedge^n T_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n},$$

from which we conclude

$$(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^n)^D \cong \bigwedge^{n+1} \left( \coprod_{n+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(n+1).$$

Therefore

$$\omega_{\mathbb{P}^n} = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^n \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-(n+1)) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(K_{\mathbb{P}^n}),$$

where  $K_{\mathbb{P}^n}$  is the canonical divisor on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , by definition. Therefore, we have

$$H^q(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)) \cong H^{n-q}(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-d-n-1))^D.$$

If  $1 \leq q \leq n-1$  and  $d \geq -n$ , then we know that the left hand side is zero. As  $1 \leq n-q \leq n-1$ , it follows that

$$H^q(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-d-n-1)) = (0) \quad \text{when } d \geq -n.$$

Therefore,

$$H^q(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)) = (0) \quad \text{for all } d \text{ and all } q \text{ with } 1 \leq q \leq n-1.$$

We also have

$$H^n(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))^D \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-d-n-1)),$$

and the right hand side is  $(0)$  if  $-d-(n+1) < 0$ , i.e.,  $d \geq -n$ . Thus, if  $d \leq -(n+1)$ , then we have  $\delta = -d-(n+1) \geq 0$ , so

$$H^n(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\delta))^D = \mathbb{C}^{\binom{n+\delta}{\delta}}, \quad \text{where } \delta = -(d+n+1).$$

The pairing is given by

$$\frac{1}{f} \otimes \frac{f}{x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n} \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \frac{dx_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n}{x_0 \cdots x_n},$$

where  $\deg(f) = -d$ , with  $d \leq -n-1$ . Summarizing all this, we get

**Theorem 3.10** *The cohomology of line bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  satisfies*

$$H^q(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)) = (0) \quad \text{for all } n, d \text{ and all } q \text{ with } 1 \leq q \leq n-1.$$

Furthermore,

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)) = \mathbb{C}^{\binom{n+d}{d}}, \quad \text{if } d \geq 0, \text{ else } (0),$$

and

$$H^n(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)) = \mathbb{C}^{\binom{n+\delta}{\delta}}, \quad \text{where } \delta = -(d+n+1) \text{ and } d \leq -n-1, \text{ else } (0).$$

We also proved that

$$\omega_{\mathbb{P}^n} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-(n+1)) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(K_{\mathbb{P}^n}).$$

## 3.2 Chern Classes and Segre Classes

The most important spaces (for us) are the Kähler manifolds and unless we explicitly mention otherwise,  $X$  will be Kähler. But, we can make Chern classes if  $X$  is worse.

**Remark:** The material in this Section is also covered in Hirzebruch [8] and under other forms in Chern [4], Milnor and Stasheff [11], Bott and Tu [3], Madsen and Tornehave [9] and Griffith and Harris [6].

Let  $X$  be *admissible* iff

- (1)  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, i.e.,
  - (a)  $X$  is locally compact and
  - (b)  $X$  is a countable union of compacts.
- (2) The *combinatorial dimension* of  $X$  is finite.

Note that (1) implies that  $X$  is paracompact. Consequently, everything we did on sheaves goes through.

Say  $X$  is an algebraic variety and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a QC  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Then,  $H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$  encodes the most important geometric information contained in  $\mathcal{F}$ . For example,  $\mathcal{F}$  = a line bundle or a vector bundle, then

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) = \text{space of global sections of given type.}$$

If  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{I}_V(d)$ , where  $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ , then

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) = \text{hypersurfaces containing } V.$$

This leads to the Riemann-Roch (RR) problem.

Given  $X$  and a QC  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module,  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

- (a) Determine when  $H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$  has finite dimension and
- (b) If so, compute the dimension,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$ .

Some answers:

- (a) Finiteness Theorem: If  $X$  is a compact, complex, analytic manifold and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module, then  $H^q(X, \mathcal{F})$  has finite dimension for every  $q \geq 0$ .
- (b) It was noticed in the fifties (Kodaira and Spencer) that if  $\{X_t\}_{t \in S}$  is a reasonable family of compact algebraic varieties ( $\mathbb{C}$ -analytic manifolds), ( $S$  is just a  $\mathbb{R}$ -differentiable smooth manifold and the  $X_t$  are a proper flat family), then

$$\chi(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim X_t} (-1)^i \dim(H^i(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}))$$

was independent of  $t$ .

The Riemann-Roch problem goes back to Riemann and the finiteness theorem goes back to Oka, Cartan-Serre, Serre, Grauert, Grothendieck, ... .

**Examples.** (1) Riemann (1850's): If  $X$  is a compact Riemann surface, then

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 1 - g$$

where  $g$  is the number of holes of  $X$  (as a real surface).

(2) Max Noether (1880's): If  $X$  is a compact, complex surface, then

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \frac{1}{12}(K_X^2 + \text{top Euler char.}(X)).$$

(Here,  $K_X^2 = \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \cup \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$  in the cohomology ring, an element of  $H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$ .)

(3) Severi, Eger-Todd (1920, 1937) conjectured:

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{some polynomial in the Euler-Todd class of } X,$$

for  $X$  a general compact algebraic, complex manifold.

(4) In the forties and fifties (3) was reformulated as a statement about Chern classes—no proof before Hirzebruch.

(5) September 29, 1952: Serre (letter to Kodaira and Spencer) conjectured: If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a rank  $r$  vector bundle over the compact, complex algebraic manifold,  $X$ , then

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{F}) = \text{polynomial in the Chern classes of } X \text{ and those of } \mathcal{F}.$$

Serre's conjecture (5) was proved by Hirzebruch a few months later.

To see this makes sense, we'll prove

**Theorem 3.11** (*Riemann-Roch for a compact Riemann Surface and for a line bundle*) *If  $X$  is a compact Riemann surface and if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a complex analytic line bundle on  $X$ , then there is an integer,  $\deg(\mathcal{L})$ , it is  $\deg(D)$  where  $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ , where  $D$  is a Cartier divisor on  $X$ , and*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^D) = \deg(\mathcal{L}) + 1 - g$$

where  $g = \dim H^0(X, \omega_X) = \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is the genus of  $X$ .

*Proof.* First, we know  $X$  is an algebraic variety (a curve), by Riemann's theorem (see Homework). From another Homework (from Fall 2003),  $X$  is embeddable in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N$ , for some  $N$ , and by GAGA (yet to come!),  $\mathcal{L}$  is an algebraic line bundle. It follows that  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ , for some Cartier divisor,  $D$ . Now, if  $f \in \text{Mer}(X)$ , we showed (again, see Homework) that  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = S^2$  is a branched covering map and this implies that

$$\#(f^{-1}(\infty)) = \#(f^{-1}(0)) = \text{degree of the map},$$

so  $\deg(f) = \#(f^{-1}(0)) - \#(f^{-1}(\infty)) = 0$ . As a consequence, if  $E \sim D$ , then  $\deg(E) = \deg(D)$  and the first statement is proved. Serre duality says

$$H^0(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^D) \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{L})^D.$$

Thus, the left hand side of the Riemann-Roch formula is just  $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ , where  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ . Observe that  $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$  is an Euler function in the bundle sense (this is always true of Euler-Poincaré characteristics). Look at any point,  $P$ , on  $X$ , we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-P) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \kappa_P \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\kappa_P$  is the skyscraper sheaf at  $P$ , i.e.,

$$(\kappa_P)_x = \begin{cases} (0) & \text{if } x \neq P \\ \mathbb{C} & \text{if } x = P. \end{cases}$$



If we tensor with  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ , we get the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D - P) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D) \longrightarrow \kappa_P \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D) \longrightarrow 0.$$

When we apply cohomology, we get

$$\chi(X, \kappa_P \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D)) + \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D - P)) = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)).$$

There are three cases.

(a)  $D = 0$ . The Riemann-Roch formula is a tautology, by definition of  $g$  and the fact that  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbb{C}$ .

(b)  $D > 0$ . Pick any  $P$  appearing in  $D$ . Then,  $\deg(D - P) = \deg(D) - 1$  and we can use induction. The base case holds, by (a). Using the induction hypothesis, we get

$$1 + \deg(D - P) + 1 - g = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)),$$

which says

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = \deg(D) + 1 - g,$$

proving the induction step when  $D > 0$ .

(c)  $D$  is arbitrary. In this case, write  $D = D^+ - D^-$ , with  $D^+, D^- \geq 0$ ; then

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D^-) \longrightarrow \kappa_{D^-} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ is exact}$$

and

$$\deg(\kappa_{D^-}) = \deg(D^-) = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D^-)).$$

If we tensor the above exact sequence with  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ , we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D + D^-) \longrightarrow \kappa_{D^-} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ is exact.}$$

When we apply cohomology, we get

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) + \deg(D^-) = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D + D^-)) = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D^+)).$$

However, by (b), we have  $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D^+)) = \deg(D^+) + 1 - g$ , so we deduce

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = \deg(D^+) - \deg(D^-) + 1 - g = \deg(D) + 1 - g,$$

which finishes the proof.  $\square$

We will show:

- (a)  $\mathcal{L}$  possesses a class,  $c_1(\mathcal{L}) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ .
- (b) If  $X$  is a Riemann surface and  $[X] \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$  is its fundamental class, then  $\deg(\mathcal{L}) = c(\mathcal{L})[X] \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, the Riemann-Roch formula becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(X, \mathcal{L}) &= c_1(\mathcal{L})[X] + 1 - g \\ &= \left[ c_1(\mathcal{L}) + \frac{1}{2}(2 - 2g) \right] [X] \\ &= \left[ c_1(\mathcal{L}) + \frac{1}{2}c_1(T_X^{1,0}) \right] [X]. \end{aligned}$$

This is Hirzebruch's form of the Riemann-Roch theorem for Riemann surfaces and line bundles.

What about vector bundles?

**Theorem 3.12** (*Atiyah-Serre on vector bundles*) Let  $X$  be either a compact, complex  $C^\infty$ -manifold or an algebraic variety. If  $E$  is a rank  $r$  vector bundle on  $X$ , of class  $C^\infty$  in case  $X$  is just  $C^\infty$ , algebraic if  $X$  is algebraic, in the latter case assume  $E$  is generated by its global sections (that is, the map,  $\Gamma_{\text{alg}}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E)) \rightarrow E_x$ , given by  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma(x)$ , is surjective for all  $x$ ), then, there is a trivial bundle of rank  $r-d$  (where  $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ ) denoted  $\mathbb{I}^{r-d}$ , and a bundle exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}^{r-d} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E'' \longrightarrow 0$$

and the rank of the bundle  $E''$  is at most  $d$ .

*Proof.* Observe that if  $r < d$ , there is nothing to prove and  $\text{rk}(E'') = \text{rk}(E)$  and also if  $r = d$  take (0) for the left hand side. So, we may assume  $r > d$ . In the  $C^\infty$ -case, we always have  $E$  generated by its global  $C^\infty$ -sections (partition of unity argument).

Pick  $x$ , note  $\dim E_x = r$ , so there is a finite dimensional subspace of  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E))$  surjecting onto  $E_x$ . By continuity (or algebraicity), this holds  $\mathbb{C}$ -near (resp.  $\mathbb{Z}$ -near)  $x$ . Cover by these opens and so

- (a) In the  $C^\infty$ -case, finitely many of these opens cover  $X$  (recall,  $X$  is compact).
- (b) In the algebraic case, again, finitely many of these opens cover  $X$ , as  $X$  is quasi-compact in the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -topology.

Therefore, there exists a finite dimensional space,  $W \subseteq \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E))$ , and the map  $W \rightarrow E_x$  given by  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma(x)$  is surjective for all  $x \in X$ . Let

$$\ker(x) = \text{Ker}(W \rightarrow E_x).$$

Consider the projective space  $\mathbb{P}(\ker(x)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(W)$ . Observe that  $\dim \ker(x) = \dim W - r$  is independent of  $x$ . Now, look at  $\bigcup_{x \in X} \mathbb{P}(\ker(x))$  and let  $Z$  be its  $\mathbb{Z}$ -closure. We have

$$\dim Z = \dim X + \dim W - r - 1 = \dim W + d - r - 1,$$

so,  $\text{codim}(Z \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}) = r - d$ . Thus, there is some projective subspace,  $T$ , of  $\mathbb{P}$  with  $\dim T = r - d - 1$ , so that

$$T \cap Z = \emptyset.$$

Then,  $T = \mathbb{P}(S)$ , for some subspace,  $S$ , of  $W$  ( $\dim S = r - d$ ). Look at

$$X \coprod S = X \coprod \mathbb{C}^{r-d} = \mathbb{I}^{r-d}.$$

Send  $\mathbb{I}^{r-d}$  to  $E$  via  $(x, s) \mapsto s(x) \in E$ . As  $T \cap Z = \emptyset$ , the value  $s(x)$  is *never* zero. Therefore, for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\text{Im}(\mathbb{I}^{r-d} \hookrightarrow E)$  has full rank; set  $E'' = E/\text{Im}(\mathbb{I}^{r-d} \hookrightarrow E)$  = a vector bundle of rank  $d$ , then

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}^{r-d} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E'' \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{is exact}$$

as a bundle sequence.  $\square$

### Remarks:

- (a) If  $0 \longrightarrow E' \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E'' \longrightarrow 0$  is bundle exact, then

$$c_1(E) = c_1(E') + c_1(E'').$$

- (b) If  $E$  is the trivial bundle,  $\mathbb{I}^r$ , then  $c_j(E) = 0$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, r$ .

- (c) If  $\text{rk}(E) = r$ , then  $c_1(E) = c_1(\bigwedge^r E)$ .

In view of (a)–(c), Atiyah-Serre can be reformulated as

$$c_1(E) = c_1\left(\bigwedge^{\text{rk } E} E\right) = c_1(E'') = c_1\left(\bigwedge^{\text{rk } E''} E''\right).$$

We now use the Atiyah-Serre theorem to prove a version of Riemann-Roch first shown by Weil.

