Fundamentals of Linear Algebra and Optimization Dual of the Hard Margin Support Vector Machine

Jean Gallier and Jocelyn Quaintance

CIS Department University of Pennsylvania

jean@cis.upenn.edu

May 7, 2020

Solving Hard Margin SVM Problem (SVM_{h2})

Recall the **Hard margin SVM** problem (SVM_{h2}) :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2, \qquad \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \text{subject to} & & \\ \boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{u}_i - \boldsymbol{b} \geq 1 & \quad i = 1, \dots, p \\ & - \boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{v}_j + \boldsymbol{b} \geq 1 & \quad j = 1, \dots, q. \end{array}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲∃▶ ▲∃▶ ∃ りゅつ

Solving Hard Margin SVM Problem (SVM_{h2})

Recall the **Hard margin SVM** problem (SVM_{h2}) :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{w} \|^2, \qquad \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \text{subject to} & & \\ & \boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{u}_i - \boldsymbol{b} \geq 1 & \quad i = 1, \dots, \mu \\ & - \boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{v}_j + \boldsymbol{b} \geq 1 & \quad j = 1, \dots, n \end{array}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲∃▶ ▲∃▶ ∃ りゅつ

The main steps are the following.

Lagrangian of Hard Margin (SVM_{h2})

Step 1. Write the Lagrangian in matrix form.

Lagrangian of Hard Margin (SVM_{h2})

Step 1. Write the Lagrangian in matrix form.

Let X be the $n \times (p + q)$ matrix given by

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} -u_1 & \cdots & -u_p & v_1 & \cdots & v_q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lagrangian of Hard Margin (SVM_{h2})

Step 1. Write the Lagrangian in matrix form.

Let X be the $n \times (p + q)$ matrix given by

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} -u_1 & \cdots & -u_p & v_1 & \cdots & v_q \end{pmatrix}.$$

We obtain the Lagrangian

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}, \lambda, \mu) = & \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}^{\top} & \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{n} & \mathbf{0}_{n} \\ \mathbf{0}_{n}^{\top} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix} + \\ & \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}^{\top} & \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{1}_{p}^{\top} \lambda & -\mathbf{1}_{q}^{\top} \mu \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\top} & \mu^{\top} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{p+q}. \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

Step 2. Find the dual function $G(\lambda, \mu)$.

Step 2. Find the dual function $G(\lambda, \mu)$.

In order to find the dual function $G(\lambda, \mu)$, we need to minimize $L(w, b, \lambda, \mu)$ with respect to w and b and for this, since the objective function J is convex and since \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is convex and open, a necessary and sufficient condition for a minimum is that $\nabla L_{w,b} = 0$, where $\nabla L_{w,b}$ is the gradient of $L(w, b, \lambda, \mu)$.

Step 2. Find the dual function $G(\lambda, \mu)$.

In order to find the dual function $G(\lambda, \mu)$, we need to minimize $L(w, b, \lambda, \mu)$ with respect to w and b and for this, since the objective function J is convex and since \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is convex and open, a necessary and sufficient condition for a minimum is that $\nabla L_{w,b} = 0$, where $\nabla L_{w,b}$ is the gradient of $L(w, b, \lambda, \mu)$.

We have

$$abla L_{w,b} = \begin{pmatrix} w + X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{1}_{p}^{ op} \lambda & -\mathbf{1}_{q}^{ op} \mu \end{pmatrix}.$$

◆□ ▶ ◆昼 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 国 ● ● ● ●

The necessary and sufficient condition for a minimum is

 $\nabla L_{w,b}=0,$

The necessary and sufficient condition for a minimum is

$$\nabla L_{\boldsymbol{w},\boldsymbol{b}}=0,$$

which yields

$$w = -X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \tag{*1}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

The necessary and sufficient condition for a minimum is

$$\nabla L_{\boldsymbol{w},\boldsymbol{b}}=0,$$

which yields

$$w = -X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \tag{*1}$$

and

$$\mathbf{1}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}^{\top}\lambda - \mathbf{1}_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{\top}\mu = 0. \tag{*2}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲∃▶ ▲∃▶ ∃ りゅつ

The second equation can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_j = 0.$$
 (*3)

The second equation can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_j = 0.$$
 (*3)

Plugging back w from $(*_1)$ into the Lagrangian and using $(*_2)$ we get

$$G(\lambda,\mu) = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\top} & \mu^{\top} \end{pmatrix} X^{\top} X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\top} & \mu^{\top} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{p+q}, \qquad (*_4)$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

The second equation can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_j = 0.$$
 (*3)

Plugging back w from $(*_1)$ into the Lagrangian and using $(*_2)$ we get

$$G(\lambda,\mu) = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\top} & \mu^{\top} \end{pmatrix} X^{\top} X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\top} & \mu^{\top} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{\rho+q}, \qquad (*_4)$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\top} & \mu^{\top} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{p+q} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_j.$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = ● ● ●

Step 3. Write the dual as a minimization problem.

