#### Fundamentals of Linear Algebra and Optimization Classification of the Data Points in Terms of nu

Jean Gallier and Jocelyn Quaintance

CIS Department University of Pennsylvania

jean@cis.upenn.edu

May 6, 2020

For a finer classification of the points it turns out to be convenient to consider the ratio

$$\nu = \frac{K_m}{(p+q)K_s}.$$

First note that in order for the constraints to be satisfied, *some* relationship between  $K_s$  and  $K_m$  must hold. In addition to the constraints

$$0 \leq \lambda_i \leq K_s, \quad 0 \leq \mu_j \leq K_s,$$

we also have the constraints

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_{j}$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_{j} \ge K_{m},$$

which imply that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \geq \frac{\mathcal{K}_m}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^q \mu_j \geq \frac{\mathcal{K}_m}{2}.$$

(†)

#### Relationship Between K<sub>s</sub> and K<sub>m</sub>

Since  $\lambda, \mu$  are all nonnegative, if  $\lambda_i = K_s$  for all *i* and if  $\mu_j = K_s$  for all *j*, then

$$rac{\mathcal{K}_m}{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \leq p\mathcal{K}_s \quad ext{and} \quad rac{\mathcal{K}_m}{2} \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \mu_j \leq q\mathcal{K}_s,$$

so these constraints are not satisfied unless  $K_m \leq \min\{2pK_s, 2qK_s\}$ , so we assume that  $K_m \leq \min\{2pK_s, 2qK_s\}$ .

# Definition of $\nu$ for $(SVM_{s2'})$

The equations in (†) also imply that there is some  $i_0$  such that  $\lambda_{i_0} > 0$  and some  $j_0$  such that  $\mu_{j_0} > 0$ , and so  $p_m \ge 1$  and  $q_m \ge 1$ .

For a finer classification of the points we find it convenient to define  $\nu>0$  such that

$$\nu = \frac{K_m}{(p+q)K_s},$$

so that the objective function  $J(w, \epsilon, \xi, b, \eta)$  is given by

$$J(\boldsymbol{w},\epsilon,\xi,\boldsymbol{b},\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{w}^{\top}\boldsymbol{w} + (\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{q})\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{s}}\left(-\nu\eta + \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{q}}\begin{pmatrix}\epsilon^{\top} & \xi^{\top}\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{1}_{\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{q}}\right).$$

# Normalization of $\nu$ for $(SVM_{s2'})$

Observe that the condition  $K_m \leq \min\{2pK_s, 2qK_s\}$  is equivalent to

$$\nu \leq \min\left\{\frac{2p}{p+q}, \frac{2q}{p+q}
ight\} \leq 1.$$

Since we obtain an equivalent problem by rescaling by a common positive factor, theoretically it is convenient to normalize  $K_s$  as

$$K_{s} = \frac{1}{p+q}$$

in which case  $K_m = \nu$ .

This method is called the  $\nu$ -support vector machine.

Actually, to program the method, it may be more convenient assume that  $K_s$  is arbitrary. This helps in avoiding  $\lambda_i$  and  $\mu_j$  to become to small when p + q is relatively large.

The equations  $(\dagger)$  and the box inequalities

$$0 \le \lambda_i \le K_s, \quad 0 \le \mu_j \le K_s$$

also imply the following facts:

**Proposition**. If Problem (SVM<sub>s2'</sub>) has an optimal solution with  $w \neq 0$  and  $\eta > 0$ , then the following facts hold:

- (1) Let  $p_f$  be the number of points  $u_i$  such that  $\lambda_i = K_s$ , and let  $q_f$  the number of points  $v_j$  such that  $\mu_j = K_s$ . Then  $p_f, q_f \leq \nu(p+q)/2$ .
- (2) Let  $p_m$  be the number of points  $u_i$  such that  $\lambda_i > 0$ , and let  $q_m$  the number of points  $v_j$  such that  $\mu_j > 0$ . Then  $p_m, q_m \ge \nu(p+q)/2$ . We have  $p_m \ge 1$  and  $q_m \ge 1$ .

(3) If 
$$p_f \ge 1$$
 or  $q_f \ge 1$ , then  $\nu \ge 2/(p+q)$ .

### Condition for Separablity of Data Points

Observe that  $p_f = q_f = 0$  means that there are no points in the open slab containing the separating hyperplane, namely, the points  $u_i$  and the points  $v_j$  are separable.

So if the points  $u_i$  and the points  $v_j$  are not separable, then we must pick  $\nu$  such that  $2/(p+q) \le \nu \le \min\{2p/(p+q), 2q/(p+q)\}$  for the method to succeed. Otherwise, the method is trying to produce a solution where w = 0 and  $\eta = 0$ , and it does not converge ( $\gamma$  is nonzero).

# Upper and Lower Bounds for $\nu$ of $(SVM_{s2'})$

Actually, above Proposition yields more accurate bounds on  $\nu$  for the method to converge, namely

$$\max\left\{\frac{2p_f}{p+q},\frac{2q_f}{p+q}\right\} \le \nu \le \min\left\{\frac{2p_m}{p+q},\frac{2q_m}{p+q}\right\}.$$

By a previous remark,  $p_f \leq p_m$  and  $q_f \leq q_m$ , the first inequality being strict if there is some *i* such that  $0 < \lambda_i < K$ , and the second inequality being strict if there is some *j* such that  $0 < \mu_j < K$ . This will be the case under the **Standard Margin Hypothesis.** 

# Value of $\nu$ Controls Width of Slab

Observe that a small value of  $\nu$  keeps  $p_f$  and  $q_f$  small, which is achieved if the  $\delta$ -slab is narrow (to avoid having points on the wrong sides of the margin hyperplanes).

A large value of  $\nu$  allows  $p_m$  and  $q_m$  to be fairly large, which is achieved if the  $\delta$ -slab is wide.

Thus the smaller  $\nu$  is, the narrower the  $\delta\mbox{-slab}$  is, and the larger  $\nu$  is, the wider the  $\delta\mbox{-slab}$  is.