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## Handout 13

## Functional Parsers

## What is a Parser?

A parser is a program that analyzes a piece of text to determine its structure (and, typically, returns a tree representing this structure).

## The World is Full of Parsers!

Almost every real-life program involves some kind of parsing...

- Hugs and GHC parse Haskell programs
- Unix shells (bash, sh, etc.) parse shell scripts
- Explorer, Mozilla, etc., parse HTML
- Command-line utilities parse command lines
- etc., etc.


## Functional Parsers

In Haskell, a parser is naturally viewed as a function:
type Parser = String -> Tree

## Functional Parsers

However, a parser might not actually use up the whole string, so we also return the unused portion of the input:
type Parser = String -> (Tree,String)

## Functional Parsers

Also, a given string might be parseable in many ways (including zero!), so we generalize to a list of results:

```
type Parser = String -> [(Tree,String)]
```


## Functional Parsers

The result returned by a parser might not always be a tree, so we generalize once more to make the Parser type polymorphic:

```
type Parser a = String -> [(a,String)]
```


## Functional Parsers

Finally, for the sake of readability, let's change the type declaration into a newtype and add a constructor on the right-hand side. The convenience function parse takes a parser and applies it to a given string.

```
newtype Parser a = Parser (String -> [(a,String)])
parse
    :: Parser a -> String -> [(a,String)]
parse (Parser p) = p
```


## Primitive Parsers

## Parsing an Arbitrary Character

The parser item fails if the input is empty, and consumes the first character otherwise:

```
item :: Parser Char
item = Parser (\cs -> case cs of
    "" -> []
    (c:cs) -> [(c,cs)])
    parse item "hello"
# [('h',"ello")]
    parse item ""
# []
```


## Parsing Nothing (Successfully)

The parser return a always succeeds, returning the value a without consuming any input:

```
returnP :: a -> Parser a
returnP a = Parser (\cs -> [(a,cs)])
    parse (returnP 5) "hello"
=> [(5,"hello")]
```


## Putting Parsers in Sequence

p 'seqQ' $q$ is a parser that first applies $p$ and then applies $q$ to each result from $p$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { seqP :: Parser a -> (a -> Parser b) -> Parser b } \\
& \text { p 'seqP' } q= \\
& \text { Parser } \\
& \quad \text { (\cs -> concat [parse (q a) cs' } \\
& \quad \mid \quad\left(a, c s^{\prime}\right)<- \text { parse p cs]) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

```
parseTwo :: Parser (Char,Char)
parseTwo = item
    'seqP' \x -> item
    'seqP' \y -> return (x,y)
    parse parseTwo "hello"
# [(('h','e'),"llo")]
    parse parseTwo "h"
# []
```

Note that, if any parser in a sequence fails, then the whole sequence fails.

Parsers Are a Monad

## The Parser Monad

The definitions of returnP and seqP have the right types (and obey the required laws) to make Parser into a monad.
instance Monad Parser where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { return }=\text { returnP } \\
& (\gg=)=\text { seqP }
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Parser Monad

Having made this instance declaration, we can use do syntax to simplify the presentation of the parseTwo function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { parseTwo2 : : Parser (Char, Char) } \\
& \text { parseTwo2 }= \text { do } \mathrm{x}<- \text { item } \\
& \mathrm{y}<- \text { item } \\
& \text { return }(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

## More Primitives

## Parsing Nothing (Unsuccessfully)

The parser zeroP always fails:

```
zeroP :: Parser a
zeroP = Parser (\cs -> [])
```


## Parsing a Character If It Satisfies Some Test

The parser sat $p$ behaves like item if the first character on the input string satisfies the predicate $p$; otherwise it fails.

```
sat :: (Char -> Bool) -> Parser Char
sat p = do c <- item
                        if p c then return c else zeroP
    parse (sat (=='h')) "hello"
# [('h',"ello")]
    parse (sat (=='x')) "hello"
|[]
```


## Examples

```
char :: Char -> Parser Char
char c = sat (c ==)
alphachar :: Parser Char
alphachar = sat isAlpha
numchar :: Parser Char
numchar = sat isDigit
digit :: Parser Int
digit = do {c <- numchar; return (ord c - ord '0')}
```

(isAlpha and isDigit come from the Char module in the standard library.)

