

# Fundamentals of Linear Algebra and Optimization

## Lagrangian Duality

Jean Gallier and Jocelyn Quaintance

CIS Department  
University of Pennsylvania  
[jean@cis.upenn.edu](mailto:jean@cis.upenn.edu)

March 31, 2025

# *Primal Minimization Problem*

In this section we investigate methods to solve the *Minimization Problem (P)*:

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & J(v) \\ \text{subject to} & \varphi_i(v) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.\end{array}$$

# Primal Minimization Problem

In this section we investigate methods to solve the *Minimization Problem (P)*:

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & J(v) \\ \text{subject to} & \varphi_i(v) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.\end{array}$$

It turns out that under certain conditions the original Problem (P), called *primal problem*, can be solved in two stages with the help another Problem (D), called the *dual problem*.

# Dual Problem

The Dual Problem ( $D$ ) is a *maximization problem* involving a function  $G$ , called the *Lagrangian dual*, and it is obtained by *minimizing* the *Lagrangian*  $L(v, \mu)$  of Problem ( $P$ ) over the variable  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , holding  $\mu$  *fixed*, where  $L: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given by

$$L(v, \mu) = J(v) + \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i \varphi_i(v),$$

with  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ .

# *Duality Method for Solving Problem ( $P$ )*

The two steps of the method are:

# *Duality Method for Solving Problem (P)*

The two steps of the method are:

- (1) Find the dual function  $\mu \mapsto G(\mu)$  explicitly by solving the *minimization problem* of finding the minimum of  $L(v, \mu)$  with respect to  $v \in \Omega$ , holding  $\mu$  fixed.

# *Duality Method for Solving Problem (P)*

The two steps of the method are:

- (1) Find the dual function  $\mu \mapsto G(\mu)$  explicitly by solving the *minimization problem* of finding the minimum of  $L(v, \mu)$  with respect to  $v \in \Omega$ , holding  $\mu$  fixed. This is an *unconstrained* minimization problem (with  $v \in \Omega$ ). If we are lucky, a unique minimizer  $u_\mu$  such that  $G(\mu) = L(u_\mu, \mu)$  can be found.

# Duality Method for Solving Problem (P)

The two steps of the method are:

- (1) Find the dual function  $\mu \mapsto G(\mu)$  explicitly by solving the *minimization problem* of finding the minimum of  $L(v, \mu)$  with respect to  $v \in \Omega$ , holding  $\mu$  fixed. This is an *unconstrained* minimization problem (with  $v \in \Omega$ ). If we are lucky, a unique minimizer  $u_\mu$  such that  $G(\mu) = L(u_\mu, \mu)$  can be found.
- (2) Solve the *maximization problem* of finding the maximum of the function  $\mu \mapsto G(\mu)$  over all  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ .



# Duality Method for Solving Problem (P)

The two steps of the method are:

- (1) Find the dual function  $\mu \mapsto G(\mu)$  explicitly by solving the *minimization problem* of finding the minimum of  $L(v, \mu)$  with respect to  $v \in \Omega$ , holding  $\mu$  fixed. This is an *unconstrained* minimization problem (with  $v \in \Omega$ ). If we are lucky, a unique minimizer  $u_\mu$  such that  $G(\mu) = L(u_\mu, \mu)$  can be found.
- (2) Solve the *maximization problem* of finding the maximum of the function  $\mu \mapsto G(\mu)$  over all  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ . This is basically an unconstrained problem, except for the fact that  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ .

# *Duality Method for Solving Problem (P)*

If Steps (1) and (2) are successful, under some suitable conditions on the function  $J$  and the constraints  $\varphi_i$  (for example, if they are **convex**), for any solution  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$  obtained in Step (2), the vector  $u_\lambda$  obtained in Step (1) is an optimal solution of Problem (P).

# *Duality Method for Solving Problem (P)*

If Steps (1) and (2) are successful, under some suitable conditions on the function  $J$  and the constraints  $\varphi_i$  (for example, if they are **convex**), for any solution  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$  obtained in Step (2), the vector  $u_\lambda$  obtained in Step (1) is an optimal solution of Problem (P).

