

# Introduction to the Theory of Computation

Jean Gallier

## Homework 5

March 28, 2014; Due April April 15, 2014

“A problems” are for practice only, and should not be turned in.

**Problem A1.** Prove that the context-free languages are closed under concatenation.

**Problem A2.** Given a homomorphism  $h: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$ , for any any context-free language  $L \subseteq \Delta^*$ , prove that  $h(L)$  is context-free.

“B problems” must be turned in.

**Problem B1 (50 pts).** Give context-free grammars for the languages

$$L_1 = \{xycy \mid x \neq y, x, y \in \{a, b\}^*\}$$
$$L_2 = \{xycy \mid x \neq y^R, x, y \in \{a, b\}^*\}.$$

**Problem B2 (40 pts).** Use the pumping lemma (or Ogden’s lemma) to show that the following languages are not context-free:

$$L_1 = \{a^m b^n c^p \mid 1 \leq m < n < p\}$$
$$L_2 = \{a^n b^n c^p \mid n, p \geq 1, p \neq n\}$$

*Hint.* For  $L_1$ , consider  $a^m b^n c^p$  with  $m$  large, and let the  $a$ ’s be distinguished. For  $L_2$ , let  $k$  be the integer of Ogden’s lemma. Let  $p = k!$ , and consider  $a^{2p} b^{2p} c^p$ , with the  $c$ ’s distinguished.

**Problem B3 (50 pts).** Given a context-free language  $L$  and a regular language  $R$ , prove that  $L \cap R$  is context-free.

**Do not** use PDA’s to solve this problem!

*Hint.* Without loss of generality, assume that  $L = L(G)$ , where  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  is in Chomsky normal form, and let  $R = L(D)$ , for some DFA  $D = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ . Use a kind of cross-product construction as sketched below. Construct a CFG  $G_2$  whose set of nonterminals is  $Q \times N \times Q \cup \{S_0\}$ , where  $S_0$  is a new nonterminal, and whose productions are of the form:

$$S_0 \rightarrow (q_0, S, f),$$

for every  $f \in F$ ;

$$(p, A, \delta(p, a)) \rightarrow a \quad \text{iff} \quad (A \rightarrow a) \in P,$$

for all  $a \in \Sigma$ , all  $A \in N$ , and all  $p \in Q$ ;

$$(p, A, s) \rightarrow (p, B, q)(q, C, s) \quad \text{iff} \quad (A \rightarrow BC) \in P,$$

for all  $p, q, s \in Q$  and all  $A, B, C \in N$ ;

$$S_0 \rightarrow \epsilon \quad \text{iff} \quad (S \rightarrow \epsilon) \in P \text{ and } q_0 \in F.$$

Prove that for all  $p, q \in Q$ , all  $A \in N$ , all  $w \in \Sigma^+$ , and all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(p, A, q) \xrightarrow[lm_{G_2}]{n} w \quad \text{iff} \quad A \xrightarrow[lm_G]{n} w \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^*(p, w) = q.$$

Conclude that  $L(G_2) = L \cap R$ .

**Problem B4 (60 pts).** Let  $L \subseteq \{a\}^*$  be a context-free language. Prove that  $L$  is actually a regular language. Proceed as follows. If  $L$  is finite, this is obvious, thus, assume that  $L$  is infinite. Let  $L = L(G)$ , for some CFG  $G$ .

(i) Let  $K > 1$  be the constant of the pumping lemma for  $G$ , and let  $r = K!$ . Prove the following fact: for every  $w \in L$ , if  $|w| \geq K$ , then

$$\{wa^{rn} \mid n \geq 0\} \subseteq L.$$

(ii) For every  $i$  such that  $0 \leq i < r$ , let

$$L_i = \{a^n \mid a^n \in L, n \geq K, n \equiv i \pmod{r}\}.$$

Clearly,

$$L = \{a^n \mid a^n \in L, n < K\} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{r-1} L_i.$$

If  $L_i \neq \emptyset$ , let  $z_i$  be the shortest string in  $L_i$ . Prove that

$$L_i = \{z_i a^{rm} \mid m \geq 0\}.$$

Conclude that  $L$  is regular.

(iii) Prove that it is decidable whether  $L_i = \emptyset$ .

(iv) Given a context-free language  $L$  over  $\{a, b\}$ , prove that it is decidable whether  $\{a\}^* \subseteq L$ .

**Problem B5 (40 pts).** (1) Given the alphabet  $\Sigma_2 = \{a, b, \bar{a}, \bar{b}\}$ , define the relation  $\simeq$  on  $\Sigma_2^*$  as follows: For all  $u, v \in \Sigma_2^*$ ,

$$u \simeq v \quad \text{iff} \quad \exists x, y \in \Sigma_2^*, \quad u = xa\bar{a}y, \quad v = xy \quad \text{or} \quad u = x\bar{b}b\bar{y}, \quad v = xy.$$

Let  $\simeq^*$  be the reflexive and transitive closure of  $\simeq$ , and let  $D_2 = \{w \in \Sigma_2^* \mid w \simeq^* \epsilon\}$ . Give a context-free grammar for  $D_2$ , and justify your answer.

*Note:* Strings such as  $a\bar{a}b\bar{b}$  and  $ab\bar{b}\bar{a}$  are in  $D_2$ .