**Theorem 3.13** (*Riemann-Roch on a Riemann surface for a vector bundle*) *If  $X$  is a compact Riemann surface and  $E$  is a complex analytic rank  $r$  vector bundle on  $X$ , then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E)) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(E)^D) = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = c_1(E) + \text{rk}(E)(1 - g).$$

*Proof.* The first equality is just Serre Duality. As before, by Riemann's theorem  $X$  is projective algebraic and by GAGA,  $E$  is an algebraic vector bundle. Now, as  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ , it turns out (Serre) that for  $\delta \gg 0$ , the “twisted bundle”,  $E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\delta) (= E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^{\otimes \delta})$  is generated by its global holomorphic sections. We can apply Atiyah-Serre to  $E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\delta)$ . We get

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}^{r-1} \longrightarrow E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\delta) \longrightarrow E'' \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{is exact,}$$

where  $\text{rk}(E'') = 1$ . If we twist with  $\mathcal{O}_X(-\delta)$ , we get the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \prod_{r-1} \mathcal{O}_X(-\delta) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E''(-\delta) \longrightarrow 0.$$

(Here,  $E''(-\delta) = E'' \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\delta)$ .) Now, use induction on  $r$ . The case  $r = 1$  is ordinary Riemann-Roch for line bundles. Assume the induction hypothesis for  $r - 1$ . As  $\chi$  is an Euler function, we have

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E)) = \chi(X, E''(-\delta)) + \chi\left(\prod_{r-1} \mathcal{O}_X(-\delta)\right).$$

The first term on the right hand side is

$$c_1(E''(-\delta)) + 1 - g,$$

by ordinary Riemann-Roch and the second term on the right hand side is

$$c_1\left(\prod_{r-1} \mathcal{O}_X(-\delta)\right) + (r-1)(1-g).$$

by the induction hypothesis. We deduce that

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E)) = c_1(E''(-\delta)) + c_1\left(\prod_{r-1} \mathcal{O}_X(-\delta)\right) + r(1-g).$$

But, we know that

$$c_1(E) = c_1(E''(-\delta)) + c_1\left(\prod_{r-1} \mathcal{O}_X(-\delta)\right),$$

so we conclude that

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E)) = c_1(E) + r(1-g),$$

establishing the induction hypothesis and the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark:** We can write the above as

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E)) = c_1(E) + \frac{\text{rk}(E)}{2} c_1(T_X^{1,0}),$$

which is Hirzebruch's form of Riemann-Roch.

We will need later some properties of  $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $p_g(X)$ . Recall that  $p_g(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^n(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(X, \Omega_X^n)$ , where  $\Omega_X^l = \bigwedge^l T_X^{1,0}$ . (The vector spaces  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^l)$  were what the Italian geometers (in fact, all geometers) of the nineteenth century understood.)

**Proposition 3.14** *The functions  $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $p_g(X)$  are multiplicative on compact, Kähler manifolds, i.e.,*

$$\begin{aligned}\chi\left(X \amalg Y, \mathcal{O}_{X \amalg Y}\right) &= \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)\chi(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \\ p_g\left(X \amalg Y\right) &= p_g(X)p_g(Y).\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Remember that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^l(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(X, \Omega_X^l) = h^{0,l} = h^{l,0}.$$

Then,

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(X, \Omega_X^j) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j h^{j,0}.$$

Also recall the Künneth formula

$$\coprod_{\substack{p+p'=a \\ q+q'=b}} H^q(X, \Omega_X^p) \otimes H^{q'}(X, \Omega_X^{p'}) \cong H^b\left(X \amalg Y, \Omega_{X \amalg Y}^a\right).$$

Set  $b = 0$ , then  $q = q' = 0$  and we get

$$\sum_{p+p'=a} h^{p,0}(X) h^{p',0}(Y) = h^{a,0}\left(X \amalg Y\right).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)\chi(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) &= \left(\sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r h^{r,0}(X)\right) \left(\sum_{s=0}^n (-1)^s h^{s,0}(Y)\right) \\ &= \sum_{r,s=0}^{m+n} (-1)^{r+s} h^{r,0}(X) h^{s,0}(Y) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} (-1)^k \sum_{r+s=k} h^{r,0}(X) h^{s,0}(Y) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} (-1)^k h^k(X \amalg Y) = \chi\left(X \amalg Y, \mathcal{O}_{X \amalg Y}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The second statement is obvious from Künneth.  $\square$

Next, we introduce Hirzebruch's axiomatic approach.

Let  $E$  be a complex vector bundle on  $X$ , where  $X$  is one of our spaces (admissible). It will turn out that  $E$  is a unitary bundle (a  $U(q)$ -bundle, where  $q = \text{rk}(E)$ ).

Chern classes are cohomology classes,  $c_l(E)$ , satisfying the following axioms:

**Axiom (I).** (Existence and Chern polynomial). If  $E$  is a rank  $q$  unitary bundle over  $X$  and  $X$  is admissible, then there exist cohomology classes,  $c_l(E) \in H^{2l}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , the *Chern classes* of  $E$  and we set

$$c(E)(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c_l(E)t^l \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})[[t]],$$

with  $c_0(E) = 1$ .

As  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = d < \infty$ , we get  $c_l(E) = 0$  for  $l > d$ , so  $C(E)(t)$  is in fact a polynomial in  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})[t]$  called the *Chern polynomial* of  $E$  where  $\deg(t) = 2$ .

Say  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$  and  $E$  is a  $U(q)$ -bundle over  $X$ , then we have two maps

$$H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^*(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{and} \quad H^1(X, U(q)) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^1(Y, U(q)).$$

**Axiom (II).** (Naturality). For every  $E$ , a  $U(q)$ -bundle on  $X$  and map,  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ , (with  $X, Y$  admissible), we have

$$c(\pi^*E)(t) = \pi^*(c(E))(t),$$

as elements of  $H^*(Y, \mathbb{Z})[[t]]$ .

**Axiom (III).** (Whitney coproduct axiom). If  $E$ , a  $U(q)$ -bundle is a coproduct (in the  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $C^\infty$ -sense),

$$E = \coprod_{j=1}^{\text{rk}(E)} E_j$$

of  $U(1)$ -bundles, then

$$c(E)(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{\text{rk}(E)} c(E_j)(t).$$

**Axiom (IV).** (Normalization). If  $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$  and  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$  is the  $U(1)$ -bundle corresponding to the hyperplane divisor,  $H$ , on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ , then

$$c(\mathcal{O}_X(1))(t) = 1 + Ht,$$

where  $H$  is considered in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Remark:** If  $i: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ , then

$$i^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1)$$

and  $i^*(H)$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n-1}, \mathbb{Z})$  is  $H_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n-1}}$ . By Axiom (II) and Axiom (IV)

$$i^*(1 + H_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n} t) = i^*(c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n})(t)) = c(i^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n})(t)) = 1 + H_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n-1}} t.$$

Therefore, we can use *any*  $n$  to normalize.

**Some Remarks on bundles.** First, on  $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ : Geometric models of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\pm 1)$ .

Consider the map

$$\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n.$$

If we blow up 0 in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ , we get  $B_0(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$  as follows: In  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \amalg \mathbb{P}^n$ , look at the subvariety given by

$$\{(\langle z \rangle; (\xi)) \mid z_i \xi_j = z_j \xi_i, 0 \leq i, j \leq n\}.$$

By definition, this is  $B_0(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ , an algebraic variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We have the two projections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & B_0(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) & \\ pr_1 \swarrow & & \searrow pr_2 \\ \mathbb{C}^{n+1} & & \mathbb{P}^n. \end{array}$$

Look at the fibre,  $pr_1^{-1}(\langle z \rangle)$  over  $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . There are two cases:

- (a)  $\langle z \rangle = 0$ , in which case,  $pr_1^{-1}(\langle z \rangle) = \mathbb{P}^n$ .
- (b)  $\langle z \rangle \neq 0$ , so, there is some  $j$  with  $z_j \neq 0$ . We get  $\xi_i = \frac{z_i}{z_j} \xi_j$ , for all  $i$ , which implies:
  - ( $\alpha$ )  $\xi_j \neq 0$ .
  - ( $\beta$ ) All  $\xi_i$  are determined by  $\xi_j$ .
  - ( $\gamma$ )  $\frac{\xi_i}{\xi_j} = \frac{z_i}{z_j}$ .

This implies

$$(\xi) = \left( \frac{\xi_0}{\xi_j} : \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_j} : \dots : 1 : \dots : \frac{\xi_n}{\xi_j} \right) = \left( \frac{z_0}{z_j} : \frac{z_1}{z_j} : \dots : 1 : \dots : \frac{z_n}{z_j} \right).$$

Therefore,  $pr_1^{-1}(\langle z \rangle) = \langle \langle z \rangle; (z) \rangle$ , a single point.

Let us now look at  $pr_2^{-1}(\xi)$ , for  $(\xi) \in \mathbb{P}^n$ . Since  $(\xi) \in \mathbb{P}^n$ , there is some  $j$  such that  $\xi_j \neq 0$ . A point  $\langle \langle z \rangle; (\xi) \rangle$  above  $(\xi)$  is given by all  $\langle z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n \rangle$  so that

$$z_i = \frac{\xi_i}{\xi_j} z_j.$$

Let  $z_j = t$ , then the fibre above  $\xi$  is the complex line

$$z_0 = \frac{\xi_0}{\xi_j} t, z_1 = \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_j} t, \dots, z_j = t, \dots, z_n = \frac{\xi_n}{\xi_j} t.$$

We get a line family over  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Thus,  $pr_2: B_0(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is a line family.

(A) What kinds of maps,  $\sigma: \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow B_0(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ , exist with  $\sigma$  holomorphic and  $pr_2 \circ \sigma = \text{id}$ ?

If  $\sigma$  exists, then  $pr_1 \circ \sigma: \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  is holomorphic; this implies that  $pr_1 \circ \sigma$  is a constant map. But,  $\sigma(\xi)$  belongs to a line through  $(\xi) = (\xi_0 : \dots : \xi_n)$ , for all  $(\xi)$ , yet  $pr_1 \circ \sigma = \text{const}$ , so this point must lie on all line. This can only happen if  $\sigma(\xi) = 0$  in the line through  $\xi$ .

(B) I claim  $B_0(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$  is locally trivial, i.e., a line bundle. If so, (A) says  $B_0(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$  has *no global holomorphic* sections and we will know that  $B_0(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-q)$ , for some  $q > 0$ .

To show that  $B_0(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$  is locally trivial over  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , consider the usual cover,  $U_0, \dots, U_n$ , of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  (recall,  $U_j = \{(\xi) \in \mathbb{P}^n \mid \xi_j \neq 0\}$ ). If  $v \in B_0(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) \cap U_j$ , then  $v = \langle \langle z \rangle; (x) \rangle$ , with  $\xi_j \neq 0$ . Define  $\varphi_j$  as the map

$$v \mapsto \langle (\xi); z_j \rangle \in U_j \prod \mathbb{C}$$

and the backwards map

$$\langle (\xi); t \rangle \in U_j \prod \mathbb{C} \mapsto \langle \langle z \rangle; (\xi) \rangle, \quad \text{where} \quad z_i = \frac{\xi_i}{\xi_j} t, \quad i = 0, \dots, n.$$

The reader should check that the point of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \amalg \mathbb{P}^n$  so constructed is in  $B_0(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$  and that the maps are inverses of one another.

We can make a section,  $\sigma_j$ , of  $B_0(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) \upharpoonright U_j$ , via

$$\sigma((\xi)) = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{\xi_0}{\xi_j}, \dots, \frac{\xi_{j-1}}{\xi_j}, 1, \dots, \frac{\xi_n}{\xi_j} \right\rangle; (\xi) \right\rangle,$$

and we see that  $\varphi(\sigma((\xi))) = \langle ((\xi); 1) \in U_j \amalg \mathbb{C}$ , which shows that  $\sigma$  is a holomorphic section which is never zero. The transition function,  $g_i^j$ , renders the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_0 \upharpoonright U_i & \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} & U_i \amalg \mathbb{C} \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow g_i^j \\ B_0 \upharpoonright U_i \cap U_j & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_0 \upharpoonright U_j & \xrightarrow{\varphi_j} & U_j \amalg \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

commutative. It follows that

$$\varphi_j(v) = g_i^j(\varphi_i(v)) = g_i^j(\langle (\xi); z_i \rangle) = \langle (\xi); z_j \rangle$$

and we conclude that  $g_i^j(z_i) = z_j$ , which means that  $g_i^j$  is multiplication by  $z_j/z_i = \xi_j/\xi_i$ .

We now make another bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , which will turn out to be  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ . Embed  $\mathbb{P}^n$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$  by viewing  $\mathbb{P}^n$  as the hyperplane defined by  $z_{n+1} = 0$  and let  $P = (0 : \dots : 1) \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ . Clearly,  $P \notin \mathbb{P}^n$ . We have the projection,  $\pi : (\mathbb{P}^{n+1} - \{P\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ , from  $P$  onto  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , where

$$\pi(z_0 : \dots : z_n : z_{n+1}) = (z_0 : \dots : z_n).$$

We get a line family over  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , where the fibre over  $Q \in \mathbb{P}^n$  is just the line  $l_{PQ}$  (since  $P \notin \mathbb{P}^n$ , this line is always well defined). The parametric equations of this line are

$$(u : t) \mapsto (uz_0 : \dots : uz_n : t),$$

where  $(u : t) \in \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $Q = (z_0 : \dots : z_n)$ . When  $t = 0$ , we get  $Q$  and hen  $u = 0$ , we get  $P$ . Next, we prove that  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} - \{P\}$  is locally trivial. Make a section,  $\sigma_j$ , of  $\pi$  over  $U_j \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  by setting

$$\sigma_j((\xi)) = (\xi : \xi_j).$$

This points corresponds to the point  $(1 : \xi_j)$  on  $l_{PQ}$  and  $\xi_j \neq 0$ , so it is well-defined. As  $Q$  is the point of  $l_{PQ}$  for which  $t = 0$ , we have  $\sigma_j((\xi)) \neq Q$ . We make an isomorphism,  $\psi_j : (\mathbb{P}^{n+1} - \{P\}) \upharpoonright U_j \rightarrow U_j \amalg \mathbb{C}$ , via

$$(z_0 : \dots : z_{j-1} : z_j : z_{j+1} : \dots : z_{n+1}) \mapsto \left( z_0 : \dots : z_n : \frac{z_{n+1}}{z_j} \right).$$

Observe that

$$s_j((\xi)) = \psi_j \circ \sigma_j((\xi)) = \psi_j(\xi : \xi_j) = (\xi : 1) \in U_j \amalg \mathbb{C}.$$

For any  $(z_0 : \dots : z_{n+1}) \in (\mathbb{P}^{n+1} - \{P\}) \upharpoonright U_i \cap U_j$ , we have  $z_i \neq 0$  and  $z_j \neq 0$ ; moreover

$$\psi_i(z_0 : \dots : z_{n+1}) = \left( z_0 : \dots : z_n : \frac{z_{n+1}}{z_i} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_j(z_0 : \dots : z_{n+1}) = \left( z_0 : \dots : z_n : \frac{z_{n+1}}{z_j} \right).$$

This means that the transition function,  $h_i^j$ , on  $U_i \cap U_j$ , is multiplication by  $z_i/z_j$ . These are the inverses of the transition functions of our previous bundle,  $B_0(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ , which means that the bundle  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} - \{P\}$  is the dual bundle of  $B_0(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ . We will use geometry to show that the bundle  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} - \{P\}$  is in fact  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ .

