

## Chapter 14

# Spectral Theorems in Euclidean and Hermitian Spaces

### 14.1 Normal Linear Maps

Let  $E$  be a real Euclidean space (or a complex Hermitian space) with inner product  $u, v \mapsto \langle u, v \rangle$ .

In the real Euclidean case, recall that  $\langle -, - \rangle$  is bilinear, symmetric and positive definite (i.e.,  $\langle u, u \rangle > 0$  for all  $u \neq 0$ ).

In the complex Hermitian case, recall that  $\langle -, - \rangle$  is sesquilinear, which means that it linear in the first argument, semilinear in the second argument (i.e.,  $\langle u, \mu v \rangle = \bar{\mu} \langle u, v \rangle$ ,  $\langle v, u \rangle = \overline{\langle u, v \rangle}$ , and positive definite (as above)).

In both cases we let  $\|u\| = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle}$  and the map  $u \mapsto \|u\|$  is a *norm*.

Recall that every linear map,  $f: E \rightarrow E$ , has an *adjoint*  $f^*$  which is a linear map,  $f^*: E \rightarrow E$ , such that

$$\langle f(u), v \rangle = \langle u, f^*(v) \rangle,$$

for all  $u, v \in E$ .

Since  $\langle -, - \rangle$  is symmetric, it is obvious that  $f^{**} = f$ .

**Definition 14.1.** Given a Euclidean (or Hermitian) space,  $E$ , a linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$  is *normal* iff

$$f \circ f^* = f^* \circ f.$$

A linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$  is *self-adjoint* if  $f = f^*$ , *skew-self-adjoint* if  $f = -f^*$ , and *orthogonal* if  $f \circ f^* = f^* \circ f = \text{id}$ .

Our first goal is to show that for every *normal* linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$  (where  $E$  is a Euclidean space), there is an *orthonormal basis* (w.r.t.  $\langle -, - \rangle$ ) such that the matrix of  $f$  over this basis has an especially nice form:

It is a *block diagonal matrix* in which the blocks are either one-dimensional matrices (i.e., single entries) or two-dimensional matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \mu \\ -\mu & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

This normal form can be further refined if  $f$  is self-adjoint, skew-self-adjoint, or orthogonal.

As a first step, we show that  $f$  and  $f^*$  have the same kernel when  $f$  is normal.

**Proposition 14.1.** *Given a Euclidean space  $E$ , if  $f: E \rightarrow E$  is a normal linear map, then  $\text{Ker } f = \text{Ker } f^*$ .*

Assuming again that  $E$  is a Hermitian space, observe that Proposition 14.1 also holds. We deduce the following corollary.

**Proposition 14.2.** *Given a Hermitian space  $E$ , for any normal linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$ , we have  $\text{Ker}(f) \cap \text{Im}(f) = (0)$ .*

**Proposition 14.3.** *Given a Hermitian space  $E$ , for any normal linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$ , a vector  $u$  is an eigenvector of  $f$  for the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  (in  $\mathbb{C}$ ) iff  $u$  is an eigenvector of  $f^*$  for the eigenvalue  $\bar{\lambda}$ .*

The next proposition shows a very important property of normal linear maps: eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.

**Proposition 14.4.** *Given a Hermitian space  $E$ , for any normal linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$ , if  $u$  and  $v$  are eigenvectors of  $f$  associated with the eigenvalues  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  (in  $\mathbb{C}$ ) where  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ .*

**Proposition 14.5.** *Given a Hermitian space  $E$ , the eigenvalues of any self-adjoint linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$  are real.*

There is also a version of Proposition 14.5 for a (real) Euclidean space  $E$  and a self-adjoint map  $f: E \rightarrow E$ .

To show this result, we need to embed a real vector space  $E$  into a complex vector space  $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**Definition 14.2.** Given a real vector space  $E$ , let  $E_{\mathbb{C}}$  be the structure  $E \times E$  under the addition operation

$$(u_1, u_2) + (v_1, v_2) = (u_1 + v_1, u_2 + v_2),$$

and multiplication by a complex scalar  $z = x + iy$  defined such that

$$(x + iy) \cdot (u, v) = (xu - yv, yu + xv).$$

The space  $E_{\mathbb{C}}$  is called the *complexification* of  $E$ .

It is easily shown that the structure  $E_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a complex vector space.