(2) Given the alphabet  $\Sigma_m = \{a_1, \dots, a_m, \bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_m\}$ , define the relation  $\simeq$  on  $\Sigma_m^*$  as follows: For all  $u, v \in \Sigma_m^*$ ,

$$u \simeq v \quad \text{iff} \quad \exists x, y \in \Sigma_m^*, \quad u = xa_i\bar{a}_i y, \quad v = xy, \quad \text{for some } i, 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Let  $\simeq^*$  be the reflexive and transitive closure of  $\simeq$ , and let  $D_m = \{w \in \Sigma_m^* \mid w \simeq^* \epsilon\}$ . Give a context-free grammar for  $D_m$ , and justify your answer (very!) rigorously.

*Note:*  $D_m$  is known as the *Dyck set* on  $m$  letters.

**Problem B6 (30 pts).** Given any two alphabets  $\Sigma, \Delta$ , a *substitution* is a function  $\tau: \Sigma \rightarrow 2^{\Delta^*}$  assigning some language  $\tau(a) \subseteq \Delta^*$  to every symbol  $a \in \Sigma$ . A substitution  $\tau: \Sigma \rightarrow 2^{\Delta^*}$  is extended to a map  $\tau: 2^{\Sigma^*} \rightarrow 2^{\Delta^*}$  by first extending  $\tau$  to strings using the following definition

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\epsilon) &= \{\epsilon\}, \\ \tau(ua) &= \tau(u)\tau(a), \end{aligned}$$

where  $u \in \Sigma^*$  and  $a \in \Sigma$ , and then to languages by letting

$$\tau(L) = \bigcup_{w \in L} \tau(w),$$

for every language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ .

For example, let  $\tau: \Sigma \rightarrow 2^{\Sigma^*}$  be the substitution defined such that  $\tau(a) = \{\epsilon, a\}$  for every  $a \in \Sigma$ . Explain (in words) what  $\tau(L)$  is.

In general, prove that if  $L$  is a context-free language and if  $\tau(a)$  is a context-free language for every  $a \in \Sigma$ , then  $\tau(L)$  is also a context-free language.

**Problem B7 (60 pts).** Let  $h: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$  be a homomorphism, and let  $L \subseteq \Delta^*$ . Assume that  $\epsilon \notin L$ .

(i) Define  $\Omega, \Gamma \subseteq \Sigma$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \{a \in \Sigma \mid h(a) \neq \epsilon\}, \\ \Gamma &= \{a \in \Sigma \mid h(a) = \epsilon\} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\bar{\Omega}$  be the new set of symbols

$$\bar{\Omega} = \{\bar{a} \mid a \in \Omega\},$$

and let  $E$  be a new symbol.

Let  $\tau_1$  be the substitution on  $\Delta$  defined such that

$$\tau_1(a) = (\bar{\Omega} \cup \{E\})^* \{a\} (\bar{\Omega} \cup \{E\})^*,$$

for all  $a \in \Delta$ , and let

$$R = (\{\bar{a}h(a) \mid a \in \Omega\} \cup \{E\})^*$$

and

$$L_2 = \tau_1(L) \cap R.$$

Prove that

$$L_2 \cap (\bar{\Omega} \cup \{h(a) \mid a \in \Omega\})^* = \{\bar{a}_1h(a_1)\bar{a}_2h(a_2)\cdots\bar{a}_nh(a_n) \mid h(a_1a_2\cdots a_n) \in L\}.$$

(ii) Let  $g: (\Delta \cup \bar{\Omega} \cup \{E\})^* \rightarrow (\Sigma \cup \{E\})^*$  be the homomorphism defined such that

$$\begin{aligned} g(a) &= \epsilon & \text{if } a \in \Delta, \\ g(\bar{a}) &= a & \text{if } a \in \Omega, \\ g(E) &= E, \end{aligned}$$

let

$$L_3 = g(L_2),$$

and let  $\tau_2$  be the substitution on  $\Sigma \cup \{E\}$  defined such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2(a) &= \{a\} & \text{if } a \in \Sigma, \\ \tau_2(E) &= \Gamma^+. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that for every  $w \in L$ , if  $h^{-1}(w) \neq \emptyset$ , then there is some  $y \in L_3$  such that  $h(y) = w$ , and that every  $y \in L_3$  is in  $h^{-1}(L)$  after the occurrences of  $E$  have been erased.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a family of languages that is closed under substitution by regular languages, intersection with regular languages, and union with regular languages. Prove that for every  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ , if  $\epsilon \notin L$ , then

$$\tau_2(g(\tau_1(L) \cap R)) = h^{-1}(L).$$

Prove that if  $\epsilon \in L$ , then

$$h^{-1}(L) = \tau_2(g(\tau_1(L - \{\epsilon\}) \cap R)) \cup \Gamma^*.$$

Conclude that  $\mathcal{L}$  is closed under inverse homomorphisms.

(iii) Prove that if  $L$  is context-free, then so is

$$h^{-1}(L) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid h(w) \in L\}.$$

**Do not** give a proof based on PDA's!

**Extra Credit: 80 points.** Let  $\Sigma = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  be an alphabet, and assume that  $\Sigma$  is totally ordered in the following way:  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k$ . Recall that the *string embedding ordering* on  $\Sigma^*$  is the smallest partial order  $\ll$  satisfying the following two properties:

(1) (deletion property)  $uv \ll uav$ , for all  $u, v \in \Sigma^*$  and  $a \in \Sigma$ ;

(2) (monotonicity)  $uav \ll ubv$  whenever  $a < b$ , for all  $u, v \in \Sigma^*$  and  $a, b \in \Sigma$ .

We say that a language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is *closed under string embedding* if for all  $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ , if  $v \in L$  and  $u \ll v$ , then  $u \in L$ . Prove that if a language  $L$  is closed under string embedding, then it is regular.

**TOTAL: 330 + 80 points.**