The local minima of a function  $J: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  over a domain  $U$  defined by inequality constraints are **saddle points** of the Lagrangian  $L(v, \mu)$  associated with  $J$  and the constraints  $\varphi_i$ .

# *Duality Method for Solving Problem (P)*

If Steps (1) and (2) are successful, under some suitable conditions on the function  $J$  and the constraints  $\varphi_i$  (for example, if they are **convex**), for any solution  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$  obtained in Step (2), the vector  $u_\lambda$  obtained in Step (1) is an optimal solution of Problem (P).

The local minima of a function  $J: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  over a domain  $U$  defined by inequality constraints are **saddle points** of the Lagrangian  $L(v, \mu)$  associated with  $J$  and the constraints  $\varphi_i$ .

In this presentation we do not discuss saddle points since this would take too much time.

# *Primal Minimization Problem*

We now return to our main Minimization Problem ( $P$ ):

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & J(v) \\ \text{subject to} & \varphi_i(v) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \end{array}$$

where  $J: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and the constraints  $\varphi_i: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are some functions defined on some open subset  $\Omega$  of some finite-dimensional Euclidean vector space  $V$  (more generally, a real Hilbert space  $V$ ).

# *Lagrangian of the Minimization Problem*

**Definition.** The *Lagrangian* of the Minimization Problem  $(P)$  defined above is the function  $L: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$L(v, \mu) = J(v) + \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i \varphi_i(v),$$

with  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$ . The numbers  $\mu_i$  are called *generalized Lagrange multipliers*.

# *Dual Maximization Problem*

We are naturally led to introduce the function  $G: \mathbb{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$G(\mu) = \inf_{v \in \Omega} L(v, \mu) \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m,$$

and then  $\lambda$  will be a solution of the problem

find  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$  such that

$$G(\lambda) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} G(\mu),$$

# Dual Maximization Problem

We are naturally led to introduce the function  $G: \mathbb{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$G(\mu) = \inf_{v \in \Omega} L(v, \mu) \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m,$$

and then  $\lambda$  will be a solution of the problem

find  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$  such that

$$G(\lambda) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} G(\mu),$$

which is equivalent to the *Maximization Problem (D)*:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & G(\mu) \\ \text{subject to} & \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m. \end{array}$$



# Lagrangian Duality

**Definition.** Given the Minimization Problem ( $P$ )

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & J(v) \\ \text{subject to} & \varphi_i(v) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,\end{array}$$

where  $J: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and the constraints  $\varphi_i: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are some functions defined on some open subset  $\Omega$  of some finite-dimensional Euclidean vector space  $V$  (more generally, a real Hilbert space  $V$ ), the function  $G: \mathbb{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$G(\mu) = \inf_{v \in \Omega} L(v, \mu) \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m,$$

is called the *Lagrange dual function* (or simply *dual function*).

# *Lagrange Dual Problem*

Problem (D)

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{maximize} & G(\mu) \\ \text{subject to} & \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m\end{array}$$

is called the *Lagrange dual problem*.

# Lagrange Dual Problem

Problem (D)

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{maximize} & G(\mu) \\ \text{subject to} & \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m\end{array}$$

is called the *Lagrange dual problem*.

Problem (P) is often called the *primal problem*, and (D) is the *dual problem*. The variable  $\mu$  is called the *dual variable*. The variable  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$  is said to be *dual feasible* if  $G(\mu)$  is defined (not  $-\infty$ ). If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$  is a maximum of  $G$ , then we call it a *dual optimal* or an *optimal Lagrange multiplier*.

# *Dual as a Convex Optimization Problem*

Since

$$L(v, \mu) = J(v) + \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i \varphi_i(v),$$

the function  $G(\mu) = \inf_{v \in \Omega} L(v, \mu)$  is the pointwise infimum of some affine functions of  $\mu$ , so it is *concave*, even if the  $\varphi_i$  are not convex.