It is also immediate that

$$(0, v) = i(v, 0),$$

and thus, identifying  $E$  with the subspace of  $E_{\mathbb{C}}$  consisting of all vectors of the form  $(u, 0)$ , we can write

$$(u, v) = u + iv.$$

Given a vector  $w = u + iv$ , its *conjugate*  $\overline{w}$  is the vector  $\overline{w} = u - iv$ .

Observe that if  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  is a basis of  $E$  (a real vector space), then  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  is also a basis of  $E_{\mathbb{C}}$  (recall that  $e_i$  is an abbreviation for  $(e_i, 0)$ ).

Given a linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$ , the map  $f$  can be extended to a linear map  $f_{\mathbb{C}}: E_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow E_{\mathbb{C}}$  defined such that

$$f_{\mathbb{C}}(u + iv) = f(u) + if(v).$$

For any basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  of  $E$ , the matrix  $M(f)$  representing  $f$  over  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  is identical to the matrix  $M(f_{\mathbb{C}})$  representing  $f_{\mathbb{C}}$  over  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ , where we view  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  as a basis of  $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

As a consequence,  $\det(zI - M(f)) = \det(zI - M(f_{\mathbb{C}}))$ , which means that  *$f$  and  $f_{\mathbb{C}}$  have the same characteristic polynomial* (which has real coefficients).

We know that every polynomial of degree  $n$  with real (or complex) coefficients always has  $n$  complex roots (counted with their multiplicity), and the roots of  $\det(zI - M(f_{\mathbb{C}}))$  that are real (if any) are the eigenvalues of  $f$ .

Next, we need to extend the inner product on  $E$  to an inner product on  $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

The inner product  $\langle -, - \rangle$  on a Euclidean space  $E$  is extended to the Hermitian positive definite form  $\langle -, - \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$  on  $E_{\mathbb{C}}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_1 + iv_1, u_2 + iv_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \\ = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle + \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle + i(\langle v_1, u_2 \rangle - \langle u_1, v_2 \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Then, given any linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$ , it is easily verified that the map  $f_{\mathbb{C}}^*$  defined such that

$$f_{\mathbb{C}}^*(u + iv) = f^*(u) + if^*(v)$$

for all  $u, v \in E$ , is the *adjoint* of  $f_{\mathbb{C}}$  w.r.t.  $\langle -, - \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ .



**Proposition 14.6.** *Given a Euclidean space  $E$ , if  $f: E \rightarrow E$  is any self-adjoint linear map, then every eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $f_{\mathbb{C}}$  is real and is actually an eigenvalue of  $f$  (which means that there is some real eigenvector  $u \in E$  such that  $f(u) = \lambda u$ ). Therefore, all the eigenvalues of  $f$  are real.*

**Proposition 14.7.** *Given a Hermitian space  $E$ , for any linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$ , if  $f$  is skew-self-adjoint, then  $f$  has eigenvalues that are pure imaginary or zero, and if  $f$  is unitary, then  $f$  has eigenvalues of absolute value 1.*

## 14.2 Spectral Theorem for Normal Linear Maps

The next step is to show that for *every linear map*  $f: E \rightarrow E$ , there is some subspace  $W$  of dimension 1 or 2 such that  $f(W) \subseteq W$ .

When  $\dim(W) = 1$ ,  $W$  is actually an eigenspace for some real eigenvalue of  $f$ .

Furthermore, when  $f$  is normal, there is a subspace  $W$  of dimension 1 or 2 such that  $f(W) \subseteq W$  and  $f^*(W) \subseteq W$ .

The difficulty is that the eigenvalues of  $f$  are not necessarily real. One way to get around this problem is to *complexify* both the vector space  $E$  and the inner product  $\langle -, - \rangle$ , as explained in Section 14.1.

Given any subspace  $W$  of a Hermitian space  $E$ , recall that the *orthogonal*  $W^\perp$  of  $W$  is the subspace defined such that

$$W^\perp = \{u \in E \mid \langle u, w \rangle = 0, \text{ for all } w \in W\}.$$

Recall that  $E = W \oplus W^\perp$  (construct an orthonormal basis of  $E$  using the Gram–Schmidt orthonormalization procedure). The same result also holds for Euclidean spaces.

As a warm up for the proof of Theorem 14.12, let us prove that every self-adjoint map on a Euclidean space can be diagonalized with respect to an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors.

