## Chapter 5

## Graph Clustering Using Ratio Cuts

In this short chapter, we consider the alternative to normalized cut, *called ratio cut*, and show that the methods of Chapters 3 and 4 can be trivially adapted to solve the clustering problem using ratio cuts.

All that needs to be done is to replace the normalized Laplacian  $L_{\text{sym}}$  by the unormalized Laplacian L, and omit the step of considering Problem (\*\*<sub>2</sub>).

In particular, there is no need to multiply the continuous solution Y by  $D^{-1/2}$ .

The idea of ratio cut is to replace the volume  $vol(A_j)$ of each block  $A_j$  of the partition by its size  $|A_j|$  (the number of nodes in  $A_j$ ).

First, we deal with unsigned graphs, the case where the entries in the symmetric weight matrix W are nonnegative.

**Definition 5.1.** The *ratio cut*  $\operatorname{Rcut}(A_1, \ldots, A_K)$  of the partition  $(A_1, \ldots, A_K)$  is defined as

$$\operatorname{Rcut}(A_1,\ldots,A_K) = \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{\operatorname{cut}(A_j,\overline{A}_j)}{|A_j|}.$$

As in Section 3.3, given a partition of V into K clusters  $(A_1, \ldots, A_K)$ , if we represent the *j*th block of this partition by a vector  $X^j$  such that

$$X_i^j = \begin{cases} a_j & \text{if } v_i \in A_j \\ 0 & \text{if } v_i \notin A_j, \end{cases}$$

for some  $a_j \neq 0$ , then

$$(X^j)^{\top} L X^j = a_j^2(\operatorname{cut}(A_j, \overline{A_j}))$$
$$(X^j)^{\top} X^j = a_j^2 |A_j|.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\operatorname{Rcut}(A_1, \dots, A_K) = \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{\operatorname{cut}(A_j, \overline{A}_j)}{|A_j|}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{(X^j)^\top L X^j}{(X^j)^\top X^j}.$$

On the other hand, the normalized cut is given by

Ncut
$$(A_1, \ldots, A_K) = \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{\operatorname{cut}(A_j, \overline{A}_j)}{\operatorname{vol}(A_j)}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{(X^j)^\top L X^j}{(X^j)^\top D X^j}.$$

Therefore, ratio cut is the special case of normalized cut where D = I.

If we let

$$\mathcal{X} = \left\{ [X^1 \dots X^K] \mid X^j = a_j(x_1^j, \dots, x_N^j), x_i^j \in \{1, 0\}, a_j \in \mathbb{R}, \ X^j \neq 0 \right\}$$

(note that the condition  $X^j \neq 0$  implies that  $a_j \neq 0$ ), then the set of matrices representing partitions of V into K blocks is

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ X = \begin{bmatrix} X^1 \cdots X^K \end{bmatrix} \mid X \in \mathcal{X}, \\ (X^i)^\top X^j = 0, \\ 1 \le i, j \le K, \ i \ne j \right\}.$$

Here is our first formulation of K-way clustering of a graph using ratio cuts, called problem PRC1 :

## K-way Clustering of a graph using Ratio Cut, Version 1: Problem PRC1

minimize 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{(X^j)^\top L X^j}{(X^j)^\top X^j}$$
subject to  $(X^i)^\top X^j = 0, \quad 1 \le i, j \le K, \ i \ne j, X \in \mathcal{X}.$ 

The solutions that we are seeking are K-tuples  $(\mathbb{P}(X^1), \ldots, \mathbb{P}(X^K))$  of points in  $\mathbb{RP}^{N-1}$  determined by their homogeneous coordinates  $X^1, \ldots, X^K$ .

As in Chapter 3, chasing denominators and introducing a trace, we obtain the following formulation of our minimization problem:

## K-way Clustering of a graph using Ratio Cut, Version 2: Problem PRC2

minimize	$\operatorname{tr}(X^{\top}LX)$
subject to	$X^{\top}X = I,$
	$X \in \mathcal{X}.$

The natural relaxation of problem PRC2 is to drop the condition that  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ , and we obtain the

**Problem**  $(R*_2)$ 

minimize
$$\operatorname{tr}(X^\top LX)$$
subject to $X^\top X = I.$ 

This time, since the normalization condition is  $X^{\top}X = I$ , we can use the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of L, and by Proposition A.2, the minimum is achieved by any Kunit eigenvectors  $(u_1, \ldots, u_K)$  associated with the smallest K eigenvalues

$$0 = \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \ldots \le \lambda_K$$

of L.

The matrix  $Z = Y = [u_1, \ldots, u_K]$  yields a minimum of our relaxed problem  $(R*_2)$ .

The rest of the algorithm is as before; we try to find  $Q = R\Lambda$  with  $R \in \mathbf{O}(K)$ ,  $\Lambda$  diagonal invertible, and  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  such that ||X - ZQ|| is minimum.

In the case of signed graphs, we define the *signed ratio* cut sRcut $(A_1, \ldots, A_K)$  of the partition  $(A_1, \ldots, A_K)$  as

$$\operatorname{sRcut}(A_1, \dots, A_K) = \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{\operatorname{cut}(A_j, \overline{A_j})}{|A_j|} + 2\sum_{j=1}^K \frac{\operatorname{links}^-(A_j, A_j)}{|A_j|}.$$

Since we still have

$$(X^j)^{\top}\overline{L}X^j = a_j^2(\operatorname{cut}(A_j, \overline{A_j}) + 2\operatorname{links}^-(A_j, A_j)),$$

we obtain

$$\operatorname{sRcut}(A_1,\ldots,A_K) = \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{(X^j)^\top \overline{L} X^j}{(X^j)^\top X^j}.$$

Therefore, this is similar to the case of unsigned graphs, with L replaced with  $\overline{L}$ .

The same algorithm applies, but as in Chapter 4, the signed Laplacian  $\overline{L}$  is positive definite iff G is unbalanced.

Modifying the computer program implementing normalized cuts to deal with ratio cuts is trivial (use  $\overline{L}$  instead of  $\overline{L}_{\text{sym}}$  and don't multiply Y by  $\overline{D}^{-1/2}$ ).

Generally, normalized cut seems to yield "better clusters," but this is not a very satisfactory statement since we haven't defined precisely in which sense a clustering is better than another.

We leave this point as further research.