Look at the hyperplanes,  $H$ , of  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ . They are given by linear forms,

$$H: \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} a_j Z_j = 0.$$

The hyperplanes through  $P$  form a  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , since  $P \in H$  iff  $a_{n+1} = 0$ . The rest of the hyperplanes are in the affine space,  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} = \mathbb{P}^{n+1} - \mathbb{P}^n$ . Indeed such hyperplanes,  $H_{(\alpha)}$ , are given by

$$H_{(\alpha)}: \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j Z_j + Z_{n+1} = 0, \quad (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}.$$

Given any hyperplane,  $H_{(\alpha)}$  (with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ ), find the intersection,  $\sigma_{(\alpha)}(Q)$ , of the line  $l_{PQ}$  with  $H_{(\alpha)}$ . Note that  $\sigma_{(\alpha)}$  is a global section of  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} - \{P\}$ . The affine line obtained from  $l_{PQ}$  by deleting  $P$  is given by

$$\tau \mapsto (z_0: \dots: z_n: \tau),$$

where  $Q = (z_0: \dots: z_n)$ . This line cuts  $H_{(\alpha)}$  iff

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j z_j + \tau = 0,$$

so we deduce  $\tau = -\sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j z_j$  and

$$\sigma_{(\alpha)}(z_0: \dots: z_n) = \left( z_0: \dots: z_n: -\sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j z_j \right),$$

which means that  $\sigma_{(\alpha)}$  is a holomorphic section. Now, consider a holomorphic section,  $\sigma: \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^{n+1} - \{P\}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ , of  $\pi: (\mathbb{P}^{n+1} - \{P\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ . As  $\sigma$  is an algebraic map and  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is proper,  $\sigma(\mathbb{P}^n)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -closed, irreducible and has dimension  $n$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ . Therefore,  $\sigma(\mathbb{P}^n)$  is a hypersurface. But, our map factors through  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} - \{P\}$ , so  $\sigma(\mathbb{P}^n) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1} - \{P\}$ . This hypersurface has some degree,  $d$ , but all the lines  $l_{PQ}$  cut  $\sigma(\mathbb{P}^n)$  in a single point, which implies that  $d = 1$ , i.e.,  $\sigma(\mathbb{P}^n)$  is a hyperplane *not* through  $P$ . Putting all these facts together, we have shown that space of global sections  $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n+1} - \{P\})$  is in one-to-one correspondence with the hyperplanes  $H_{(\alpha)}$ , i.e., the linear forms  $\sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j z_j$  (a  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ ). Therefore, we conclude that  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} - \{P\}$  is  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ . Since  $B_0(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$  is the dual of  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} - \{P\}$ , we also conclude that  $B_0(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$ .

In order to prove that Chern classes exist, we need to know more about bundles. The reader may wish to consult Atiyah [2], Milnor and Stasheff [11], Hirsh [7], May [10] or Morita [12] for a more detailed treatment of bundles.

Recall that if  $G$  is a group, then  $H^1(X, G)$  classifies the  $G$ -torsors over  $X$ , e.g., (in our case) the fibre bundles, fibre  $F$ , over  $X$  (your favorite topology) with  $\text{Aut}(F) = G$ . When  $F = G$  and  $G$  acts by left translation to make it  $\text{Aut}(F)$ , the fibre bundle is called a *principal bundle*. Look at  $\varphi: G' \rightarrow G$ , a homomorphism of groups. Now, we know that we get a map

$$H^1(X, G') \longrightarrow H^1(X, G).$$

We would like to see this geometrically and we may take as representations principal bundles. Say  $E' \in H^1(X, G')$  a principal bundle with fibre  $G'$  and group  $G'$ . Consider  $G \coprod E'$  and make an equivalence relation  $\sim$  *via*: For all  $\sigma \in G'$ , all  $g \in G$ , all  $e' \in E'$

$$(g\varphi(\sigma), e') \sim (g, e'\sigma^{-1}).$$



Set  $E'_{G' \rightarrow G} = \varphi_*(E') = G \amalg E' / \sim$ .

Let us check that the fibre over  $x \in X$  is  $G$ . Since  $E'$  is locally trivial, we have  $E' \upharpoonright U \cong U \amalg G'$ , for some small enough open,  $U$ . The action of  $G'$  is such that: For  $\sigma \in G'$  and  $(u, \tau) \in U \amalg G'$ ,

$$\sigma(u, \tau) = (u, \sigma\tau).$$

Over  $U$ , we have  $(G \amalg E') \upharpoonright U = G \amalg U \amalg G'$ , so our  $\varphi_*(E')$  is still locally trivial and the action is on the left on  $G$ , its fibre. It follows that

$$E' \mapsto \varphi_*(E')$$

is our map  $H^1(X, G') \rightarrow H^1(X, G)$ .

Next, say  $\theta: Y \rightarrow X$  is a map (of spaces), then we get a map

$$H^1(X, G) \xrightarrow{\theta^*} H^1(Y, G).$$

Given  $E \in H^1(X, G)$ , we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \amalg_X Y & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_E \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\theta} & X, \end{array}$$

so we get a space,  $\theta^*(E) = E \amalg_X Y$ , over  $Y$ . Over a “small” open,  $U$ , of  $X$ , we have  $E \upharpoonright U \cong G \amalg U$  and

$$\theta^*(E) \upharpoonright \theta^{-1}(U) \cong G \amalg \theta^{-1}(U),$$

and this gives

$$H^1(X, G) \xrightarrow{\theta^*} H^1(Y, G).$$

Say  $G$  is a (Lie) group and we have a linear representation,  $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ . By the above, we get a map

$$E \mapsto E_{G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})} = \varphi_*(E)$$

from principal  $G$ -bundles over  $X$  to principal  $\mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ -bundles over  $X$ . But if  $V$  is a fixed vector space of dimension  $r$ , the construction above gives a rank  $r$  vector bundle  $\mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C}) \amalg V / \sim$ . If  $\mathcal{V}$  is a rank  $r$  vector bundle over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then look at the sheaf,  $\mathcal{I}som(\mathbb{I}^r, \mathcal{V})$ , whose fibre at  $x$  is the space  $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathcal{V}_x)$ . This sheaf defines a  $\mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle.

Say  $G' \subseteq G$  is a closed subgroup of the topological group,  $G$ .



If  $G$  is a real Lie group and  $G'$  is a closed subgroup, then  $G'$  is also a real Lie group (E. Cartan). But, if  $G$  is a complex Lie group and  $G'$  is a closed subgroup, then  $G'$  need *not* be a complex Lie group. For example, look at  $G = \mathbb{C}^* = \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{C})$  and  $G' = \mathrm{U}(1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ .

Convention: If  $G$  is a complex Lie group, when we say  $G'$  is a closed subgroup we mean a complex Lie group, closed in  $G$ .

Say  $G$  is a topological group and  $G'$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ . Look at the space  $G/G'$  and at the continuous map,  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/G'$ . We say  $\pi$  has a local section iff there is some  $V \subseteq G/G'$  with  $1_G \cdot G' \in V$  and a continuous map

$$s: V \rightarrow G, \quad \text{such that} \quad \pi \circ s = \mathrm{id}_V.$$

When we untwist this definition we find that it means  $s(v) \in v$ , where  $v$  is viewed as a coset. Generally, one must assume the existence of a local section—this is not true in general.

**Theorem 3.15** *If  $G$  and  $G'$  are topological groups and  $G'$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ , assume a local section exists. Then*

- (1) *The map  $G \longrightarrow G/G'$  makes  $G$  a continuous principal bundle with fibre and group  $G'$  and base  $G/G'$ .*
- (2) *If  $G$  is a real Lie group and  $G'$  is a closed subgroup, then a local smooth section always exists and  $G$  is a smooth principal bundle over  $G/G'$ , with fibre (and group)  $G'$ .*
- (3) *If  $G$  is a complex Lie group and  $G'$  is a closed complex Lie subgroup, then a complex analytic local section always exists and makes  $G$  a complex holomorphic principal bundle over  $G/G'$ , with fibre (and group)  $G'$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of (1) is deferred to the next theorem.

(2) & (3). Use local coordinates, choosing coordinates transverse to  $G'$  after choosing coordinates in  $G'$  near  $1_{G'}$ . The rest is (DX)– because we get a local section and we repeat the proof for (1) to prove the bundle assertion.  $\square$

Now, say  $E$  is a fibre bundle, with group  $G$  over  $X$  (and fibre  $F$ ) and say  $G'$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ . Then, we have a new bundle,  $E/G'$ . The bundle  $E/G'$  is obtained from  $E$  by identifying in each fibre the elements  $x$  and  $x\sigma$ , where  $\sigma \in G'$ . Then, the group of  $E/G'$  is still  $G$  and the fibre is  $F/G'$ . In particular, if  $E$  is principal, then the group of  $E/G'$  is  $G$  and its fibre is  $G/G'$ . We have a map  $E \longrightarrow E/G'$  and a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\quad} & E/G' \\ & \searrow \pi_E & \swarrow \\ & X & \end{array}$$

**Theorem 3.16** *If  $G \longrightarrow G/G'$  possesses a local section, then for a principal  $G$ -bundle  $E$  over  $X$*

- (1)  *$E/G'$  is a fibre bundle over  $X$ , with fibre  $G/G'$ .*
- (2)  *$E \longrightarrow E/G'$  is in a natural way a principal bundle (over  $E/G'$ ) with group and fibre  $G'$ . If  $\xi \in H^1(X, G)$  represents  $E$ , write  $\xi_{G'}$  for the element of  $H^1(E/G', G')$  whose bundle is just  $E \longrightarrow E/G'$ .*
- (3) *From the diagram of bundles*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\pi_E \rightarrow E/G'} & E/G' \\ & \searrow \pi_E & \swarrow \pi_{E/G'} \\ & X & \end{array}$$

*we get the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(X, G') & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, G) \ni \xi \\ \pi_{E/G'}^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{E/G'}^* \\ \xi_{G'} \in H^1(E/G', G') & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H^1(E/G', G) \end{array}$$

(Here  $i: G' \hookrightarrow G$  is the inclusion map) and  $i_*(\xi_{G'}) = \pi_{E/G'}^*(\xi)$ , that is, when  $E$  is pulled back to the new base  $E/G'$ , it arises from a bundle whose structure group is  $G'$ .

Figure 3.1: The fibre bundle  $E$  over  $E/G'$ 

*Proof.* (1) is already proved (there is no need for our hypothesis on local sections).

(2) Pick a cover  $\{U_\alpha\}$ , of  $C$  where  $E \upharpoonright U_\alpha$  is trivial so that

$$E \upharpoonright U_\alpha \cong U_\alpha \prod G.$$

Now, consider  $G \longrightarrow G/G'$  and the local section  $s: V(\subseteq G/G') \longrightarrow G$  (with  $1_{G/G'} \in V$ ). We know  $s(v) \in v$  (as a coset) and look at  $\pi^{-1}(V)$ . If  $x \in \pi^{-1}(V)$ , set

$$\theta(x) = (x^{-1}s(\pi(x)), \pi(x)) \in G' \prod V.$$

This gives an isomorphism (in the appropriate category),  $\pi^{-1}(V) \cong G' \prod V$ . If we translate  $V$  around  $G/G'$ , we get  $G$  as a fibre bundle over  $G/G'$  and group  $G'$  giving (1) of the previous theorem. But,  $U_\alpha \prod V$  and the  $U_\alpha \prod (\text{translate of } V)$  give a cover of  $E/G'$  and we have

$$E \upharpoonright U_\alpha \cong U_\alpha \prod \pi^{-1}(V) \cong U_\alpha \prod V \prod G',$$

giving  $E$  as fibre bundle over  $E/G'$  with group and fibre  $G'$ . Here, the diagrams are obvious and the picture of Figure 3.1 finishes the proof. Both sides of the last formula are “push into the board” (by definition for  $i_*$  and by elementary computation in  $\pi_{E/G'}^*(\xi)$ ).  $\square$

**Definition 3.2** If  $E$  is a bundle over  $X$  with group  $G$  and if  $G'$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$  so that the cohomology representative of  $G$ , say  $\xi$  actually arises as  $i_*(\eta)$  for some  $\eta \in H^1(X, G')$ , then  $E$  can have its structure group reduced to  $G'$ .

If we restate (3) of the previous theorem in this language, we get

**Corollary 3.17** Every bundle  $E$  over  $X$  with group  $G$  when pulled back to  $E/G'$  has its structure group reduced to  $G'$ .

**Theorem 3.18** Let  $E$  be a bundle over  $X$ , with group  $G$  and let  $G'$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$ . Then,  $E$  as a bundle over  $X$  can have its structure group reduced to  $G'$  iff the bundle  $E/G'$  admits a global section over  $X$ . In this case if  $s: X \rightarrow E/G'$  is the global section of  $E/G'$ , then  $s^*(E)$  where  $E$  is considered as bundle over  $E/G'$  with group  $G'$  is the element  $\eta \in H^1(X, G')$  which gives the structure group reduction. In terms of cocycles,  $E$  admits a reduction to group  $G'$  iff there exists an open cover  $\{U_\alpha\}$  of  $X$  so that the transition functions

$$g_\alpha^\beta: U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow G$$

map  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$  into the subgroup  $G'$ . The section of  $E/G'$  is given in the cover by maps  $s_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow U_\alpha \prod G/G'$ , where  $s_\alpha(u) = (u, 1_{G/G'})$ . The cocycle  $g_\alpha^\beta$  represents  $s^*(E)$  when its values are considered to be in  $G'$  and represents  $E$  when its values are considered to be in  $G$ .

*Proof.* Consider the picture of Figure 3.1 above. Suppose  $E$  can have structure group reduced to  $G'$ , then there is a principal bundle,  $F$ , for  $G'$  and its transition functions give  $E$  too. This  $F$  can be embedded in  $E$ , the fibres are  $G'$ . Apply  $\pi_{E \rightarrow E/G'}$  to  $F$ , we get a space over  $X$  whose points lie in the bundle  $E/G'$ , one point for each point of  $X$ . Thus, the map  $s: X \rightarrow \text{point of } \pi_{E \rightarrow E/G'}(F)$  over  $x$ , is our section of  $E/G'$  over  $X$ .