# Dual as a Convex Optimization Problem

Since

$$L(v, \mu) = J(v) + \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i \varphi_i(v),$$

the function  $G(\mu) = \inf_{v \in \Omega} L(v, \mu)$  is the pointwise infimum of some affine functions of  $\mu$ , so it is *concave*, even if the  $\varphi_i$  are not convex.

One of the main advantages of the dual problem over the primal problem is that it is a *convex optimization problem*, since we wish to maximize a concave objective function  $G$  (thus minimize  $-G$ , a convex function), and the constraints  $\mu \geq 0$  are convex. In a number of practical situations, the dual function  $G$  can indeed be computed.

# *Dual as a Partial Function*

To be perfectly rigorous, we should mention that the dual function  $G$  is actually a *partial function*, because it takes the value  $-\infty$  when the map  $v \mapsto L(v, \mu)$  is unbounded below.

# *Dual of a Linear Program*

**Example.** Consider the Linear Program ( $P$ )

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & c^T v \\ \text{subject to} & Av \leq b, \quad v \geq 0,\end{array}$$

where  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

# Dual of a Linear Program

**Example.** Consider the Linear Program ( $P$ )

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & c^\top v \\ \text{subject to} & Av \leq b, \quad v \geq 0,\end{array}$$

where  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

The constraints  $v \geq 0$  are rewritten as  $-v_i \leq 0$ , so we introduce Lagrange multipliers  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$  and  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , and we have the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}L(v, \mu, \nu) &= c^\top v + \mu^\top (Av - b) - \nu^\top v \\ &= -b^\top \mu + (c + A^\top \mu - \nu)^\top v.\end{aligned}$$



# *Dual of a Linear Program*

The linear function  $v \mapsto (c + A^\top \mu - v)^\top v$  is unbounded below unless  $c + A^\top \mu - v = 0$ , so the dual function  $G(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{v \in \mathbb{R}^n} L(v, \mu, \nu)$  is given for all  $\mu \geq 0$  and  $\nu \geq 0$  by

$$G(\mu, \nu) = \begin{cases} -b^\top \mu & \text{if } A^\top \mu - \nu + c = 0, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# *Dual of a Linear Program*

The linear function  $v \mapsto (c + A^\top \mu - v)^\top v$  is unbounded below unless  $c + A^\top \mu - v = 0$ , so the dual function  $G(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{v \in \mathbb{R}^n} L(v, \mu, \nu)$  is given for all  $\mu \geq 0$  and  $\nu \geq 0$  by

$$G(\mu, \nu) = \begin{cases} -b^\top \mu & \text{if } A^\top \mu - \nu + c = 0, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The domain of  $G$  is a proper subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

# Dual of a Linear Program

The linear function  $v \mapsto (c + A^\top \mu - v)^\top v$  is unbounded below unless  $c + A^\top \mu - v = 0$ , so the dual function  $G(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{v \in \mathbb{R}^n} L(v, \mu, \nu)$  is given for all  $\mu \geq 0$  and  $\nu \geq 0$  by

$$G(\mu, \nu) = \begin{cases} -b^\top \mu & \text{if } A^\top \mu - \nu + c = 0, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The domain of  $G$  is a proper subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

Observe that the value  $G(\mu, \nu)$  of the function  $G$ , when it is defined, is independent of the second argument  $\nu$ .

# *Dual of a Linear Program*

Another way to obtain  $G(\mu, \nu)$  is to observe that the function  $v \mapsto (c + A^\top \mu - \nu)^\top v - b^\top \mu$  is affine, thus convex, and since  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is convex and open, by an earlier theorem, this function (for  $\mu, \nu$  fixed) has a minimum at  $v$  iff

$$\nabla L(-, \mu, \nu)_v = c + A^\top \mu - \nu = 0,$$

a condition independent of  $v$ .

