Advanced Programming Handout 9

Qualified Types (SOE Chapter 12)

Motivation

- What should the principal type of (+) be?
 Int -> Int -> Int -- too specific
 - ∎ a -> a -> a
- It seems like we need something "in between", that restricts "a" to be from the set of all number types, say Num = {Int, Integer, Float, Double, etc.}.

-- too general

- The type a -> a -> a is really shorthand for (∀ a) a
- is really shorthand for $(\forall a) a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$ **a** *Qualified types* generalize this by qualifying the type variable, as in $(\forall a \in Num) a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$, which in Haskell we write as $Num a = a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$

Type Classes

- "Num" in the previous example is called a *type class*, and should not be confused with a type constructor or a value constructor.
- "Num T" should be read "T is a member of (or an instance of) the type class Num".
- Haskell's type classes are one of its most innovative features.
- This capability is also called "overloading", because one function name is used for potentially very different purposes.
- There are many pre-defined type classes, but you can also define your own.



<text><code-block><code-block><list-item><code-block></code></code></code>

Equality, cont'd
 User-defined data types can also be made instances of Eq. For example: data free a = leaf a Branch (free a) (free a) leaf a Branch (free a) (free a) leaf a = leaf a 2 = a1 == a2 leaf a = franch 2; = li=12 & f = li=r2 e = a2" on the right-hand side correct? How do we know that equality is defined on the type "a"???

Equality, cont'd

- User-defined data types can also be made instances of Eq. For example:
- data Tree a = Leaf a | Branch (Free a) (Free a) instance E_0 a \Rightarrow) E_1 (Tree a) where Leaf ar \Rightarrow Leaf a 2 = al = a2 Branch 11 rl = Branch 12 r2 = 11=12 46 rl==r2 = = False = = False
- But something is strange here: is "a1 == a2" on the right-hand side correct? How do we know that equality is defined on the type "a"???
- Answer: Add a constraint that requires a to be an equality type.

Constraints / Contexts are Propagated

- Consider this function:
 x `elem` [] = False
 x `elem` (y:ys) = x==y II x `elem` ys
- Note the use of (==) on the right-hand side of the second equation. So the principal type for elem is: elem :: Eq a => a -> [a] -> Bool
- This is inferred automatically by Haskell, but, as always, it is recommended that you provide your own type signature for all functions.

Classes for Regions

- Useful slogan:
- "polymorphism captures similar structure over different values, while type classes capture similar operations over different structures."
- For a simple example, recall from Chapter 8: containsS :: Shape -> Point -> Bool containsR :: Region -> Point -> Bool
- These are similar ops over different structures. So: class PC t where contains :: t -> Point -> Bool
 - instance PC Shape where contains = containsS
 - instance PC Region where contains = containsR

 See the Numeric Class Hierarchy in the Haskell Report on the next slide.



Coercions
 Note this method in the class Num: fromInteger :: Num a => Integer -> a
Also, in the class Integral: toInteger :: Integral a => a -> Integer
 This explains the definition of intToFloat: intToFloat :: Int -> Float intToFloat n = fromInteger (toInteger n)
 These generic coercion functions avoid a quadratic blowup in the number of coercion functions.
 Also, every integer literal, say "42", is really shorthand for "fromInteger 42", thus allowing that number to be typed as <i>any</i> member of Num.



- Instances of the following type classes may be automatically *derived*:
 Eq, Ord, Enum, Bounded, Ix, Read, and Show
- This is done by adding a *deriving* clause to the data declaration. For example:
 data Tree a = Leaf a I Branch (Tree a) (Tree a) deriving (Show, Eq)
- This will automatically create an instance for Show (Tree a) as well as one for Eq (Tree a) that is precisely equivalent to the one we defined earlier.

Derived vs. User-Defined

Suppose we define an implementation of finite sets in terms of lists, like this:

data Set a = Set [a]

insert (Set s) x = Set (x:s)

member (Set s) x = elem x s

union (Set s) (Set t) = Set (s++t)

Derived vs. User-Defined

 We can automatically derive an equality function just by adding "deriving Eq" to the declaration.

> data Set a = Set [a] deriving Eq

insert (Set s) x = Set (x:s)

member (Set s) x = elem x s

union (Set s) (Set t) = Set (s++t)

But is this really what we want??

Derived vs. User-Defined

No!

■ E.g., (Set [1,2,3]) == (Set [1,1,2,2,3,3]) → False

A Better Way

data Set a = Set [a]

instance Eq a => Eq (Set a) where
s == t = subset s t && subset t s

subset (Set ss) t = all (member t) ss

Reasoning About Type Classes Most type classes implicitly carry a set of *laws*. For example, the Eq class is expected to obey: (a /= b) = not (a = b) (a = b) && (b = c) = (a = c) Similarly, for the Ord class: a <= a (a <= b) && (b (b < c)) = (a < c) (a /= b) && (b (b < c)) = (a < c) (a /= b) && (b (b < c)) = (a < b) (a /= b) &= (a < b) || (b < a) These laws capture the properties of an *equivalence class* and a *total order*, respectively.

 Unfortunately, there is nothing in Haskell that *enforces* the laws – its up to the programmer!