

# Introduction to the Theory of Computation

## Jean Gallier

### Homework 3

February 20, 2014; Due March 6, 2014, *beginning of class*

“A problems” are for practice only, and should not be turned in.

**Problem A1.** Prove that every finite language is regular.

**Problem A2.** Sketch an algorithm for deciding whether two regular expressions  $R, S$  are equivalent (i.e, whether  $\mathcal{L}[R] = \mathcal{L}[S]$ ).

**Problem A3.** Given any language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ , let

$$L^R = \{w^R \mid w \in L\},$$

the *reversal language of  $L$*  (where  $w^R$  denotes the reversal of the string  $w$ ). Prove that if  $L$  is regular, then  $L^R$  is also regular.

“B problems” must be turned in.

**Problem B1 (60 pts).** Let  $D = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  be a deterministic finite automaton. Define the relations  $\approx$  and  $\sim$  on  $\Sigma^*$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x \approx y & \text{ if and only if, for all } p \in Q, \\ & \delta^*(p, x) \in F \text{ iff } \delta^*(p, y) \in F, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$x \sim y \text{ if and only if, for all } p \in Q, \quad \delta^*(p, x) = \delta^*(p, y).$$

(a) Show that  $\approx$  is a left-invariant equivalence relation and that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation that is both left and right invariant. (A relation  $R$  on  $\Sigma^*$  is *left invariant* iff  $uRv$  implies that  $wuRvw$  for all  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , and  $R$  is *right invariant* iff  $uRv$  implies that  $uwRvw$  for all  $w \in \Sigma^*$ .)

(b) Let  $n$  be the number of states in  $Q$  (the set of states of  $D$ ). Show that  $\approx$  has at most  $2^n$  equivalence classes and that  $\sim$  has at most  $n^n$  equivalence classes.

(c) Given any language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ , define the relations  $\lambda_L$  and  $\mu_L$  on  $\Sigma^*$  as follows:

$$u \lambda_L v \text{ iff, for all } z \in \Sigma^*, \quad zu \in L \text{ iff } zv \in L,$$

and

$$u \mu_L v \text{ iff, for all } x, y \in \Sigma^*, \quad xy \in L \text{ iff } xvy \in L.$$

Prove that  $\lambda_L$  is left-invariant, and that  $\mu_L$  is left and right-invariant. Prove that if  $L$  is regular, then both  $\lambda_L$  and  $\mu_L$  have a finite number of equivalence classes.

*Hint:* Show that the number of classes of  $\lambda_L$  is at most the number of classes of  $\approx$ , and that the number of classes of  $\mu_L$  is at most the number of classes of  $\sim$ .

**Problem B2 (80 pts).** Recall from class that given any DFA  $D = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ , a *congruence*  $\equiv$  on  $D$  is an equivalence relation  $\equiv$  on  $Q$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) If  $p \equiv q$ , then  $\delta(p, a) \equiv \delta(q, a)$ , for all  $p, q \in Q$  and all  $a \in \Sigma$ .
- (2) If  $p \equiv q$  and  $p \in F$ , then  $q \in F$ , for all  $p, q \in Q$ .

(a) Given a congruence  $\equiv$  on a DFA  $D$ , we define the *quotient DFA*  $D/\equiv$  as follows: denoting the equivalence class of a state  $p \in Q$  as  $[p]$ ,

$$D/\equiv = (Q/\equiv, \Sigma, \delta/\equiv, [q_0], F/\equiv),$$

where

$$\delta/\equiv ([p], a) = [\delta(p, a)].$$

Why is  $D/\equiv$  well defined? Prove that there is a surjective proper homomorphism  $\pi: D \rightarrow D/\equiv$ , and thus, that  $L(D) = L(D/\equiv)$  (you may use results from HW1).

(b) Given a DFA  $D$ , prove that the state equivalence relation  $\equiv_D$  is the coarsest congruence on  $D$  (this means that if  $\equiv$  is any congruence on  $D$ , then  $\equiv \subseteq \equiv_D$ ).

(c) Given two DFA's  $D_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_{0,1}, F_1)$  and  $D_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma, \delta_2, q_{0,2}, F_2)$ , with  $D_1$  trim, prove that the following properties hold.

- (1) There is a DFA morphism  $h: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  iff

$$\simeq_{D_1} \subseteq \simeq_{D_2}.$$

- (2) There is an  $F$ -map  $h: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  iff

$$\simeq_{D_1} \subseteq \simeq_{D_2} \quad \text{and} \quad L(D_1) \subseteq L(D_2);$$

- (3) There is a  $B$ -map  $h: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  iff

$$\simeq_{D_1} \subseteq \simeq_{D_2} \quad \text{and} \quad L(D_2) \subseteq L(D_1).$$

Conclude that if  $D_1, D_2$  are trim and  $L(D_1) = L(D_2)$ , then there is a unique surjective proper homomorphism  $h: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  iff

$$\simeq_{D_1} \subseteq \simeq_{D_2} .$$

(you may use results from HW1).