**Theorem 14.8.** *Given a Euclidean space  $E$  of dimension  $n$ , for every self-adjoint linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$ , there is an orthonormal basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  of eigenvectors of  $f$  such that the matrix of  $f$  w.r.t. this basis is a diagonal matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \dots & \\ & \lambda_2 & \dots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \dots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .

One of the key points in the proof of Theorem 14.8 is that we found a subspace  $W$  with the property that  $f(W) \subseteq W$  implies that  $f(W^\perp) \subseteq W^\perp$ .

In general, this does not happen, but normal maps satisfy a stronger property which ensures that such a subspace exists.

The following proposition provides a condition that will allow us to show that a normal linear map can be diagonalized. It actually holds for any linear map.

**Proposition 14.9.** *Given a Hermitian space  $E$ , for any linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$  and any subspace  $W$  of  $E$ , if  $f(W) \subseteq W$ , then  $f^*(W^\perp) \subseteq W^\perp$ .*

*Consequently, if  $f(W) \subseteq W$  and  $f^*(W) \subseteq W$ , then  $f(W^\perp) \subseteq W^\perp$  and  $f^*(W^\perp) \subseteq W^\perp$ .*

The above Proposition *also holds for Euclidean spaces*. Although we are ready to prove that for every normal linear map  $f$  (over a Hermitian space) there is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors, we now return to real Euclidean spaces.

**Proposition 14.10.** *If  $f: E \rightarrow E$  is a linear map and  $w = u + iv$  is an eigenvector of  $f_{\mathbb{C}}: E_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow E_{\mathbb{C}}$  for the eigenvalue  $z = \lambda + i\mu$ , where  $u, v \in E$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , then*

$$f(u) = \lambda u - \mu v \quad \text{and} \quad f(v) = \mu u + \lambda v. \quad (*)$$

As a consequence,

$$f_{\mathbb{C}}(u - iv) = f(u) - if(v) = (\lambda - i\mu)(u - iv),$$

which shows that  $\bar{w} = u - iv$  is an eigenvector of  $f_{\mathbb{C}}$  for  $\bar{z} = \lambda - i\mu$ .

Using this fact, we can prove the following proposition:

**Proposition 14.11.** *Given a Euclidean space  $E$ , for any normal linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$ , if  $w = u + iv$  is an eigenvector of  $f_{\mathbb{C}}$  associated with the eigenvalue  $z = \lambda + i\mu$  (where  $u, v \in E$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ), if  $\mu \neq 0$  (i.e.,  $z$  is not real) then  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle u, u \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ , which implies that  $u$  and  $v$  are linearly independent, and if  $W$  is the subspace spanned by  $u$  and  $v$ , then  $f(W) = W$  and  $f^*(W) = W$ . Furthermore, with respect to the (orthogonal) basis  $(u, v)$ , the restriction of  $f$  to  $W$  has the matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \mu \\ -\mu & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

*If  $\mu = 0$ , then  $\lambda$  is a real eigenvalue of  $f$  and either  $u$  or  $v$  is an eigenvector of  $f$  for  $\lambda$ . If  $W$  is the subspace spanned by  $u$  if  $u \neq 0$ , or spanned by  $v \neq 0$  if  $u = 0$ , then  $f(W) \subseteq W$  and  $f^*(W) \subseteq W$ .*

**Theorem 14.12.** (*Main Spectral Theorem*) Given a Euclidean space  $E$  of dimension  $n$ , for every normal linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$ , there is an orthonormal basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  such that the matrix of  $f$  w.r.t. this basis is a block diagonal matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & \cdots & \\ & A_2 & & \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \\ & & \cdots & A_p \end{pmatrix}$$

such that each block  $A_j$  is either a one-dimensional matrix (i.e., a real scalar) or a two-dimensional matrix of the form

$$A_j = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_j & -\mu_j \\ \mu_j & \lambda_j \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\lambda_j, \mu_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\mu_j > 0$ .

After this relatively hard work, we can easily obtain some nice normal forms for the matrices of self-adjoint, skew-self-adjoint, and orthogonal, linear maps.

However, for the sake of completeness, we state the following theorem.

**Theorem 14.13.** *Given a Hermitian space  $E$  of dimension  $n$ , for every **normal** linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$ , there is an orthonormal basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  of eigenvectors of  $f$  such that the matrix of  $f$  w.r.t. this basis is a diagonal matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \dots & \\ & \lambda_2 & \dots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \dots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Remark:* There is a **converse** to Theorem 14.13, namely, if there is an orthonormal basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  of eigenvectors of  $f$ , then  $f$  is normal.