Conversely, given a section,  $s: X \rightarrow E/G'$ , we have  $E$  as principal bundle over  $E/G'$ , with fibre and group  $G'$ . So,  $s^*(E)$  gives a bundle,  $F$ , principal for  $G'$ , lying over  $X$ . Note,  $F$  is the bundle given by  $s^*(\xi_{G'})$ ,

where  $\xi$  represents  $E$ . This shows the  $F$  constructed reduces to the group  $G'$ . The rest (with cocycles) is standard.  $\square$

Look at  $\mathbb{C}^q$  and  $\mathrm{GL}(q, \mathbb{C})$ . Write  $\mathbb{C}_r^q$  for the span of  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  (the first  $r$  canonical basis vectors) =  $\mathrm{Ker} \pi_r$ , where  $\pi_r$  is projection on the last  $q - r$  basis vectors,  $e_{r+1}, \dots, e_q$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}rass(r, q; \mathbb{C})$  denote the complex Grassmannian of  $r$ -dimensional linear subspaces in  $\mathbb{C}^q$ . There is a natural action of  $\mathrm{GL}(q, \mathbb{C})$  on  $\mathcal{G}rass(r, q; \mathbb{C})$  and it is clearly transitive. Let us look for the stabilizer of  $\mathbb{C}_r^q$ . It is the subgroup,  $\mathrm{GL}(r, q - r; \mathbb{C})$ , of  $\mathrm{GL}(q, \mathbb{C})$ , consisting of all matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A$  is  $r \times r$ . It follows that, as a homogeneous space,

$$\mathrm{GL}(q, \mathbb{C}) / \mathrm{GL}(r, q - r; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{G}rass(r, q; \mathbb{C}).$$

If we restrict the action to  $\mathrm{U}(q)$ , the above matrices must be of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A \in \mathrm{U}(r)$  and  $C \in \mathrm{U}(q - r)$ , so

$$\mathrm{U}(q) / \mathrm{U}(r) \prod \mathrm{U}(q - r) \cong \mathcal{G}rass(r, q; \mathbb{C}).$$

**Remark:** Note, in the real case we obtain

$$\mathrm{GL}(q, \mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{GL}(r, q - r; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{O}(q) / \mathrm{O}(r) \prod \mathrm{O}(q - r) \cong \mathcal{G}rass(r, q; \mathbb{R}).$$

If one looks at oriented planes, then this becomes

$$\mathrm{GL}^+(q, \mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{GL}^+(r, q - r; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{SO}(q) / \mathrm{SO}(r) \prod \mathrm{SO}(q - r) \cong \mathcal{G}rass^+(r, q; \mathbb{R}).$$

**Theorem 3.19** (Theorem A) *If  $X$  is paracompact,  $f$  and  $g$  are two maps  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $E$  is a bundle over  $Y$ , then when  $f$  is homotopic to  $g$  and not for holomorphic bundles, we have  $f^*E \cong g^*E$ .*

**Theorem 3.20** (Theorem B) *Suppose  $X$  is paracompact and  $E$  is a bundle over  $X$  whose fibre is a cell. If  $Z$  is any closed subset of  $X$  (even empty) then any section (continuous, smooth, but not holomorphic) of  $E$  over  $Z$  admits an extension to a global section (continuous or smooth) of  $E$ . That is, the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X(E)$  is a soft sheaf. (Note this holds when  $E$  is a vector bundle and it is Tietze's Extension Theorem).*

**Theorem 3.21** (Theorem C) *Say  $G'$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$  and  $X$  is paracompact. If  $G/G'$  is a cell, then the natural map*

$$H_{\mathrm{top}}^1(X, G') \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{top}}^1(X, G) \quad \text{or} \quad H_{\mathrm{diff}}^1(X, G') \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{diff}}^1(X, G)$$

*is a bijection. That is, every principal  $G$ -bundle can have its structure group reduced to  $G'$  and comes from a unique principal  $G'$ -bundle.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $E$  is a principal  $G$ -bundle and look at  $E/G'$  over  $X$ . The fibre of  $E/G'$  over  $X$  is  $G/G'$ , a cell. Over a small closed set, say  $Z$ , the bundle  $E/G'$  has a section; so, by Theorem B our section extends to a global section ( $G/G'$  is a cell). Then, by Theorem 3.18, the bundle  $E$  comes from  $H^1(X, G')$  and surjectivity is proved.

Now, say  $E$  and  $F$  are principal  $G'$ -bundles and that they become isomorphic as  $G$ -bundles. Take a common covering  $\{U_\alpha\}$ , where  $E$  and  $F$  are trivialized. Then  $g_\alpha^\beta(E), g_\alpha^\beta(F)$ , their transition functions become cohomologous in the  $G$ -bundle theory. This means that there exist maps,  $h_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow G$  so that

$$g_\alpha^\beta(F) = h_\beta^{-1} g_\alpha^\beta(E) h_\alpha^{-1}.$$

Consider  $X \coprod I$  where  $I = [0, 1]$  and cover  $X \coprod I$  by the opens

$$U_\alpha^0 = U_\alpha \coprod [0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad U_\alpha^1 = U_\alpha \coprod (0, 1].$$

Make a principal bundle on  $X \coprod I$  using the following transition functions:

$$g_{\alpha 0}^{\beta 0}: U_\alpha^0 \cap U_\beta^0 \longrightarrow G$$

$$\text{via } g_{\alpha 0}^{\beta 0}(x, t) = g_\alpha^\beta(E)(x);$$

$$g_{\alpha 1}^{\beta 1}: U_\alpha^1 \cap U_\beta^1 \longrightarrow G$$

$$\text{via } g_{\alpha 1}^{\beta 1}(x, t) = g_\alpha^\beta(F)(x);$$

$$g_{\alpha 0}^{\beta 1}: U_\alpha^0 \cap U_\beta^1 \longrightarrow G$$

$$\text{via } g_{\alpha 0}^{\beta 1}(x, t) = h_\beta(x) g_\alpha^\beta(F)(x) = g_\alpha^\beta(E)(x) h_\alpha(x). \text{ Call this new bundle } (E, F) \text{ and let}$$

$$Z = X \coprod \{0\} \cup X \coprod \{1\} \hookrightarrow X \coprod I$$

a closed subset. Note that  $(E, F)$  over  $Z$  is a  $G'$ -bundle. Thus, Theorem 3.18 says  $(E, F)/G'$  has a global section over  $Z$ . But, its fibre is  $G/G'$ , a cell. Therefore, by Theorem B, the bundle  $(E, F)/G'$  has a global section over all of  $X$ . By Theorem 3.18, again, the bundle  $(E, F)$  comes from a  $G'$ -bundle,  $\widetilde{(E, F)}$ . Write  $f_0: X \rightarrow X \coprod I$  for the function given by

$$f_0(x) = (x, 0)$$

and  $f_1: X \rightarrow X \coprod I$  for the function given by

$$f_1(x) = (x, 1).$$

If  $\widetilde{(E, F)} \upharpoonright X \coprod \{0\} = \widetilde{(E, F)}_0$ , then  $f_0^*(\widetilde{(E, F)}_0) = E$ , i.e.,  $f_0^*(\widetilde{(E, F)}) = E$  and similarly,  $f_1^*(\widetilde{(E, F)}) = F$ ; and  $f_0$  is homotopic to  $f_1$ . By Theorem A, we get  $E \cong F$  as  $G'$ -bundles.  $\square$

There is a theorem of Steenrod stating: If  $X$  is a differentiable manifold and  $E$  is a fibre bundle over  $X$ , then every continuous section of  $E$  may be approximated (with arbitrary  $\epsilon$ ) on compact subsets of  $X$  by a smooth section. When  $E$  is a vector bundle, this is easy to prove by an argument involving a partition of unity and approximation techniques using convolution. This proves

**Theorem 3.22** (Theorem D) *If  $X$  is a differentiable manifold and  $G$  is a Lie group, then the map*

$$H_{\text{diff}}^1(X, G) \longrightarrow H_{\text{cont}}^1(X, G)$$

*is a bijection.*

We get the

**Corollary 3.23** *If  $X$  is a differentiable manifold, then in the diagram below, for the following pairs  $(G', G)$*

$$(\alpha) \quad G' = \text{U}(q), \quad G = \text{GL}(q, \mathbb{C}).$$

$$(\beta) \quad G' = \text{U}(r) \coprod \text{U}(q - r), \quad G = \text{GL}(r, q - r; \mathbb{C}) \text{ or } G = \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C}) \coprod \text{GL}(q - r, \mathbb{C}).$$

( $\gamma$ )  $G' = \mathbb{T}^q = S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1$  (the real  $q$ -torus),  $G = \Delta(q, \mathbb{C})$  or  $G = \mathbb{G}_m \prod \cdots \prod \mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{C}^* \prod \cdots \prod \mathbb{C}^*$   
 ( $= \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{C}) \prod \cdots \prod \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{C})$ ) (the complex  $q$ -torus)

all the maps are bijective

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\mathrm{cont}}^1(X, G') & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathrm{cont}}^1(X, G) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H_{\mathrm{diff}}^1(X, G') & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathrm{diff}}^1(X, G). \end{array}$$

Here,

$$\Delta(q, \mathbb{C}) = \bigcap_{r=1}^q \mathrm{GL}(r, q-r; \mathbb{C})$$

the upper triangular matrices.

*Proof.* Observe that  $G/G'$  is a cell in all cases and that  $\Delta(q, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathrm{U}(q) = \mathbb{T}^q$ .  $\square$

Suppose  $\xi$  corresponds to a  $\mathrm{GL}(q)$ -bundle which has group reduced to  $\mathrm{GL}(r, q-r; \mathbb{C})$ . Then, the maps

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \mapsto A \quad \text{and} \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \mapsto C$$

give surjections  $\mathrm{GL}(r, q-r; \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathrm{GL}(r, q-r; \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(q-r, \mathbb{C})$ , so  $\xi$  comes from  $\tilde{\xi}$  and  $\tilde{\xi}$  gives rise to  $\xi'$  and  $\xi''$  which are  $\mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathrm{GL}(q-r, \mathbb{C})$ -bundles, respectively. In this case one says: the  $\mathrm{GL}(q, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $\xi$  admits a reduction to a (rank  $r$ ) subbundle  $\xi'$  and a (rank  $q-r$ ) quotient bundle  $\xi''$ . When we use  $\Delta(q, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathrm{GL}(q, \mathbb{C})$  then we get  $q$  maps,  $\varphi_l: \Delta(q, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ , namely

$$\varphi_j: \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & * & \cdots & * & * \\ 0 & a_2 & \cdots & * & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{q-1} & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_q \end{pmatrix} \mapsto a_l.$$

So, if  $\tilde{\xi}$  is our  $\Delta(q, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle, we get  $q$  line bundles  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q$  from  $\tilde{\xi}$  and one says  $\xi$  has  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q$  as diagonal line bundles.

Set

$$\mathbb{F}_q = \mathrm{GL}(q; \mathbb{C}) / \Delta(q; \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{GL}(q; \mathbb{C}) / \bigcap_{r=1}^q \mathrm{GL}(r, q-r; \mathbb{C}),$$

the flag manifold, i.e., the set of all flags

$$\{0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_q = V \mid \dim(V_j) = j\}.$$

Since  $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathrm{GL}(q; \mathbb{C}) / \bigcap_{r=1}^q \mathrm{GL}(r, q-r; \mathbb{C})$ , we see that  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is embedded in  $\prod_{r=1}^q \mathcal{G}rass(r, q; \mathbb{C})$ . Thus, as the above is a closed immersion,  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is an algebraic variety, even a projective variety (by Segre). If  $V$  is a rank  $q$  vector bundle over  $X$ , say  $E(V) (\cong \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{C}^q, V))$  is the associated principal bundle, then write

$$[r]V = E(V) / \mathrm{GL}(r, q-r; \mathbb{C}),$$

a bundle over  $X$  whose fibres are  $\mathcal{G}rass(r, q; \mathbb{C})$  and

$$[\Delta]V = E(V) / \Delta(q; \mathbb{C})$$

a bundle over  $X$  whose fibres are the  $\mathbb{F}(q)$ 's. We have maps  $\rho_r: [r]V \rightarrow X$  and  $\rho_\Delta: [\Delta]V \rightarrow X$ . Now we apply our theorems to the pairs

- (a)  $G' = U(q)$ ,  $G = GL(q, \mathbb{C})$ .
- (b)  $G' = U(r) \amalg U(q-r)$  and  $G = GL(r, q-r, \mathbb{C})$  or  $G = GL(r, \mathbb{C}) \amalg GL(q-r, \mathbb{C})$ .
- (c)  $G' = \mathbb{T}^q$  and  $G = U(q)$  or  $G = \mathbb{C}^* \amalg \cdots \amalg \mathbb{C}^* = (\mathbb{G}_m)^q$ .
- (d)  $G' = \Delta(q, \mathbb{C})$  and  $G = GL(q, \mathbb{C})$

and then we get, (for example) every rank  $r$  vector bundle over  $X$  is “actually” a rank  $r$  unitary bundle over  $X$  and we also have

**Theorem 3.24** *If  $X$  is paracompact or a differentiable manifold or a complex analytic manifold or an algebraic variety and  $V$  is a rank  $q$  vector bundle of the appropriate category on  $X$ , then*

- (1)  $V$  reduces to a rank  $r$  subbundle,  $V'$ , and rank  $q-r$  quotient bundle,  $V''$ , over  $X$  iff  $[r]V$  possesses an appropriate global section over  $X$ .
- (2)  $V$  reduces to diagonal bundles over  $X$  iff  $[\Delta]V$  possesses an appropriate global section over  $X$ .
- (3) For the maps  $\rho_r$  in case (1), resp.  $\rho_\Delta$  in case (2), the bundle  $\rho_r^*V$  reduces to a rank  $r$  subbundle and rank  $q-r$  quotient bundle over  $[r]V$  (resp. reduces to diagonal bundles over  $[\Delta]V$ ).

**Remark:** The sub, quotient, diagonal bundles are continuous, differentiable, analytic, algebraic, respectively.

Say  $s: X \rightarrow [r]V$  is a global section. For every  $x \in X$ , we have  $s(x) \in \mathcal{G}rass(r, q; V_x)$ ; i.e.,  $s(x)$  is an  $r$ -plane in  $V_x$  and so,  $\bigcup_{x \in X} s(x)$  gives an “honest” rank  $r$  subbundle of  $V$ . It is isomorphic to the subbundle,  $V'$ , of the reduction. Similarly,  $\bigcup_{x \in X} V_x/s(x)$  is an “honest” rank  $q-r$  quotient bundle of  $V$ ; it is just  $V''$ .

Hence, we get

**Corollary 3.25** *If the hypotheses of the previous theorem hold, then*

- (1)  $[r]V$  has a section iff there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V' \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow V'' \longrightarrow 0$$

of vector bundles on  $X$ .

- (2)  $[\Delta]V$  has a section iff there exist exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L_1 & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & V_1'' \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L_2 & \longrightarrow & V_1'' & \longrightarrow & V_2'' \longrightarrow 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L_{j+1} & \longrightarrow & V_j'' & \longrightarrow & V_{j+1}'' \longrightarrow 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ & & & & L_q & \cong & V_{q-1}'' \end{array}$$

where the  $L_j$ 's are line bundles, in fact, the diagonal bundles.