Prove that for any trim DFA  $D$ , there is a unique surjective proper homomorphism from  $D$  to any minimal DFA  $D_m$  accepting  $L = L(D)$

(d) Given a regular language  $L$ , prove that a minimal DFA  $D_m$  for  $L$  is characterized by the property that there is unique surjective proper homomorphism  $h: D \rightarrow D_m$  from any trim DFA  $D$  accepting  $L$  to  $D_m$ .

**Problem B3 (70 pts).** Let  $L$  be any regular language over some alphabet  $\Sigma$ . Define the languages

$$\begin{aligned} L^\infty &= \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \{w^k \mid w \in L\}, \\ L^{1/\infty} &= \{w \mid w^k \in L, \text{ for all } k \geq 1\}, \text{ and} \\ \sqrt{L} &= \{w \mid w^k \in L, \text{ for some } k \geq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, for any natural number  $k \geq 1$ , let

$$L^{(k)} = \{w^k \mid w \in L\},$$

and

$$L^{(1/k)} = \{w \mid w^k \in L\}.$$

(a) Prove that  $L^{(1/3)}$  is regular. What about  $L^{(3)}$ ?

(b) Let  $k \geq 1$  be any natural number. Prove that there are only finitely many languages of the form  $L^{(1/k)} = \{w \mid w^k \in L\}$  and that they are all regular. (In fact, if  $L$  is accepted by a DFA with  $n$  states, there are at most  $2^{n^k}$  languages of the form  $L^{(1/k)}$ ).

(c) Is  $L^{1/\infty}$  regular or not? Is  $\sqrt{L}$  regular or not? What about  $L^\infty$ ?

**Problem B4 (60 pts).** Which of the following languages are regular? Justify each answer.

- (a)  $L_1 = \{w c w \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$
- (b)  $L_2 = \{x y \mid x, y \in \{a, b\}^* \text{ and } |x| = |y|\}$
- (c)  $L_3 = \{a^n \mid n \text{ is a prime number}\}$
- (d)  $L_4 = \{a^m b^n \mid \gcd(m, n) = 17\}$ .

**Problem B5 (50 pts).** (a) Prove again that the intersection,  $L_1 \cap L_2$ , of two regular languages,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , is regular, **using the Myhill-Nerode characterization** of regular languages.

(b) Let  $h: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$  be a homomorphism, as defined on pages 24-26 of the slides on DFA's and NFA's. For any regular language,  $L' \subseteq \Delta^*$ , prove that  $h^{-1}(L')$  is regular, **using the Myhill-Nerode characterization** of regular languages. Prove that the number of states of any minimal DFA for  $h^{-1}(L')$  is at most the number of states of any minimal DFA for  $L'$ . Can it be strictly smaller?

**Problem B6 (60 pts).** The purpose of this problem is to get a fast algorithm for testing state equivalence in a DFA. Let  $D = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  be a deterministic finite automaton. Recall that *state equivalence* is the equivalence relation  $\equiv$  on  $Q$ , defined such that,

$$p \equiv q \quad \text{iff} \quad \forall z \in \Sigma^* (\delta^*(p, z) \in F \quad \text{iff} \quad \delta^*(q, z) \in F),$$

and that *i-equivalence* is the equivalence relation  $\equiv_i$  on  $Q$ , defined such that,

$$p \equiv_i q \quad \text{iff} \quad \forall z \in \Sigma^*, |z| \leq i (\delta^*(p, z) \in F \quad \text{iff} \quad \delta^*(q, z) \in F).$$

A relation  $S \subseteq Q \times Q$  is a *forward closure* iff it is an equivalence relation and whenever  $(p, q) \in S$ , then  $(\delta(p, a), \delta(q, a)) \in S$ , for all  $a \in \Sigma$ .

We say that a forward closure  $S$  is *good* iff whenever  $(p, q) \in S$ , then  $good(p, q)$ , where  $good(p, q)$  holds iff either both  $p, q \in F$ , or both  $p, q \notin F$ .

Given any relation  $R \subseteq Q \times Q$ , recall that the smallest equivalence relation  $R_{\approx}$  containing  $R$  is the relation  $(R \cup R^{-1})^*$  (where  $R^{-1} = \{(q, p) \mid (p, q) \in R\}$ , and  $(R \cup R^{-1})^*$  is the reflexive and transitive closure of  $(R \cup R^{-1})$ ). We define the sequence of relations  $R_i \subseteq Q \times Q$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R_0 &= R_{\approx} \\ R_{i+1} &= (R_i \cup \{(\delta(p, a), \delta(q, a)) \mid (p, q) \in R_i, a \in \Sigma\})_{\approx}. \end{aligned}$$

(i) Prove that  $R_{i_0+1} = R_{i_0}$  for some least  $i_0$ . Prove that  $R_{i_0}$  is the smallest forward closure containing  $R$ .

We denote the smallest forward closure  $R_{i_0}$  containing  $R$  as  $R^\dagger$ , and call it the *forward closure of  $R$* .

(ii) Prove that  $p \equiv q$  iff the forward closure  $R^\dagger$  of the relation  $R = \{(p, q)\}$  is good.

**TOTAL: 380 points.**