### 14.3 Self-Adjoint, Skew-Self-Adjoint, and Orthogonal Linear Maps

**Theorem 14.14.** *Given a Euclidean space  $E$  of dimension  $n$ , for every **self-adjoint** linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$ , there is an orthonormal basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  of eigenvectors of  $f$  such that the matrix of  $f$  w.r.t. this basis is a diagonal matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \dots & \\ & \lambda_2 & \dots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \dots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Theorem 14.14 implies that if  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$  are the distinct real eigenvalues of  $f$  and  $E_i$  is the eigenspace associated with  $\lambda_i$ , then

$$E = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_p,$$

where  $E_i$  and  $E_j$  are orthogonal for all  $i \neq j$ .

**Theorem 14.15.** *Given a Euclidean space  $E$  of dimension  $n$ , for every **skew-self-adjoint** linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$ , there is an orthonormal basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  such that the matrix of  $f$  w.r.t. this basis is a block diagonal matrix of the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & \dots & \\ & A_2 & & \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \\ & & \dots & A_p \end{pmatrix}$$

*such that each block  $A_j$  is either 0 or a two-dimensional matrix of the form*

$$A_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mu_j \\ \mu_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

*where  $\mu_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\mu_j > 0$ . In particular, the eigenvalues of  $f_{\mathbb{C}}$  are pure imaginary of the form  $\pm i\mu_j$ , or 0.*

**Theorem 14.16.** *Given a Euclidean space  $E$  of dimension  $n$ , for every **orthogonal** linear map  $f: E \rightarrow E$ , there is an orthonormal basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  such that the matrix of  $f$  w.r.t. this basis is a block diagonal matrix of the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & \dots & \\ & A_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & \dots & A_p \end{pmatrix}$$

*such that each block  $A_j$  is either 1,  $-1$ , or a two-dimensional matrix of the form*

$$A_j = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_j & -\sin \theta_j \\ \sin \theta_j & \cos \theta_j \end{pmatrix}$$

*where  $0 < \theta_j < \pi$ .*

*In particular, the eigenvalues of  $f_{\mathbb{C}}$  are of the form  $\cos \theta_j \pm i \sin \theta_j$ , or 1, or  $-1$ .*

It is obvious that we can reorder the orthonormal basis of eigenvectors given by Theorem 14.16, so that the matrix of  $f$  w.r.t. this basis is a block diagonal matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \dots & & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & \dots & A_r & & \\ & & & -I_q & \\ \dots & & & & I_p \end{pmatrix}$$

where each block  $A_j$  is a two-dimensional rotation matrix  $A_j \neq \pm I_2$  of the form

$$A_j = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_j & -\sin \theta_j \\ \sin \theta_j & \cos \theta_j \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $0 < \theta_j < \pi$ .

The linear map  $f$  has an eigenspace  $E(1, f) = \text{Ker}(f - \text{id})$  of dimension  $p$  for the eigenvalue 1, and an eigenspace  $E(-1, f) = \text{Ker}(f + \text{id})$  of dimension  $q$  for the eigenvalue  $-1$ .

If  $\det(f) = +1$  ( $f$  is a rotation), the dimension  $q$  of  $E(-1, f)$  must be even, and the entries in  $-I_q$  can be paired to form two-dimensional blocks, if we wish.

*Remark:* Theorem 14.16 can be used to prove a sharper version of the Cartan-Dieudonné Theorem.

**Theorem 14.17.** *Let  $E$  be a Euclidean space of dimension  $n \geq 2$ . For every isometry  $f \in \mathbf{O}(E)$ , if  $p = \dim(E(1, f)) = \dim(\text{Ker}(f - \text{id}))$ , then  $f$  is the composition of  $n - p$  reflections and  $n - p$  is minimal.*

The theorems of this section and of the previous section can be immediately applied to matrices.

## 14.4 Normal, Symmetric, Skew-Symmetric, Orthogonal, Hermitian, Skew-Hermitian, and Unitary Matrices

First, we consider real matrices.