**Theorem 3.26** *In the continuous and differentiable categories, when  $V$  has an exact sequence as in (1) of Corollary 3.25 or diagonal bundles as in (2) of Corollary 3.25, then*

- (1)  $V \cong V' \amalg V''$ .
- (2)  $V \cong L_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg L_q$ .



The above is false if we need splitting analytically!

All we need to prove is (1) as (2) follows by induction. We know  $V$  comes from  $H^1(X, \mathrm{GL}(r, q-r; \mathbb{C}))$ . By (b) above,  $V$  comes from  $H^1(X, \mathrm{U}(r) \amalg \mathrm{U}(q-r))$  and by (b) again,  $V$  comes from  $H^1(X, \mathrm{GL}(r) \amalg \mathrm{GL}(q-r)) \cong H^1(X, \mathrm{GL}(r)) \amalg H^1(X, \mathrm{GL}(q-r))$  and we get (1).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.27** (*Splitting Principle*) *Given  $V$ , a continuous, differentiable, analytic, algebraic rank  $q$  vector bundle over  $X$ , then  $\rho_r^* V$  is in the continuous or differentiable category a coproduct  $V = V' \amalg V''$  ( $\mathrm{rk}(V') = r$ ,  $\mathrm{rk}(V'') = q - r$ ) or  $\rho_\Delta^* V$  is  $V = L_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg L_q$ .*

Note that  $[r]V$  and  $[\Delta]V$  are fibre bundles over  $X$ ; consequently, there is a relation between  $H^j(X, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $H^j([r]V, \mathbb{Z})$  (resp.  $H^j([\Delta]V, \mathbb{Z})$ ). This is the *Borel spectral sequence*. Under the condition that  $(E, X, F, G)$  is a fibre space over  $X$  (admissible), group  $G$ , fibre  $F$ , total space  $E$ , there is a spectral sequence whose  $E_2^{p,q}$ -term is

$$H^p(X, H^q(F, \mathbb{Z}))$$

and whose ending is  $\mathrm{gr}(H^\bullet(E, \mathbb{Z}))$ ,

$$H^p(X, H^q(F, \mathbb{Z})) \xRightarrow{p} H^\bullet(E, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Borel proves that in our situation: The map

$$\rho^*: H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^\bullet([r]V, \mathbb{Z})$$

(resp.  $\rho^*: H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^\bullet([\Delta]V, \mathbb{Z})$ ) is an injection. From the hand-out, we also get the following: Write

$$\mathrm{BU}(q) = \varinjlim_N \mathcal{G}rass(q, N; \mathbb{C}).$$

Note,

$$\mathrm{BU}(1) = \varinjlim_N \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N-1} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^\infty.$$

**Theorem 3.28** *If  $X$  is admissible (locally compact,  $\sigma$ -compact, finite dimensional) then  $\mathrm{Vect}_q(X)$  (isomorphism classes of rank  $q$  vector bundles over  $X$ ) in the continuous or differentiable category is in one-to-one correspondence with homotopy classes of maps  $X \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}(q)$ . In fact, if  $X$  is compact and  $N \geq 2\dim(X)$  then already the homotopy classes of maps  $X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}rass(q, N; \mathbb{C})$  classify rank  $q$  vector bundles on  $X$  (differentiably). Moreover, on  $\mathrm{BU}(q)$ , there exists a bundle, the “universal quotient”,  $W_q$ , it has rank  $q$  over  $\mathrm{BU}(q)$  (in fact, it is algebraic) so that the map is*

$$f \in [X \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}(q)] \mapsto f^* W_q.$$

We are now in the position where we can prove the uniqueness of Chern classes.

### Uniqueness of Chern Classes:

Assume existence (Axiom (I)) and good behavior (Axioms (II)–(IV)). First, take a line bundle,  $L$ , on  $X$ . By the classification theorem there is a map

$$f: X \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}(1)$$

so that  $f^*(H) = L$  (here,  $H$  is the universal quotient line bundle). By Axiom (II),

$$f^*(c(H)(t)) = c(f^*(H))(t) = c(L)(t)$$

and the left hand side is  $f^*(1 + Ht)$ , by Axiom (IV) (viewing  $H$  as a cohomology class). It follows that the left hand side is  $1 + f^*(H)t$  and so,

$$c_1(L) = f^*(H), \quad \text{and} \quad c_j(L) = 0, \quad \text{for all } j \geq 2.$$



This is independent of  $f$  as homotopic maps agree cohomologically.

Now, let  $V$  be a rank  $q$  vector bundle on  $X$  and make the bundle  $[\Delta]V$  whose fibre is  $\mathbb{F}(q)$ . Take  $\rho^*(V)$ , where  $\rho: [\Delta]V \rightarrow X$ . We know

$$\rho^*V = \prod_{j=1}^q L_j,$$

where the  $L_j$ 's are line bundles and by Axiom (II),

$$c(\rho^*(V))(t) = \prod_{j=1}^q (1 + c_1(L_j)(t)).$$

Now, the left hand side is  $\rho^*(c(V)(t))$ , by Axiom (II); then,  $\rho^*$  being an injection implies  $c(V)(t)$  is uniquely determined.

**Remark:** Look at  $U(q) \supseteq U(1) \prod U(q-1) \supseteq \mathbb{T}^q$ . Then,

$$U(1) \prod U(q-1)/\mathbb{T}^q \hookrightarrow U(q)/\mathbb{T}^q = \mathbb{F}(q)$$

and the left hand side is  $U(q-1)/\mathbb{T}^{q-1} = \mathbb{F}(q-1)$ . So, we have an injection  $\mathbb{F}(q-1) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}(q)$  over the base  $U(q)/U(1) \prod U(q-1)$ , which is just  $\mathbb{P}^{q-1}$ . Thus, we can view  $\mathbb{F}(q)$  as a fibre bundle over  $\mathbb{P}^{q-1}$  and the fibre is  $\mathbb{F}(q-1)$ .

Take a principal  $U(q)$ -bundle,  $E$ , over  $X$  and make  $E/\mathbb{T}^q$ , a fibre space whose fibre is  $\mathbb{F}(q)$ . Let  $E_1$  be  $E/U(1) \prod U(q-1)$ , a fibre space whose fibre is  $\mathbb{P}^{q-1}$ . Then, we have a map

$$E/\mathbb{T}^q \longrightarrow E_1,$$

where the fibre is  $U(1) \prod U(q-1)/\mathbb{T}^q = \mathbb{F}(q-1)$ . We get

$$\begin{array}{c} E/\mathbb{T}^q = [\Delta]E \\ \text{fibre } \mathbb{F}(q-1) \downarrow \\ E_1 \\ \text{fibre } \mathbb{P}^{q-1} \downarrow \\ X. \end{array}$$

If we repeat this process, we get the tower

$$\begin{array}{c} E/\mathbb{T}^q = [\Delta]E \\ \text{fibre } \mathbb{P}^1 \downarrow \\ E_{q-1} \\ \text{fibre } \mathbb{P}^2 \downarrow \\ E_{q-2} \\ \vdots \downarrow \\ E_1 \\ \text{fibre } \mathbb{P}^{q-1} \downarrow \\ X. \end{array} \quad \rho$$

So, to show  $\rho^*$  is injective, all we need to show is the same fact when the fibre is  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and the  $\mathbb{P}^r$ -bundle comes from a vector bundle.

Suggestion: Look in Hartshorne in Chapter III, Section ? on projective fibre bundles and Exercise ?? about

$$\rho^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(l)) = \mathcal{S}^l(\mathcal{O}_X(E)).$$

Sup up to tangent bundles and wedges and use Hodge:

$$H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C}) = \text{in term of the holomorphic cohomology of } \bigwedge^{\text{top}} T.$$

We get that  $\rho^*$  is injective on  $H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$ , not  $H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Z})$ .

### Existence of Chern Classes:

Start with  $L$ , a line bundle over  $X$ . Then, there is a map (continuous, diff.),  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N$ , for  $N \gg 0$  and  $L = f^*(H)$ . Then, set  $c_1(L) = f^*(H)$ , where  $H$  is the cohomology class of the hyperplane bundle in  $H^2(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $c_j(L) = 0$  if  $j \geq 2$ . If another map,  $g$ , is used, then  $f^*(H) = L = g^*(H)$  implies that  $f$  and  $g$  are homotopic, so  $f^*$  and  $g^*$  agree on cohomology and  $c_1(L)$  is independent of  $f$ . It is also independent of  $N$ , we we already proved. Clearly, Axiom (II) and Axiom (IV) are built in.

Now, let  $V$  be a rank  $q$  vector bundle over  $X$ . Make  $[\Delta]V$  and let  $\rho$  be the map  $\rho: [\Delta]V \rightarrow X$ . Look at  $\rho^*V$ . We know that

$$\rho^*V = \prod_{j=1}^q L_j,$$

where the  $L_j$ 's are line bundles. By the above,

$$c_j(L_j)(t) = 1 + c_1(L_j)t = 1 + \gamma_j t.$$

Look at the polynomial

$$\prod_{j=1}^q (1 + \gamma_j t) \in H^\bullet([\Delta]V, \mathbb{Z})[t].$$

If we show this polynomial (whose coefficients are the symmetric functions  $\sigma_l(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_q)$ ) is in the image of  $\rho^*: H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Z})[t] \rightarrow H^\bullet([\Delta]V, \mathbb{Z})[t]$ , then there is a unique polynomial  $c(V)(t)$  so that

$$\rho^*(c(V)(t)) = \prod_{j=1}^q (1 + \gamma_j t).$$

(Then,  $c_l(V) = \sigma_l(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_q)$ .) Look at the normalizer of  $\mathbb{T}^q$  in  $U(q)$ . Some  $a$  belongs to this normalizer iff  $a\mathbb{T}^q a^{-1} = \mathbb{T}^q$ . As the new diagonal matrix,  $a\theta a^{-1}$  (where  $a \in \mathbb{T}^q$  has the same characteristic polynomial as  $\theta$ , it follows that  $a\theta a^{-1}$  is just  $\theta$ , but with its diagonal entries permuted. This gives a map

$$\mathcal{N}_{U(q)}(\mathbb{T}^q) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_q.$$

What is the kernel of this map? We have  $a \in \text{Ker}$  iff  $a\theta a^{-1} = \theta$ , i.e.,  $a\theta = \theta a$ , for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^q$ . This means (see the  $2 \times 2$  case)  $a \in \mathbb{T}^q$  and thus, we have an injection

$$\mathcal{N}_{U(q)}(\mathbb{T}^q)/\mathbb{T}^q \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_q.$$

The left hand side, by definition, is the *Weyl group*,  $W$ , of  $U(q)$ . In fact (easy DX),  $W \cong \mathfrak{S}_q$ .

Look at  $[\Delta]V$  and write a covering of  $X$  trivializing  $[\Delta]V$ , call it  $\{U_\alpha\}$ . We have

$$[\Delta]V \upharpoonright U_\alpha \cong U_\alpha \prod U(q)/\mathbb{T}^q.$$

Make the element  $a$  act on the latter *via*

$$a(u, \xi \mathbb{T}^q) = (u, \xi \mathbb{T}^q a^{-1}) = (u, \xi a^{-1} \mathbb{T}^q).$$

These patch as the transition functions are *left* translations. This gives an automorphism of  $[\Delta]V$ , call it  $\tilde{a}$ , determined by each  $a \in W$ . We get a map

$$\tilde{a}^*: H^\bullet([\Delta]V, -) \rightarrow H^\bullet([\Delta]V, -).$$

Now, as  $a \in W$  acts on  $\mathbb{T}^q$  by permuting the diagonal elements it acts on  $H^1([\Delta]V, \mathbb{T}^q)$  by permuting the diagonal bundles, say  $L_j$ , call this action  $a^\#$ . Moreover,  $\rho^*V$  comes from a unique element of  $H^1([\Delta]V, \mathbb{T}^q)$ , which implies that  $\tilde{a}$  acts on  $\rho^*V$  by permuting its cofactors. But,  $\tilde{a}^*$  also acts on  $H^1([\Delta]V, \mathbb{T}^q)$  and one should check (by a Čech cohomology argument) that

$$\tilde{a}^* = a^\#.$$

Now associate to the  $L_j$ 's their Chern classes,  $\gamma_j$ , and  $\tilde{a}^*(\gamma_j)$  goes over to  $a^\#(\gamma_j)$ , i.e., permute the  $\gamma_j$ 's. Thus,  $W$  acts on the  $L_j$  and  $\gamma_j$  by permuting them. Our polynomial

$$\prod_{j=1}^q (1 + \gamma_j t)$$

goes to itself *via* the action of  $W$ . But, Borel's Theorem is that an element of  $H^\bullet([\Delta]V, \mathbb{Z})$  lies in the image of  $\rho^*: H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^\bullet([\Delta]V, \mathbb{Z})$  iff  $W$  fixes it. So, by the above, our elementary symmetric functions lie in  $\text{Im } \rho^*$ ; so, Chern classes exist. Furthermore, it is clear that they satisfy Axioms (I), (II), (IV).

Finally, consider Axiom (III). Suppose  $V$  splits over  $X$  as

$$V = \prod_{j=1}^q L_j.$$

We need to show that  $c(V)(t) = \prod_{j=1}^q (1 + c_1(L_j)t)$ .

As  $V$  splits over  $X$ , the fibre bundle  $\rho: [\Delta]V \rightarrow X$  has a section; call it  $s$ . So,  $s^*\rho^* = \text{id}$  and

$$c(V)(t) = s^*\rho^*(c(V)(t)) = s^*(\rho^*(c(V)(t))).$$

By Axiom (II),  $s^*(\rho^*(c(V)(t))) = s^*(c(\rho^*(V))(t))$ . Since  $\rho^* = \prod_{j=1}^q \rho^*L_j$  and we know that if we set  $\gamma_j = c_1(\rho^*(L_j))$ , then

$$\rho^*(c(V)(t)) = c(\rho^*(V)(t)) = \prod_{j=1}^q (1 + \gamma_j t).$$

But then,

$$c(V)(t) = s^* \prod_{j=1}^q (1 + \gamma_j t) = \prod_{j=1}^q (1 + s^*(\gamma_j)t). \quad (\dagger)$$

However,  $L_j = s^*(\rho^*(L_j))$  implies

$$c_1(L_j) = s^*(c_1(\rho^*(L_j))) = s^*(\gamma_j).$$

The above plus  $(\dagger)$  yields

$$c(V)(t) = \prod_{j=1}^q (1 + c_1(L_j)t),$$

as required.  $\square$

### Eine kleine Vektorraumbündel Theorie:

Say  $V$  (rank  $q$ ) and  $W$  (rank  $q'$ ) have diagonal bundles  $L_1, \dots, L_q$  and  $M_1, \dots, M_{q'}$  over  $X$ . Then, the following hold:

- (1)  $V^D$  has  $L_1^D, \dots, L_q^D$  as diagonal line bundles;
- (2)  $V \amalg W$  has  $L_1, \dots, L_q, M_1, \dots, M_{q'}$  as diagonal line bundles;
- (3)  $V \otimes W$  has  $L_i \otimes M_j$  (all  $i, j$ ) as diagonal line bundles;
- (4)  $\bigwedge^r V$  has  $L_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes L_{i_r}$ , where  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq q$ , as diagonal line bundles;
- (4)  $\mathcal{S}^r V$  has  $L_1^{m_1} \otimes \dots \otimes L_q^{m_q}$ , where  $m_i \geq 0$  and  $m_1 + \dots + m_q = r$ , as diagonal line bundles.