Step 3. Write the dual as a minimization problem.

Maximizing the dual function ${\it G}(\lambda,\mu)$ over its domain of definition is equivalent to maximizing

$$\widehat{\mathbf{G}}(\lambda,\mu) = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\top} & \mu^{\top} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\top} & \mu^{\top} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲∃▶ ▲∃▶ ∃ りゅつ

Step 3. Write the dual as a minimization problem.

Maximizing the dual function $G(\lambda,\mu)$ over its domain of definition is equivalent to maximizing

$$\widehat{\mathbf{G}}(\lambda,\mu) = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\top} & \mu^{\top} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\top} & \mu^{\top} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}}$$

subject to the constraint

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_j = 0,$$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ■ めんぐ

Convert Dual to a Minimization Problem

so we formulate the dual program as,

maximize
$$-\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\top} & \mu^{\top} \end{pmatrix} X^{\top} X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\top} & \mu^{\top} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{p+q}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

subject to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_{j} = 0$$
$$\lambda \ge 0, \ \mu \ge 0,$$

or equivalently, **Dual of the Hard margin SVM** (SVM_{h2}):

minimize
$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\top} & \mu^{\top} \end{pmatrix} X^{\top} X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\top} & \mu^{\top} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{p+q}$$

subject to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_j = 0$$
$$\lambda \ge 0, \ \mu \ge 0.$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

Step 4. Solve the dual program.

Step 4. Solve the dual program.

This step involves using numerical procedures typically based on gradient descent to find λ and μ , for example, ADMM.

Step 4. Solve the dual program.

This step involves using numerical procedures typically based on gradient descent to find λ and μ , for example, ADMM.

Once λ and μ are determined, w is determined by $(*_1),$ namely

$${m w}=-Xinom{\lambda}{\mu}$$
 .

Step 4. Solve the dual program.

This step involves using numerical procedures typically based on gradient descent to find λ and μ , for example, ADMM.

Once λ and μ are determined, w is determined by $(*_1)$, namely

$$oldsymbol{w} = -oldsymbol{X}iggl(eta \ \muiggr)$$
 .

To determine b we use the KKT conditions.

Because the primal always has a solution, so does the dual, which implies that there is at least some i_0 such that $\lambda_{i_0} > 0$. But then the constraint $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_j = 0$ implies that there is also some j_0 such that $\mu_{j_0} > 0$.

Because the primal always has a solution, so does the dual, which implies that there is at least some i_0 such that $\lambda_{i_0} > 0$. But then the constraint $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_j = 0$ implies that there is also some j_0 such that $\mu_{j_0} > 0$. By the KKT conditions, since the corresponding constraints are active, we have

$$\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{i}_0} - \mathbf{b} = 1, \quad -\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}_0} + \mathbf{b} = 1,$$

Because the primal always has a solution, so does the dual, which implies that there is at least some i_0 such that $\lambda_{i_0} > 0$. But then the constraint $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_j = 0$ implies that there is also some j_0 such that $\mu_{j_0} > 0$. By the KKT conditions, since the corresponding constraints are active, we have

$$\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{u}_{i_0} - \mathbf{b} = 1, \quad -\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{v}_{j_0} + \mathbf{b} = 1,$$

so we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{w}^{\top} (\boldsymbol{u}_{i_0} + \boldsymbol{v}_{j_0})/2.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Because the primal always has a solution, so does the dual, which implies that there is at least some i_0 such that $\lambda_{i_0} > 0$. But then the constraint $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_j = 0$ implies that there is also some j_0 such that $\mu_{j_0} > 0$. By the KKT conditions, since the corresponding constraints are active, we have

$$\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{u}_{i_0} - \mathbf{b} = 1, \quad -\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{v}_{j_0} + \mathbf{b} = 1,$$

so we obtain

$$b = \mathbf{w}^{\top} (\mathbf{u}_{i_0} + \mathbf{v}_{j_0})/2.$$

The *support vectors* are those for which the constraints are active.

Averaging Over Indices

For improved numerical stability, we can average over the sets of indices defined as $I_{\lambda>0} = \{i \in \{1, \dots, p\} \mid \lambda_i > 0\}$ and $I_{\mu>0} = \{j \in \{1, \dots, q\} \mid \mu_j > 0\}.$

Averaging Over Indices

For improved numerical stability, we can average over the sets of indices defined as $I_{\lambda>0} = \{i \in \{1, \dots, p\} \mid \lambda_i > 0\}$ and $I_{\mu>0} = \{j \in \{1, \dots, q\} \mid \mu_j > 0\}.$

We obtain

$$\boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in I_{\lambda > 0}} u_i \right) / |I_{\lambda > 0}| + \left(\sum_{j \in I_{\mu > 0}} v_j \right) / |I_{\mu > 0}| \right) / 2.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲∃▶ ▲∃▶ ∃ りゅつ