**Definition 14.3.** Given a real  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ , the *transpose  $A^\top$  of  $A$*  is the  $n \times m$  matrix  $A^\top = (a_{ij}^\top)$  defined such that

$$a_{ij}^\top = a_{ji}$$

for all  $i, j$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . A real  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is

1. *normal* iff

$$A A^\top = A^\top A,$$

2. *symmetric* iff

$$A^\top = A,$$

3. *skew-symmetric* iff

$$A^\top = -A,$$

4. *orthogonal* iff

$$A A^\top = A^\top A = I_n.$$

**Theorem 14.18.** *For every **normal** matrix  $A$ , there is an orthogonal matrix  $P$  and a block diagonal matrix  $D$  such that  $A = P D P^\top$ , where  $D$  is of the form*

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & \cdots & & \\ & D_2 & \cdots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \cdots & D_p \end{pmatrix}$$

*such that each block  $D_j$  is either a one-dimensional matrix (i.e., a real scalar) or a two-dimensional matrix of the form*

$$D_j = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_j & -\mu_j \\ \mu_j & \lambda_j \end{pmatrix}$$

*where  $\lambda_j, \mu_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\mu_j > 0$ .*

**Theorem 14.19.** *For every **symmetric** matrix  $A$ , there is an orthogonal matrix  $P$  and a diagonal matrix  $D$  such that  $A = P D P^\top$ , where  $D$  is of the form*

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \cdots & \\ & \lambda_2 & \cdots & \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .



**Theorem 14.20.** *For every **skew-symmetric** matrix  $A$ , there is an orthogonal matrix  $P$  and a block diagonal matrix  $D$  such that  $A = PD P^\top$ , where  $D$  is of the form*

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & & \cdots & \\ & D_2 & \cdots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \cdots & D_p \end{pmatrix}$$

*such that each block  $D_j$  is either 0 or a two-dimensional matrix of the form*

$$D_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mu_j \\ \mu_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

*where  $\mu_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\mu_j > 0$ . In particular, the eigenvalues of  $A$  are pure imaginary of the form  $\pm i\mu_j$ , or 0.*

**Theorem 14.21.** *For every **orthogonal** matrix  $A$ , there is an orthogonal matrix  $P$  and a block diagonal matrix  $D$  such that  $A = P D P^\top$ , where  $D$  is of the form*

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & & \cdots & \\ & D_2 & & \cdots \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \cdots & D_p \end{pmatrix}$$

*such that each block  $D_j$  is either 1,  $-1$ , or a two-dimensional matrix of the form*

$$D_j = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_j & -\sin \theta_j \\ \sin \theta_j & \cos \theta_j \end{pmatrix}$$

*where  $0 < \theta_j < \pi$ .*

*In particular, the eigenvalues of  $A$  are of the form  $\cos \theta_j \pm i \sin \theta_j$ , or 1, or  $-1$ .*

We now consider complex matrices.

**Definition 14.4.** Given a complex  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ , the *transpose*  $A^\top$  of  $A$  is the  $n \times m$  matrix  $A^\top = (a_{ij}^\top)$  defined such that

$$a_{ij}^\top = a_{ji}$$

for all  $i, j$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . The *conjugate*  $\overline{A}$  of  $A$  is the  $m \times n$  matrix  $\overline{A} = (b_{ij})$  defined such that

$$b_{ij} = \overline{a_{ij}}$$

for all  $i, j$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Given an  $n \times n$  complex matrix  $A$ , the *adjoint*  $A^*$  of  $A$  is the matrix defined such that

$$A^* = \overline{(A^\top)} = (\overline{A})^\top.$$

A complex  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is

1. *normal* iff

$$AA^* = A^*A,$$

2. *Hermitian* iff

$$A^* = A,$$

3. *skew-Hermitian* iff

$$A^* = -A,$$

4. *unitary* iff

$$AA^* = A^*A = I_n.$$

Theorem 14.13 can be restated in terms of matrices as follows. We can also say a little more about eigenvalues (easy exercise left to the reader).

**Theorem 14.22.** *For every complex **normal** matrix  $A$ , there is a unitary matrix  $U$  and a diagonal matrix  $D$  such that  $A = UDU^*$ . Furthermore, if  $A$  is **Hermitian**,  $D$  is a real matrix, if  $A$  is **skew-Hermitian**, then the entries in  $D$  are pure imaginary or null, and if  $A$  is **unitary**, then the entries in  $D$  have absolute value 1.*

## 14.5 Rayleigh Ratios and Eigenvalue Interlacing

A fact that is used frequently in optimization problems is that the eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix are characterized in terms of what is known as the *Rayleigh ratio*, defined by

$$R(A)(x) = \frac{x^\top Ax}{x^\top x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \neq 0.$$

The following proposition is often used to prove the correctness of various optimization or approximation problems (for example PCA).