Application to the Chern Classes.

- (0) (Splitting Principle) Given a rank  $q$  vector bundle,  $V$ , make believe  $V$  splits as  $V = \prod_{j=1}^q L_j$  (for some line bundles,  $L_j$ ), write  $\gamma_j = c_1(L_j)$ , the  $\gamma_j$  are the *Chern roots* of  $V$ . Then,

$$c(V)(t) = \prod_{j=1}^q (1 + \gamma_j t).$$

- (1)  $c(V^D)(t) = \prod_{j=1}^q (1 - \gamma_j t)$  when  $c(V)(t) = \prod_{j=1}^q (1 + \gamma_j t)$ . That is,  $c_i(V^D) = (-1)^i c_i(V)$ .
- (2) If  $0 \longrightarrow V' \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow V'' \longrightarrow 0$  is exact, then  $c(V)(t) = c(V')(t)c(V'')(t)$ .
- (3) If  $c(V)(t) = \prod_{j=1}^q (1 + \gamma_j t)$  and  $c(W)(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{q'} (1 + \delta_j t)$ , then  $c(V \otimes W)(t) = \prod_{j,k=1}^{q,q'} (1 + (\gamma_j + \delta_k)t)$ .
- (4) If  $c(V)(t) = \prod_{j=1}^q (1 + \gamma_j t)$ , then

$$c\left(\bigwedge^r V\right)(t) = \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq q} (1 + (\gamma_{i_1} + \dots + \gamma_{i_r})t).$$

In particular, when  $r = q$ , there is just one factor in the polynomial, it has degree 1, it is  $1 + (\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_q)t$ . By (2). we get

$$c_1\left(\bigwedge^q V\right)(t) = c_1(V) \quad \text{and} \quad c_l\left(\bigwedge^q V\right)(t) = 0 \quad \text{if } l \geq 2.$$

- (5) If  $c(V)(t) = \prod_{j=1}^q (1 + \gamma_j t)$ , then

$$c(\mathcal{S}^r V)(t) = \prod_{\substack{m_j \geq 0 \\ m_1 + \dots + m_q = r}} (1 + (m_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + m_q \gamma_q)t).$$

- (6) If  $\text{rk}(V) \leq q$ , then  $\deg(c(V)(t)) \leq q$  (where  $\deg(c(V)(t))$  is the degree of  $c(V)(t)$  as a polynomial in  $t$ ).

- (7) Suppose we know  $c(V)$ , for some vector bundle,  $V$ , and  $L$  is a line bundle. Write  $c = c_1(L)$ . Then, the Chern classes of  $V \otimes L$  are

$$c_l(V \otimes L) = \sigma_l(\gamma_1 + c, \gamma_2 + c, \dots, \gamma_r + c),$$

where  $r = \text{rk}(V)$  and the  $\gamma_j$  are the Chern roots of  $V$ . This is because the Chern polynomial of  $V \otimes L$  is

$$c(V \otimes L)(t) = \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + (\gamma_i + c)t).$$

**Examples.** (1) If  $\text{rk}(V) = 2$ , then

$$c(V \otimes L)(t) = (1 + (\gamma_1 + c)t)(1 + (\gamma_2 + c)t) = 1 + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2c)t + (\gamma_1\gamma_2 + c(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) + c^2)t^2,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(V \otimes L) &= c_1(V) + 2c \\ c_2(V \otimes L) &= c_2(V) + c_1(V)c + c^2. \end{aligned}$$

(2) If  $\text{rk}(V) = 3$ , then

$$c(V \otimes L)(t) = (1 + (\gamma_1 + c)t)(1 + (\gamma_2 + c)t)(1 + (\gamma_3 + c)t)$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned} c(V \otimes L)(t) &= 1 + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + 3c)t \\ &\quad + (\sigma_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) + 2\sigma_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)c + 3c^2)t^2 \\ &\quad + (\sigma_3(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) + \sigma_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)c^2 + \sigma_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)c + c^3)t^3. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(V \otimes L) &= c_1(V) + 3c_1(L) \\ c_2(V \otimes L) &= c_2(V) + 2c_1(V)c_1(L) + 3c_1(L)^2 \\ c_3(V \otimes L) &= c_3(V) + c_2(V)c_1(L) + c_1(V)c_1(L)^2 + c_1(L)^3. \end{aligned}$$

In the case of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , it is easy to compute the Chern classes. By definition,

$$c(\mathbb{P}^n)(t) = c(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{1,0})(t).$$

We can use the Euler sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \longrightarrow \coprod_{n+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{1,0} \longrightarrow 0$$

to deduce that

$$c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n})(t)c(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{1,0})(t) = c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(H)(t))^{n+1}.$$

It follows that

$$c(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{1,0})(t) = (1 + Ht)^{n+1} \pmod{t^{n+1}} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n+1}{j} H^j t^j$$

and so,

$$c_j(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{1,0}) = \binom{n+1}{j} H^j \in H^{2j}(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{Z}).$$

(Here  $H^j = H \cdot \dots \cdot H$ , the cup-product in cohomology). In particular,

$$c_1(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{1,0}) = (n+1)H = c\left(\bigwedge^n T_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{1,0}\right).$$

Now, if  $\omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}$  is the canonical bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , i.e.,  $\omega_{\mathbb{P}^n} = \bigwedge^n T_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{0,1}{}^D = \left(\bigwedge^n T_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{1,0}\right)^D$ , we get

$$c_1(\omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = -(n+1)H.$$

Say a variety  $X$  sits inside  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$  and assume  $X$  is a manifold. Let  $\mathfrak{I}$  be the ideal sheaf of  $X$ . By definition,  $\mathfrak{I}$  is the kernel in the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0.$$

If  $X$  is a hypersurface of degree  $d$ , we know

$$\mathfrak{I} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-d) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-dH).$$

We also have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_X \longrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^n} \upharpoonright X \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n}$  is a rank  $n - q$  bundle on  $X$ , with  $q = \dim X$  (the *normal bundle*). If we write  $i: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ , we get

$$\left(\bigwedge^n T_{\mathbb{P}^n}\right) \upharpoonright X = \bigwedge^n T_X \otimes \bigwedge^{n-q} \mathcal{N}_{X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n},$$

and so,

$$i^*(1 + c_1\left(\bigwedge^n T_{\mathbb{P}^n}\right)t) = (1 + c_1\left(\bigwedge^n T_X\right)t)(1 + c_1\left(\bigwedge^{n-q} \mathcal{N}_{X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n}\right)t),$$

which yields

$$1 + i^*((n+1)H)t = 1 + c_1(T_X)t + c_1(\mathcal{N}_{X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n})t.$$

For the normal bundle, we can compute using  $\mathfrak{I}$ . Look at a small open, then we have the usual case of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras

$$\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow A \longrightarrow B$$

where  $A$  corresponds to local functions on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  restricted to  $X$  and  $B$  to local functions on  $X$  and we have the exact sequence of relative Kähler differentials

$$\Omega_{A/\mathbb{C}}^1 \otimes_A B \longrightarrow \Omega_{B/\mathbb{C}}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{B/A}^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

If  $A$  mapping onto  $B$  is given, then  $\Omega_{B/A}^1 = (0)$ ,  $B = A/\mathfrak{A}$  (globally,  $\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}/\mathfrak{I}$ ), and we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker} \longrightarrow \Omega_A^1 \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \Omega_{A/\mathfrak{A}}^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now,  $\mathfrak{I} \longrightarrow \Omega_A^1 \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{A}$ , via  $\xi d\xi \mapsto \otimes 1$  and in fact,  $\mathfrak{I} \longrightarrow 0$ . We conclude that

$$i^*(\mathfrak{I}) = \mathfrak{I}/\mathfrak{I}^2 \longrightarrow i^*(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1) \longrightarrow \Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Because  $X$  is a manifold, the arrow on the left is an injection. To see this we need only look locally at  $x$ . We can take completions and then use either the  $C^1$ -implicit function theorem or the holomorphic implicit function theorem or the formal implicit function theorem and get the result (DX). If we dualize, from

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{I}/\mathfrak{I}^2 = i^*(\mathfrak{I}) \longrightarrow i^*(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1) \longrightarrow \Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

we get

$$0 \longrightarrow T_X \longrightarrow i^*T_{\mathbb{P}^n} = T_{\mathbb{P}^n} \upharpoonright X \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{I}/\mathfrak{I}^2)^D \longrightarrow 0$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{N}_{X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n} = (\mathfrak{I}/\mathfrak{I}^2)^D = i^*(\mathfrak{I})^D = (\mathfrak{I} \upharpoonright X)^D.$$

Thus,

$$c_1(\mathcal{N}_{X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n}) = -c_1(\mathfrak{I}/\mathfrak{I}^2),$$

and

$$(n+1)i^*(H) + c_1(\mathfrak{I}/\mathfrak{I}^2) = c_1(T_X).$$

We obtain a version of the *adjunction formula*:

$$c_1(\omega_X) = -(n+1)i^*(H) - c_1(\mathfrak{I}/\mathfrak{I}^2).$$

When  $X$  is a hypersurface of degree  $d$ , then  $\mathfrak{I} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-dH)$  and

$$\mathfrak{I}/\mathfrak{I}^2 = i^*(\mathfrak{I}) = \mathcal{O}_X(-d \cdot i^*H).$$

We deduce that  $-c_1(\mathfrak{I}/\mathfrak{I}^2) = d(i^*H)$  and

$$c_1(\omega_X) = (d - n - i)i^*H,$$

Say  $n = 2$ , and  $\dim X = 1$ , a curve in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . When  $X$  is smooth, we have

$$c_1(\omega_X) = (d - n - 1)i^*(H).$$

*Facts soon to be proved:*

- (a)  $i^*(H) = H \cdot X$ , in the sense of intersection theory (that is,  $\deg X$  points on  $X$ ).
- (b)  $c_1(L)$  on a curve is equal to the degree of the divisor of  $L$ .

It follows from above that

$$\deg(\omega_X) = (d - 2 - 1)d = d(d - 3).$$

However, from Riemann-Roch on a curve, we know  $\deg(\omega_X) = 2g - 2$ , so we conclude that for a smooth algebraic curve, its genus,  $g$ , is given by

$$g = \frac{1}{2}(d - 1)(d - 2).$$

In particular, observe that  $g = 2$  is missed.

We know from the theory that if we know all  $c_1$ 's then we can determine all  $c_n$ 's for all  $n$  by the splitting principle.

There are three general methods for determining  $c_1$ ;

- (I) The exponential sequence.
- (II) Curvature.
- (III) Degree of a divisor.

**Proposition 3.29** *Say  $X$  is an admissible, or a differentiable manifold, or a complex analytic manifold or an algebraic manifold. In each case, write  $\mathcal{O}_X$  for the sheaf of germs of appropriate functions on  $X$ . Then, from the exponential sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{e} \mathcal{O}_X^* \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $e(f) = \exp(2\pi i f)$ , we get in each case the connecting map

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \quad (\dagger)$$

and all obvious diagrams commute

*\*\* Steve, what are these obvious diagrams? \*\**

and as the group  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$  classifies the line bundles of appropriate type, we get  $\delta(L)$ , a cohomology class in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  and we have

$$c_1(L) = \delta(L).$$

In the continuous and differentiable case,  $\delta$  is an isomorphism. Therefore, a continuous or differentiable line bundle is completely determined by its first Chern class.

*Proof.* That the diagrams commute is clear. For the isomorphism statement, we have the cohomology sequence

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

But, in the continuous or  $C^\infty$ -case,  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is a fine sheaf, so  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = (0)$  and we get

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \cong H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

First, we show that  $(\dagger)$  can be reduced to the case  $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = S^2$ .

*\*\* Steve, in this case, are we assuming that  $X$  is projective? \*\**

Take a line bundle,  $L$  on  $X$  (continuous or  $C^\infty$ ), then, for  $N \gg 0$ , there is a function,  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N$ , so that  $f^*H = L$ . Now, we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N}^*) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^2(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

which commutes by cofunctoriality of cohomology. Consequently, the existence of  $(\dagger)$  on the top line implies the existence of  $(\dagger)$  in general. Now, consider the inclusions

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N,$$

and  $H$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N$  pulls back at each stage to  $H$  and Chern classes have Axiom (II). Then, one sees that we are reduced to  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ .

Recall how simplicial cohomology is isomorphic (naturally) to Čech cohomology: Take a triangulation of  $X$  and  $v$ , a vertex of a simplex,  $\Delta$ . Write

$$U_v = \text{st}(v) = \bigcup^{\circ} \{\sigma \mid v \in \sigma\}$$

the open star of the vertex  $v$ . The  $U_\sigma$  form an open cover and we have:

$$U_{v_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{v_p} = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } (v_0, \dots, v_p) \text{ is not a simplex;} \\ \text{a connected nonempty set} & \text{if } (v_0, \dots, v_p) \text{ is a simplex.} \end{cases}$$



Given a Čech  $p$ -cochain,  $\tau$ , then

$$\tau(U_{v_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{v_p}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (v_0, \dots, v_p) \text{ is not a simplex;} \\ \text{some integer} & \text{if } (v_0, \dots, v_p) \text{ is a simplex.} \end{cases}$$

Define

$$\tau(v_0, \dots, v_p) = \tau(U_{v_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{v_p}).$$

Take a simplex,  $\Delta = (v_0, \dots, v_p)$  and define linear functions  $\theta(\tau)$  by

$$\theta(\tau)(v_0, \dots, v_p) = \tau(v_0, \dots, v_p) = \tau(U_{v_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{v_p})$$

and extend by linearity. We get a map,

$$\check{H}^p(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{\text{simp}}^p(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

via  $\tau \mapsto \theta(\tau)$ , which is an isomorphism.