# *Dual of a Linear Program*

Another way to obtain  $G(\mu, \nu)$  is to observe that the function  $v \mapsto (c + A^\top \mu - \nu)^\top v - b^\top \mu$  is affine, thus convex, and since  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is convex and open, by an earlier theorem, this function (for  $\mu, \nu$  fixed) has a minimum at  $v$  iff

$$\nabla L(-, \mu, \nu)_v = c + A^\top \mu - \nu = 0,$$

a condition independent of  $v$ .

But if  $c + A^\top \mu - \nu = 0$ , the function  $v \mapsto (c + A^\top \mu - \nu)^\top v - b^\top \mu$  is the constant function with value  $-b^\top \mu$ , so indeed  $G(\mu, \nu)$  is defined as above.

# *Dual of a Linear Program*

Since  $G(\mu, \nu)$  is independent of  $\nu$ , we introduce the function  $\hat{G}$  of the single argument  $\mu$  given by

$$\hat{G}(\mu) = -b^\top \mu,$$

which is defined for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ .

# *Dual of a Linear Program*

Since  $G(\mu, \nu)$  is independent of  $\nu$ , we introduce the function  $\hat{G}$  of the single argument  $\mu$  given by

$$\hat{G}(\mu) = -b^\top \mu,$$

which is defined for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ .

Of course,  $\sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} \hat{G}(\mu)$  and  $\sup_{(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}_+^n} G(\mu, \nu)$  are generally different, but note that  $\hat{G}(\mu) = G(\mu, \nu)$  iff there is some  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  such that  $A^\top \mu - \nu + c = 0$  iff  $A^\top \mu + c \geq 0$ .

# Dual of a Linear Program

Since  $G(\mu, \nu)$  is independent of  $\nu$ , we introduce the function  $\hat{G}$  of the single argument  $\mu$  given by

$$\hat{G}(\mu) = -b^\top \mu,$$

which is defined for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ .

Of course,  $\sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} \hat{G}(\mu)$  and  $\sup_{(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}_+^n} G(\mu, \nu)$  are generally different, but note that  $\hat{G}(\mu) = G(\mu, \nu)$  iff there is some  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  such that  $A^\top \mu - \nu + c = 0$  iff  $A^\top \mu + c \geq 0$ . Therefore, finding  $\sup_{(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}_+^n} G(\mu, \nu)$  is equivalent to the *constrained* Problem ( $D_1$ )

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & -b^\top \mu \\ \text{subject to} & A^\top \mu \geq -c, \quad \mu \geq 0. \end{array}$$



## Hidden Constraints Within the Dual

In summary, the dual function  $G$  of a Primary Problem  $(P)$  often contains *hidden* inequality constraints that define its domain, and sometimes it is possible to make these domain constraints  $\psi_1(\mu) \leq 0, \dots, \psi_p(\mu) \leq 0$  explicit, to define a new function  $\hat{G}$  that depends only on  $q < m$  of the variables  $\mu_i$  and is defined for all values  $\mu_i \geq 0$  of these variables, and to replace the Maximization Problem  $(D)$ , find  $\sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} G(\mu)$ , by the constrained Problem  $(D_1)$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & \hat{G}(\mu) \\ \text{subject to} & \psi_i(\mu) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p. \end{array}$$

## Hidden Constraints Within the Dual

In summary, the dual function  $G$  of a Primary Problem  $(P)$  often contains *hidden* inequality constraints that define its domain, and sometimes it is possible to make these domain constraints  $\psi_1(\mu) \leq 0, \dots, \psi_p(\mu) \leq 0$  explicit, to define a new function  $\hat{G}$  that depends only on  $q < m$  of the variables  $\mu_i$  and is defined for all values  $\mu_i \geq 0$  of these variables, and to replace the Maximization Problem  $(D)$ , find  $\sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} G(\mu)$ , by the constrained Problem  $(D_1)$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & \hat{G}(\mu) \\ \text{subject to} & \psi_i(\mu) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p. \end{array}$$

Problem  $(D_1)$  is different from the Dual Program  $(D)$ , but it is *equivalent* to  $(D)$  as a maximization problem.