**Proposition 14.23.** (*Rayleigh–Ritz*) If  $A$  is a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  and if  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  is any orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of  $A$ , where  $u_i$  is a unit eigenvector associated with  $\lambda_i$ , then

$$\max_{x \neq 0} \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x} = \lambda_n$$

(with the maximum attained for  $x = u_n$ ), and

$$\max_{x \neq 0, x \in \{u_{n-k+1}, \dots, u_n\}^\perp} \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x} = \lambda_{n-k}$$

(with the maximum attained for  $x = u_{n-k}$ ), where  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ . Equivalently, if  $V_k$  is the subspace spanned by  $(u_1, \dots, u_k)$ , then

$$\lambda_k = \max_{x \neq 0, x \in V_k} \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

For our purposes, we need the version of Proposition 14.23 applying to min instead of max, whose proof is obtained by a trivial modification of the proof of Proposition 14.23.

**Proposition 14.24.** (*Rayleigh–Ritz*) *If  $A$  is a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  and if  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  is any orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of  $A$ , where  $u_i$  is a unit eigenvector associated with  $\lambda_i$ , then*

$$\min_{x \neq 0} \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x} = \lambda_1$$

(with the minimum attained for  $x = u_1$ ), and

$$\min_{x \neq 0, x \in \{u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}\}^\perp} \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x} = \lambda_i$$

(with the minimum attained for  $x = u_i$ ), where  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . Equivalently, if  $W_k = V_{k-1}^\perp$  denotes the subspace spanned by  $(u_k, \dots, u_n)$  (with  $V_0 = (0)$ ), then

$$\lambda_k = \min_{x \neq 0, x \in W_k} \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x} = \min_{x \neq 0, x \in V_{k-1}^\perp} \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Propositions 14.23 and 14.24 together are known as the *Rayleigh–Ritz theorem*.

Observe that Proposition 14.24 implies immediately that *a symmetric matrix is positive definite iff its eigenvalues are (strictly) positive*.

As an application of Propositions 14.23 and 14.24, we prove a proposition which allows us to compare the eigenvalues of two symmetric matrices  $A$  and  $B = R^\top AR$ , where  $R$  is a rectangular matrix satisfying the equation  $R^\top R = I$ .

First, we need a definition. Given an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix  $A$  and an  $m \times m$  symmetric  $B$ , with  $m \leq n$ , if  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_m$  are the eigenvalues of  $B$ , then we say that the eigenvalues of  $B$  *interlace* the eigenvalues of  $A$  if

$$\lambda_i \leq \mu_i \leq \lambda_{n-m+i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$



For example, if  $n = 5$  and  $m = 3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 &\leq \mu_1 \leq \lambda_3 \\ \lambda_2 &\leq \mu_2 \leq \lambda_4 \\ \lambda_3 &\leq \mu_3 \leq \lambda_5.\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 14.25.** *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix,  $R$  be an  $n \times m$  matrix such that  $R^\top R = I$  (with  $m \leq n$ ), and let  $B = R^\top A R$  (an  $m \times m$  matrix). The following properties hold:*

- (a) *The eigenvalues of  $B$  interlace the eigenvalues of  $A$ .*
- (b) *If  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_m$  are the eigenvalues of  $B$ , and if  $\lambda_i = \mu_i$ , then there is an eigenvector  $v$  of  $B$  with eigenvalue  $\mu_i$  such that  $Rv$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ .*

Proposition 14.25 immediately implies the *Poincaré separation theorem*. It can be used in situations, such as in quantum mechanics, where one has information about the inner products  $u_i^\top A u_j$ .

**Proposition 14.26.** (*Poincaré separation theorem*)  
 Let  $A$  be a  $n \times n$  symmetric (or Hermitian) matrix, let  $m$  be some integer with  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , and let  $(u_1, \dots, u_m)$  be  $m$  orthonormal vectors. Let  $B = (u_i^\top A u_j)$  (an  $m \times m$  matrix), let  $\lambda_1(A) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(A)$  be the eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $\lambda_1(B) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m(B)$  be the eigenvalues of  $B$ ; then we have

$$\lambda_k(A) \leq \lambda_k(B) \leq \lambda_{k+n-m}(A), \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

Observe that Proposition 14.25 implies that

$$\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_m \leq \operatorname{tr}(R^\top A R) \leq \lambda_{n-m+1} + \dots + \lambda_n.$$

If  $P_1$  is the the  $n \times (n - 1)$  matrix obtained from the identity matrix by dropping its last column, we have  $P_1^\top P_1 = I$ , and the matrix  $B = P_1^\top A P_1$  is the matrix obtained from  $A$  by deleting its last row and its last column. In this case, the interlacing result is

$$\lambda_1 \leq \mu_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_{n-2} \leq \lambda_{n-1} \leq \mu_{n-1} \leq \lambda_n,$$

a genuine interlacing.