We are down to the case of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = S^2$  and we take  $H$  as the North pole. The Riemann sphere  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  has coordinates  $(Z_0 : Z_1)$ , say  $Z_1 = 0$  is the north pole ( $Z_0 = 0$  is the south pole) and let

$$z = \frac{Z_0}{Z_1}, \quad w = \frac{Z_1}{Z_0}.$$

We have the standard opens,  $V_0 = \{(Z_0 : Z_1) \mid Z_0 \neq 0\}$  and  $V_1 = \{(Z_0 : Z_1) \mid Z_1 \neq 0\}$ . The local equations for  $H$  are  $f_0 = w = 0$  and  $f_1 = 1$ . The transition functions  $g_{\alpha}^{\beta}$  are  $f_{\beta}/f_{\alpha}$ , i.e.,

$$g_0^1 = \frac{f_1}{f_0} = z \quad \text{and} \quad g_1^0 = \frac{f_0}{f_1} = w.$$

Now, we triangulate  $S^2$  using four triangles whose vertices are:  $o = z$ ;  $z = 1$ ;  $z = i$  and  $z = -1$ . Note that  $H$  is represented by a point which is in the middle of a face of the simplex  $(1, i, -1)$ . We have  $U_0, U_1, U_i, U_{-1}$ , the four open stars and  $U_0 \subseteq V_1$ ;  $U_1 \subseteq V_0$ ;  $U_i \subseteq V_0$ ;  $U_{-1} \subseteq V_0$ . The  $U$ -cover refined the  $V$ -cover and on it,  $g_r^s \equiv 1$  iff both  $r, s \neq 0$ . Also,  $g_0^t = w$ , for all  $t \neq 0$ . To lift back the exponential,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \xrightarrow{\exp(2\pi i -)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^*$ , we form  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(g_r^s)$ , a one-cochain with values in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ . Since the intersections  $U_r \cap U_s$  are all simply-connected, on each, we can define a single-valued branch of the log. Consistently do this on these opens *via*: Start on  $U_1 \cap U_i$  and pick any single-valued branch of the log. Continue analytically to  $U_i \cap U_{-1}$ . Then, continue analytically to  $U_{-1} \cap U_1$ , we get  $2\pi i + \log$  on  $U_1 \cap U_i$ . Having defined the  $\log g_r^s$ , we take the Čech  $\delta$  of the 1-cochain, that is

$$c_{rst} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} [\log g_s^t - \log g_r^t + \log g_r^s] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} [\log g_r^s + \log g_s^t + \log g_t^r].$$

If none of  $r, s, t$  are 0, then  $c_{rst} = 0$ . So, look at  $c_{0-11}$ . We have

$$c_{0-11} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} [\log g_0^{-1} + \log g_{-1}^1 + \log g_1^0] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} [\log w - \log w].$$

As  $w = 1/z$ , the second log is  $-2\pi i + \log w$ , so we get

$$c_{0-11} = +1.$$

For every even permutation  $\sigma$  of  $(0, -1, 1)$ , we have  $c_{\sigma(0), \sigma(-1), \sigma(1)} = +1$  and for every odd permutation  $\sigma$  of  $(0, -1, 1)$ , we have  $c_{\sigma(0), \sigma(-1), \sigma(1)} = -1$ . Yet, the orientation of the simplex  $(0, -1, 1)$  is positive, so we get  $\delta(H) =$  the class represented by the cocycle on one simplex (positively oriented) by 1, i.e.,  $c_1(H)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.30** *Say  $X$  is a complex manifold and  $L$  is a  $C^\infty$  line bundle on it. Let  $\nabla$  be an arbitrary connection on  $X$  and write  $\Theta$  for the curvature of  $\Delta$ . Then, the 2-form  $\frac{i}{2\pi}\Theta$  is real and it represents in  $H_{\text{DR}}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$  the image of  $c_1(L)$  under the map*

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

*Proof.* Pick a trivializing cover for  $L$ , say  $\{U_\alpha\}$ . Then,  $\nabla \upharpoonright L$  on  $U_\alpha$  comes from its connection matrix,  $\theta_\alpha$ , this is a  $1 \times 1$  matrix ( $L$  is a line bundle). We know (gauge transformation)

$$\theta_\alpha = g_\beta^\alpha \theta_\beta (g_\beta^\alpha)^{-1} + dg_\beta^\alpha (g_\beta^\alpha)^{-1},$$

where the  $g_\beta^\alpha$  are the transition functions. But, we have scalars here, so

$$\theta_\alpha = \theta_\beta + d \log(g_\beta^\alpha),$$

that is

$$\theta_\beta - \theta_\alpha = -d \log(g_\beta^\alpha). \quad (\dagger)$$

By Cartan-Maurer, the curvature,  $\Theta$ , (a 2-form) is given locally by

$$\Theta = d\theta - \theta \wedge \theta = d\theta_\alpha = d\theta_\beta.$$

We get the de Rham isomorphism in the usual way by splicing exact sequences. We begin with

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow C^\infty \xrightarrow{d} \text{cok}_1 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (*)$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{cok}_1 \longrightarrow \bigwedge^1 \xrightarrow{d} \text{cok}_2 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (**)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & C^\infty & \xrightarrow{d} & \bigwedge^1 & \xrightarrow{d} & \bigwedge^2 & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & & & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \searrow & & \nearrow \\ & & & & & & \text{cok}_1 & & \text{cok}_2 & & \\ & & & & & & \searrow & & \searrow & & \\ & & & & & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

Apply cohomology to  $(*)$  and  $(**)$  and get

$$H^0(X, \bigwedge^1) \xrightarrow{d} H^0(X, \text{cok}_2) \xrightarrow{\delta'} H^1(X, \text{cok}_1) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \bigwedge^1) = (0)$$

and

$$H^1(X, C^\infty) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \text{cok}_1) \xrightarrow{\delta''} H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H^2(X, C^\infty) = (0)$$

because  $\bigwedge^1$  and  $C^\infty$  are fine. We get

$$H^1(X, \text{cok}_1) \cong H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad H^0(X, \text{cok}_2)/dH^0(X, \bigwedge^1) \cong H^1(X, \text{cok}_1).$$

Therefore,

$$\delta' \circ \delta': H^0(X, \text{cok}_2) \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We know from the previous proof that

$$c_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} [\log g_\alpha^\beta + \log g_\beta^\gamma + \log g_\gamma^\alpha]$$

represents  $c_1(L)$  via the  $\delta$  from the exponential sequence. So,

$$c_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} [\log g_\beta^\alpha + \log g_\alpha^\gamma + \log g_\gamma^\beta]$$

and

$$\delta'[\Theta] = \text{cohomology class of } \Theta = \text{class of cocycle } (\theta_\beta - \theta_\alpha).$$

Now,  $\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\theta_\beta - \theta_\alpha)$  can be lifted back to  $-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \log g_\beta^\alpha$  under  $\delta''$  and we deduce that

$$\delta'' \delta' \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \Theta \right) = \text{class of } -\frac{1}{2\pi i} [\log g_\beta^\alpha + \log g_\alpha^\gamma + \log g_\gamma^\beta] = -\text{class of } c_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = -c_1(L).$$

\*\* There may be a problem with the sign! \*\*

The next way of looking at  $c_1(L)$  works when  $L$  comes from a divisor. Say  $X$  is a complex algebraic manifold and  $L = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ , where  $D$  is a divisor,

$$D = \sum_j a_j W_j$$

on  $X$ . Then,  $D$  gives a cycle in homology, so  $[D] \in H_{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  (here  $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ ). By Poincaré duality, our  $[D]$  is in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  and it is  $\sum a_j [W_j]$ .

**Theorem 3.31** *If  $X$  is a compact, complex algebraic manifold and  $D$  is a divisor on  $X$ , then*

$$c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = [D] \quad \text{in } H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}),$$

*that is,  $c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(D))$  is carried by the  $(2n-2)$ -cycle,  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that Poincaré duality is given by: For  $\xi \in H^r(X, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\eta \in H^s(X, \mathbb{R})$  (where  $r + s = 2n$ ), then

$$(\xi, \eta) = \int_X \xi \wedge \eta.$$

The homology/cohomology duality is given by: For  $\omega \in H^s(X, \mathbb{R})$  and  $Z \in H_s(X, \mathbb{R})$ , then

$$(Z, \omega) = \int_Z \omega.$$

We know that the cocyle (= 2-form) representing  $c_1(L)$  is  $[\frac{i}{2\pi} \Theta]$ , for any connection on  $X$ . We must show that for every closed, real  $(2n-2)$ -form,  $\omega$ ,

$$\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_X \Theta \wedge \omega = \int_D \omega.$$

We compute  $\Theta$  for a convenient connection, namely, the uniholo connection. Pick a local holomorphic frame,  $e(z)$ , for  $L$ , then if  $L$  has a section,  $s$ , we know  $s(z) = \lambda(z)e(z)$ , locally. For  $\theta$ , the connection matrix in this frame, we have

- (a)  $\theta = \theta^{1,0}$  (holomorphic)  
 (b)  $d(|s|^2) = (\nabla s, s) + (s, \nabla s)$  (unitary)

We have

$$\nabla s = \nabla \lambda e = (d\lambda + \theta\lambda)e.$$

Thus, the right hand side of (b) is

$$\begin{aligned} d(|s|^2) &= ((d\lambda + \theta\lambda)e, \lambda e) + (\lambda e, (d\lambda + \theta\lambda)e) \\ &= \bar{\lambda}d\lambda(e, e) + \theta|\lambda|^2(e, e) + \lambda d\bar{\lambda}(e, e) + \bar{\theta}|\lambda|^2(e, e). \end{aligned}$$

Write  $h(z) = |e(z)|^2 = (e, e) > 0$ ; So, the right hand side of (b) is  $\bar{\lambda}h d\lambda + \lambda h d\bar{\lambda} + (\theta + \bar{\theta})|\lambda|^2 h$ . Now,  $|s|^2 = \lambda\bar{\lambda}h$ , so

$$d(|s|^2) = \lambda\bar{\lambda}dh + h(\lambda d\bar{\lambda} + \bar{\lambda}d\lambda).$$

From (b), we deduce  $dh = (\theta + \bar{\theta})h$ , and so,

$$\theta + \bar{\theta} = \frac{dh}{h} = d(\log h) = \partial(\log h) + \bar{\partial}(\log h).$$

Using (a) and the decomposition by type, we get

$$\theta = \partial(\log h) = \partial \log(|e|^2).$$

As  $\Theta = d\theta - \theta \wedge \theta$ , we get

$$\Theta = d\theta = (\partial + \bar{\partial})(\partial \log(|e|^2)),$$

i.e.,

$$\Theta = \bar{\partial}\partial \log(|e|^2).$$

Now, recall

$$d^c = \frac{i}{4\pi}(\bar{\partial} - \partial),$$

so that

$$dd^c = -d^c d = \frac{i}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial} = -\frac{i}{2\pi}\bar{\partial}\partial,$$

and  $2\pi i dd^c = \bar{\partial}\partial$ . Consequently,

$$\Theta = \pi i dd^c \log(|e|^2).$$

This holds for any local frame,  $e$ , and has nothing to do with the fact that  $L$  comes from a divisor.

Now,  $L = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$  and assume that the local equations for  $D$  are  $f_\alpha = 0$  (on  $U_\alpha$ , some open in the trivializing cover for  $L$  on  $X$ ). We know the transition functions are

$$g_\alpha^\beta = \frac{f_\beta}{f_\alpha};$$

Therefore, the local vectors  $s_\alpha = f_\alpha e_\alpha$  form a global section,  $s$ , of  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ . The zero locus of this section is exactly  $D$ . As the bundle  $L$  is unitary,  $g_\alpha^\beta \in \mathbf{U}(1)$ , which implies  $|f_\beta| = |f_\alpha|$  and so,  $|f_\alpha e_\alpha|$  is well defined. Thus for small  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$D(\epsilon) = \{z \in X \mid |s(z)|^2 < \epsilon\}$$

is a tubular neighborhood of  $D$ .

Look at  $X - D(\epsilon)$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \upharpoonright X - D(\epsilon)$  is trivial as the section  $s$  is never zero there. Therefore,  $s_\alpha$  will also do as a local frame for  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  on  $X - D(\epsilon)$ .

We need to compute  $\int_X \Theta \wedge \omega$ . By linearity, we may assume  $D$  is one of the  $W$ 's. Then, by definition,

$$\int_X \Theta \wedge \omega = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{X-D(\epsilon)} 2\pi i d d^c \log |s|^2 \wedge \omega$$

If we apply Stokes, we find

$$\int_X \Theta \wedge \omega = - \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\partial D(\epsilon)} 2\pi i d^c \log |s|^2 \wedge \omega$$

that is,

$$\int_X \Theta \wedge \omega = \frac{2\pi}{i} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\partial D(\epsilon)} d^c \log |s|^2 \wedge \omega. \quad (\dagger)$$

Now  $\text{Vol}(D(\epsilon)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ , as we can see by using the Zariski stratification to reduce to the case where  $D$  is non-singular. Also,

$$|s|^2 = |f_\alpha|^2 |e_\alpha|^2 = f_\alpha \bar{f}_\alpha h,$$

where  $h = |e_\alpha|^2$  is positive bounded. We have

$$\log |s|^2 = \log f_\alpha + \log \bar{f}_\alpha + \log h$$

and as  $d^c = \frac{i}{4\pi}(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$ ,

$$d^c \log |s|^2 = \frac{i}{4\pi} [-\partial \log f_\alpha + \bar{\partial} \log \bar{f}_\alpha + (\bar{\partial} - \partial) \log h].$$

It follows that

$$\frac{2\pi}{i} d^c \log |s|^2 \wedge \omega = \frac{1}{2} [-\partial \log f_\alpha \wedge \omega + \bar{\partial} \log \bar{f}_\alpha \wedge \omega + (\bar{\partial} - \partial) \log h \wedge \omega].$$

In the right hand side of  $(\dagger)$ , the third term is

$$\frac{1}{2} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\partial D(\epsilon)} (\bar{\partial} - \partial) \log h \wedge \omega.$$

Now,  $(\bar{\partial} - \partial) \log h$  is bounded ( $X$  is compact) and  $\text{Vol}(\partial D(\epsilon)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ . So, this third term vanishes in the limit. But,  $\bar{\partial} \log \bar{f}_\alpha = \overline{\partial \log f_\alpha}$  and  $\omega = \bar{\omega}$ , as  $\omega$  is real. Consequently,

$$\bar{\partial} \log \bar{f}_\alpha \wedge \omega = \overline{\partial \log f_\alpha \wedge \omega}.$$

From  $(\dagger)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \Theta \wedge \omega &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\partial D(\epsilon)} -\partial \log f_\alpha \wedge \omega + \overline{\partial \log f_\alpha \wedge \omega} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\partial D(\epsilon)} \partial \log f_\alpha \wedge \omega - \overline{\partial \log f_\alpha \wedge \omega} \\ &= -i \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \Im \int_{\partial D(\epsilon)} \partial \log f_\alpha \wedge \omega. \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $f_\alpha = 0$  is the local equation of  $D$  and we can compute the integral on the right hand side away from the singularities of  $D$  as the latter have measure 0. The divisor  $D$  is compact, so we can cover it by polydiscs centered at nonsingular points of  $D$ , say  $\zeta_0$  is a such a point. By the local complete intersection then, there exist local coordinates for  $X$  near  $\zeta_0$ , of the form

$$z_1 = f_\alpha, \quad \underbrace{z_2, \dots, z_n}_{\text{rest}}$$

on  $\Delta \cap U_\alpha$  (where  $\Delta$  is a polydisc). Break up  $\omega$  as

$$\omega = g(z_1, \dots, z_n) \underbrace{dz_2 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_2 \wedge \dots}_{\text{rest}} + \kappa,$$

where  $\kappa$  is a form involving  $dz_1$  and  $d\bar{z}_1$  in each summand. Also, as

$$\partial \log f_\alpha = (\partial + \bar{\partial}) \log f_\alpha = d \log f_\alpha = \frac{df_\alpha}{f_\alpha} = \frac{dz_1}{z_1},$$

we get

$$\partial \log f_\alpha \wedge \omega = \frac{dz_1}{z_1} g(z_1, \dots, z_n) \underbrace{dz_2 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_2 \wedge \dots}_{\text{rest}} + \text{terms } \frac{dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1}{z_1} \text{stuff}.$$

Furthermore,  $dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 = 2idx \wedge dy = 2irdr_1 d\theta$  (in polar coordinates), so

$$\left| \frac{dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1}{z_1} \right| = 2|dr_1| |d\theta_1|,$$

and when  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ , this term goes to 0. Therefore

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\partial D(\epsilon) \cap \Delta} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} g(z_1, \dots, z_n) d(\text{rest}) d(\overline{\text{rest}}) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{(|z_1|=C\epsilon) \cap \text{rest of polydisc}} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} g(z_1, \dots, z_n) d(\text{rest}) d(\overline{\text{rest}})$$

and by Cauchy's integral formula, this is

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\text{rest of poly} \cap \partial D(\epsilon)} 2\pi i g(0, z_2, \dots, z_n) d(\text{rest}) d(\overline{\text{rest}}) = 2\pi i \int_{D \cap \Delta} \omega.$$

Adding up the contributions from the finite cover of polydiscs, we get

$$\Im \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\partial D(\epsilon)} \partial \log f_\alpha \wedge \omega = \Im 2\pi i \int_D \omega = 2\pi \int_D \omega,$$

as  $\omega$  is real. But then,

$$-i \Im \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\partial D(\epsilon)} \log f_\alpha \wedge \omega = -2\pi i \int_X \omega$$

from which we finally deduce  $\int_X \Theta \wedge \omega = -2\pi i \int_D \omega$ , that is,

$$\int_X \frac{i}{2\pi} \Theta \wedge \omega = \int_D \omega,$$

as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.32** *Suppose  $V$  is a  $U(q)$ -bundle on our compact  $X$  (so that differentially,  $V$  is generated by its sections). Or, if  $V$  is a holomorphic bundle, assume it is generated by its holomorphic sections. Take a generic section,  $s$ , of  $V$  and say  $V$  has rank  $r$ . Then, the set  $s = 0$  has complex codimension  $r$  (in homology) and is the carrier of  $c_r(V)$ .*

*Proof.* The case  $r = 1$  is exactly the theorem above. Differentially,

$$V = L_1 \amalg L_2 \amalg \dots \amalg L_r,$$

for the diagonal line bundles of  $V$ . Holomorphically, this is also OK but over the space  $[\Delta]V$ . So, the transition matrix is a diagonal matrix

$$\text{diag}(g_{1\alpha}^\beta, \dots, g_{r\alpha}^\beta) \quad \text{on } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$$

and  $s_\alpha = (s_{1\alpha}, \dots, s_{r\alpha})$ . So,

$$\text{diag}(g_\alpha^\beta) s_\alpha = (g_{1\alpha}^\beta s_{1\alpha}, \dots, g_{r\alpha}^\beta s_{r\alpha}) = s_\beta$$

which shows that each  $s_{j\alpha}$  is a section of  $L_j$ . Note that  $s = 0$  iff all  $s_j = 0$ . But, the locus  $s_j = 0$  carries  $c_1(L_j)$ , by the previous theorem. Therefore,  $s = 0$  corresponds to the intersection in homology of the carriers of  $c_1(L), \dots, c_1(L_r)$ . But, intersection in homology is equivalent to product in cohomology, so the cohomology class for  $s = 0$  is

$$c_1(L_1)c_1(L_2) \cdots c_1(L_r) = c_r(V)$$

as desired.  $\square$

### General Principle for Computing $c_q(V)$ , for a rank $r$ vector bundle, $V$ .

- (1) Let  $L$  be an ample line bundle, then  $V \otimes L^{\otimes m}$  is generated by its sections for  $m \gg 0$ .
- (2) Pick  $r$  generic sections,  $s_1, \dots, s_r$ , of  $V \otimes L^{\otimes m}$ . Form  $s_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge s_{r-q+1}$ , a section of  $\bigwedge^{r-q+1}(V \otimes L^{\otimes m})$ . Then, the zero locus of  $s_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge s_{r-q+1}$  carries the Chern class,  $c_q(V \otimes L^{\otimes m})$ , of  $V \otimes L^{\otimes m}$ .

[ When  $q = r$ , this is the corollary. When  $q = 1$ , we have  $s_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge s_r$ , a section of  $\bigwedge^r V \otimes L^{\otimes m}$ , and it is generic (as the fibre dimension is 1). We get  $c_1(\bigwedge^r V \otimes L^{\otimes m})$  and we know that it is equal to  $c_1(V \otimes L^{\otimes m})$ . ]

- (3) Use the relation from the Chern polynomial

$$c(V \otimes L^{\otimes m})(t) = \prod (1 + (\gamma_j + m c_1(L))t)$$

to get the elementary symmetric functions of the  $\gamma_j$ 's, i.e.,  $c_q(V)$ .

**Remark:** if  $1 < q < r$ , our section  $s_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge s_{r-q+1}$  is *not* generic but it works.

**Theorem 3.33** *Say  $X$  is a complex analytic or algebraic, compact, smooth, manifold and  $j: W \hookrightarrow X$  is a smooth, complex, codimension  $q$  submanifold. Write  $\mathcal{N}$  for the normal bundle of  $W$  in  $X$ ; this is rank  $q$  ( $U(q)$ ) vector bundle on  $W$ . The subspace  $W$  corresponds to a cohomology class,  $\xi$ , in  $H^{2q}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  (in fact, in  $H^{q,q}(X, \mathbb{C})$ ) and so we get  $j^*\xi \in H^{2q}(W, \mathbb{Z})$ . Then, we have*

$$c_q(\mathcal{N}) = j^*W.$$

*Proof.* We begin with the case  $q = 1$ . In this case,  $W$  is a divisor and we know  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}_X(W) \upharpoonright W$ . By Corollary 3.32, the Chern class  $c_1(\mathcal{N})$  is carried by the zero locus of a section,  $s$ , of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Now,  $W \cdot W$  in  $X$  as a cycle is just a moving of  $W$  by a small amount and then an ordinary intersection of  $W$  and the new moved cycle. We see that  $W \cdot W = c_1(\mathcal{N})$  as cycle on  $W$ . But,  $j^*W$  is just  $W \cdot W$  as cycle (by Poincaré duality). So, the result holds when  $q = 1$ . If  $q > 1$  and if  $W$  is a complete intersection in  $X$ , then since  $c_q(\mathcal{N})$  is computed by repeated pullbacks and each pullback gives the correct formula (by the case  $q = 1$ ), we get the result. In the general case, we have two classes  $j^*W$  and  $c_q(\mathcal{N})$ . If there exists an open cover,  $\{U_\alpha\}$ , of  $W$  so that

$$j^*W \upharpoonright U_\alpha = c_q(\mathcal{N}) \upharpoonright U_\alpha \quad \text{for all } \alpha,$$

then we are done. But,  $W$  is smooth so it is a local complete intersection (LCIT). Therefore, we get the result by the previous case.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.34** *If  $X$  is a compact, complex analytic manifold and if  $T_X$  = holomorphic tangent bundle has rank  $q = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ , then*

$$c_q(T_X) = \chi_{\text{top}} = \sum_{i=0}^{2q} (-1)^i b_i$$

(Here,  $b_i = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^i(X, \mathbb{Z})$ .)

*Proof.* (Essentially due to Lefschetz). Look at  $X \amalg X$  and the diagonal embedding,  $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \amalg X$ . So,  $X \hookrightarrow X \amalg X$  is a smooth codimension  $q$  submanifold. An easy argument shows that

$$T_X \cong \mathcal{N}_{X \hookrightarrow X \amalg X} = \mathcal{N}$$

and the previous theorem implies

$$c_q(T_X) = c_q(\mathcal{N}) = X \cdot X$$

in  $X \amalg X$ . Now, look at the map  $f: X \rightarrow X$  given by

$$pr_2 \circ \epsilon \sigma,$$

where  $\epsilon$  is small and  $\sigma$  is a section of  $\mathcal{N}$ . The fixed points of our map give the cocycle  $X \cdot X$ . The Lefschetz fixed point Theorem says the cycle of fixed points is given by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2q} (-1)^i \text{tr } f^* \text{ on } H^i(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

But, for  $\epsilon$  small, the map  $f$  is homotopic to  $\text{id}$ , so  $f^* = \text{id}^*$ . Now,  $\text{tr } \text{id}^* = \text{dimension of space} = b_i(X)$  if we are on  $H^i(X)$ . So the right hand side of the Lefschetz formula is  $\chi_{\text{top}}$ , as claimed.  $\square$

### Segre Classes.

Let  $V$  be a vector bundle on  $X$ , then we have classes  $s_j(V)$ , and they are defined by

$$1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_j(V) t^j = \frac{1}{c(V)(t)}.$$

As  $c(V)(t)$  is nilpotent, we have

$$\frac{1}{c(V)(t)} = 1 - (c_1(V)t + c_2(V)t^2 + \cdots) + (c_1(V)t + c_2(V)t^2 + \cdots)^2 + \cdots$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(V) &= -c_1(V) \\ s_2(V) &= c_1^2(V) - c_2(V), \end{aligned}$$

etc.

### Pontrjagin Classes.

Pontrjagin classes are defined for real  $O(q)$ -bundles over real manifolds. We have the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(q) & \xhookrightarrow{\quad \zeta \quad} & O(2q) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ GL(q, \mathbb{C}) & \xhookrightarrow{\quad} & GL(2q, \mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$



where  $\zeta(z_1, \dots, z_q) = (x_1, y_1, \dots, x_q, y_q)$ , with  $z_j = x_j + iy_j$  and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{O}(q) & \xhookrightarrow{\psi} & \mathrm{U}(q) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{GL}(q, \mathbb{R}) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}(q, \mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

where  $\psi(A)$  is the real matrix now viewed as a complex matrix. Given  $\xi$ , an  $\mathrm{O}(q)$ -bundle, we have  $\psi(q)$ , a  $\mathrm{U}(q)$ -bundle. Define

The *Pontrjagin classes*,  $p_i(\xi)$ , are defined by

$$p_i(\xi) = (-1)^i c_{2i}(\psi(\xi)) \in H^{4i}(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

The *generalized Pontrjagin classes*,  $P_i(\xi)$  and the *generalized Pontrjagin polynomial*,  $P(\xi)(t)$ , are defined by

$$P(\xi)(t) = c(\psi(\xi))(t), \quad \text{and} \quad P_j(\xi) = c_j(\psi(\xi)).$$

(Observe:  $P_{2l}(\xi) = (-1)^l p_l(\xi)$ .)

Now,  $\xi$  corresponds to map,  $X \rightarrow \mathrm{BO}(q)$ . Then, for  $i$  even,  $P_{i/2}(\xi)$  is the pullback of something in  $H^i(\mathrm{BO}(q), \mathbb{Z})$ . It is known that for  $i \equiv 2(4)$ , the cohomology ring  $H^i(\mathrm{BO}(q), \mathbb{Z})$  is 2-torsion, so  $2P_{\text{odd}}(\xi) = 0$ . So, with rational coefficients, we get

$$P_{\text{odd}}(\xi) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\text{even}}(\xi) = \pm P_{\text{even}/2}(\xi).$$

We have the following properties:

- (0)  $P(\xi)(t) = 1 + \text{stuff}$ .
- (1)  $f^*P(\xi)(t) = P(f^*\xi)(t)$ , so  $f^*P_i(\xi) = P_i(f^*\xi)$ .
- (2) Suppose  $\xi, \eta$  are bundle of rank  $q', q''$ , respectively, then

$$P(\xi \amalg \eta)(t) = P(\xi)(t)P(\eta)(t)$$

and if we set  $p(\xi)(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(\xi)t^{2j}$ , then

$$p(\xi \amalg \eta)(t) = p(\xi)(t)p(\eta)(t), \quad \text{mod elements of order 2 in } H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

- (3) Suppose  $c(\psi(\xi))(t)$  has Chern roots  $\gamma_i$ . Then, the polynomial  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j p_j(\xi)t^{2j}$  has roots  $\gamma_i^2$ ; in fact,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j p_j(\xi)t^{2j} = \left( \sum_l c_l(\xi)t^l \right) \left( \sum_m (-1)^m c_m(\xi)t^m \right).$$

**Proposition 3.35** *Say  $\xi$  is a  $\mathrm{U}(q)$ -bundle and make  $\zeta(\xi)$ , an  $\mathrm{O}(2q)$ -bundle. Then*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j p_j(\zeta(\xi))t^{2j} = \left( \sum_l c_l(\xi)t^l \right) \left( \sum_m c_m(\xi^D)t^m \right).$$

*Proof.* Consider the maps  $\mathrm{U}(q) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{O}(2q) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{U}(2q)$ . By linear algebra, if  $A \in \mathrm{U}(q)$ , its image in  $\mathrm{U}(2q)$  by this map is

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{A} \end{pmatrix}$$

after an automorphism of  $U(2q)$ , which automorphism is independent of  $A$ . By Skolem-Noether, the automorphism is of the form

$$H^{-1}(\psi\zeta(A))H,$$

for some  $H \in GL(2q, \mathbb{C})$ . For an inner automorphism, the cohomology class of the vector bundle stays the same. Thus, this cohomology class is the class of

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{A} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we know the transition matrix of  $\xi^D$  is the transpose inverse of that for  $\xi$ . But,  $A$  is unitary, so

$$\overline{A} = (A^{-1})^\top = A^D$$

and we deduce that  $\psi\zeta(A)$  has as transition matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A^D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, the right hand side of our equation is

$$\left( \sum_l c_j(\xi) t^l \right) \left( \sum_m c_m(\xi^D) t^m \right),$$

as required.  $\square$