We obtain similar results with the matrix  $P_{n-m}$  obtained by dropping the last  $n - r$  columns of the identity matrix and setting  $B = P_{n-m}^\top A P_{n-m}$  ( $B$  is the  $m \times m$  matrix obtained from  $A$  by deleting its last  $n - m$  rows and columns).

In this case, we have the following interlacing inequalities known as *Cauchy interlacing theorem*:

$$\lambda_k \leq \mu_k \leq \lambda_{k+n-m}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m. \quad (*)$$

## 14.6 The Courant–Fischer Theorem; Perturbation Results

Another useful tool to prove eigenvalue equalities is the Courant–Fischer characterization of the eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix, also known as the Min-max (and Max-min) theorem.

**Theorem 14.27.** (*Courant–Fischer*) *Let  $A$  be a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n$ . If  $\mathcal{V}_k$  denotes the set of subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of dimension  $k$ , then*

$$\lambda_k = \max_{W \in \mathcal{V}_{n-k+1}} \min_{x \in W, x \neq 0} \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x}$$

$$\lambda_k = \min_{W \in \mathcal{V}_k} \max_{x \in W, x \neq 0} \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x}.$$

The Courant–Fischer theorem yields the following useful result about perturbing the eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix due to Hermann Weyl.

**Proposition 14.28.** *Given two  $n \times n$  symmetric matrices  $A$  and  $B = A + \delta A$ , if  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $\beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \beta_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $B$ , then*

$$|\alpha_k - \beta_k| \leq \rho(\delta A) \leq \|\delta A\|_2, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Proposition 14.28 also holds for Hermitian matrices.

A pretty result of Wielandt and Hoffman asserts that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (\alpha_k - \beta_k)^2 \leq \|\delta A\|_F^2,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_F$  is the Frobenius norm. However, the proof is significantly harder than the above proof; see Lax [25].

The Courant–Fischer theorem can also be used to prove some famous inequalities due to Hermann Weyl.

Given two symmetric (or Hermitian) matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , let  $\lambda_i(A)$ ,  $\lambda_i(B)$ , and  $\lambda_i(A+B)$  denote the  $i$ th eigenvalue of  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $A+B$ , respectively, arranged in nondecreasing order.

**Proposition 14.29.** (*Weyl*) *Given two symmetric (or Hermitian)  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , the following inequalities hold: For all  $i, j, k$  with  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ :*

1. *If  $i + j = k + 1$ , then*

$$\lambda_i(A) + \lambda_j(B) \leq \lambda_k(A + B).$$

2. *If  $i + j = k + n$ , then*

$$\lambda_k(A + B) \leq \lambda_i(A) + \lambda_j(B).$$

In the special case  $i = j = k$ , we obtain

$$\lambda_1(A) + \lambda_1(B) \leq \lambda_1(A+B), \quad \lambda_n(A+B) \leq \lambda_n(A) + \lambda_n(B).$$

It follows that  $\lambda_1$  is concave, while  $\lambda_n$  is convex.

If  $i = k$  and  $j = 1$ , we obtain

$$\lambda_k(A) + \lambda_1(B) \leq \lambda_k(A + B),$$

and if  $i = k$  and  $j = n$ , we obtain

$$\lambda_k(A + B) \leq \lambda_k(A) + \lambda_n(B),$$

and combining them, we get

$$\lambda_k(A) + \lambda_1(B) \leq \lambda_k(A + B) \leq \lambda_k(A) + \lambda_n(B).$$

In particular, if  $B$  is positive semidefinite, since its eigenvalues are nonnegative, we obtain the following inequality known as the *monotonicity theorem* for symmetric (or Hermitian) matrices:

if  $A$  and  $B$  are symmetric (or Hermitian) and  $B$  is positive semidefinite, then

$$\lambda_k(A) \leq \lambda_k(A + B) \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