## Nondeterministic Choice

p 'chooseP' q yields all the results of applying either p or q to the whole input string.
chooseP :: Parser a -> Parser a -> Parser a
p 'chooseP' q = Parser
(\cs -> parse p cs ++ parse q cs)
alphanum :: Parser Char
alphanum = alphachar 'chooseP' numchar

## Another Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{p}= & \text { do }\{\mathrm{x}<- \text { item; return ("Got "++[x])\}} \\
& \text { 'chooseP' } \\
& \text { do }\{\mathrm{x}<- \text { item; return ("Parsed "++[x]) \} } \\
& \text { parse p "xyz" } \\
\Rightarrow & {[(" G o t ~ x ", " y z "),(\text { "Parsed } x ", " y z ")] }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Yet Another Example

This parser yields a function:

```
addop : : Parser (Int -> Int -> Int)
addop \(=\) do \(\{\) char ' + '; return (+) \}
                'chooseP'
        do \{char '-'; return (-)\}
```

For example:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { calc = do } x \text { <- digit; op <- addop; y <- digit } \\
\text { return (x 'op' y) }
\end{gathered}
$$

parse calc "1+2"
$\Rightarrow\left[\left(3, "{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]$

## Recursive Parsers

## Regognizing a String

string $s$ is a parser that recognizes (and returns) exactly the string s :

```
string :: String -> Parser String
string "" = return ""
string (c:cs) = do {char c; string cs; return (c:cs)}
```


## Parsing a Sequence

```
many :: Parser a -> Parser [a]
many p = many1 p 'chooseP' return []
many1 :: Parser a -> Parser [a]
many1 p = do {a <- p; as <- many p; return (a:as)}
    parse (many numchar) "123ab"
=> [("123", "ab"), ("12","3ab"),("1","23ab"), ("", "123ab")]
```


## A Parser for Arithmetic Expressions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { calc1 }=\text { do } \mathrm{x}<- \text { digit } \\
\text { op <- addop } \\
\mathrm{y}<- \text { calc1 } \\
\text { return (x 'op' y) } \\
\text { 'chooseP' } \\
\text { digit } \\
\text { parse calc1 " } 3+4-1 " \\
\Rightarrow[(6, " "),(7, "-1 "),(3, "+4-1 ")]
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that, for simplicity, we're taking + and - to be right-associative for the moment.
Query: What happens if we exchange the arguments to chooseP?

## A Complete Parser

## Multiplication-like Operators

As before...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { mulop : : Parser (Int -> Int -> Int) } \\
& \text { mulop = } \text { do \{char '*'; return (*)\} } \\
& \text { 'chooseP' } \\
& \text { do \{char '/'; return (div)\} }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Complete Parser

```
expr = do x <- term; op <- addop; y <- expr
    return (x 'op' y)
    'chooseP'
    term
term = do x <- factor; op <- mulop; y <- term
    return (x 'op' y)
    'chooseP'
    factor
factor = digit
    'chooseP'
    do {char '('; n <- expr; char ')'; return n}
    parse expr "(3+4)*5"
=>[(35,""),(7,"*5")]
```


## A Little More Abstraction

Note the similarity in the definitions of expr and term.

```
expr = do x <- term; op <- addop; y <- expr
    return (x 'op' y)
        'chooseP'
        term
term = do x <- factor; op <- mulop; y <- term
    return (x 'op' y)
    'chooseP'
    factor
```

Can we express them both as instances of a common abstraction?

## A Little More Abstraction

The parser chainl p op consumes a non-empty sequence of ps from the front of the input and combines them together (in the style of foldl) using op.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { chainl1 :: Parser a -> Parser (a -> a -> a) } \\
& \text {-> Parser a } \\
& \text { p 'chainl1' op = } \\
& \text { do \{a <- p; rest a\} } \\
& \text { where } \\
& \text { rest } \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{do}\{\mathrm{f}<-\mathrm{op} ; \mathrm{b}<-\mathrm{p} \text {; rest (f a b)\} } \\
& \text { 'chooseP' return a }
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar chaining function also works for empty sequences:

```
chainl :: Parser a -> Parser (a -> a -> a) -> a
```

-> Parser a
chainl p op a =
( p 'chainl1' op) 'chooseP' return a

## A Better Arithmetic Expression Parser

```
expr2,term2,factor2 :: Parser Int
expr2 = term2 'chainl1' addop
term2 = factor2 'chainl1' mulop
factor2 = digit
    'chooseP'
    do {char '('; n <- expr2; char ')'; return n}
```


## A Better Arithmetic Expression Parser

As a side-benefit, our new expression parser also makes subtraction and division (and addition and multiplication) left-associative:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { parse expr "9-3-2" } \\
\Rightarrow & {[(8, " "),(6, "-2 "),(9, "-3-2 ")] } \\
& \text { parse expr2 "9-3-2" } \\
\Rightarrow & {[(4, " "),(6, "-2 "),(9, "-3-2 ")] }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Efficiency

## Deterministic Choice

Usually, we are interested in getting just one parse of the input string, not all possible parses.
The parser $p+++q$ yields just the first result from by $p$, if any, and otherwise the first result from $q$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
(+++):: \text { Parser a -> Parser a -> Parser a } \\
\mathrm{p}+++\mathrm{q}=\text { Parser (\cs } \rightarrow \text { case parse (p 'chooseP' q) cs of } \\
{[] \quad->[]} \\
(\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{xs})->[\mathrm{x}])
\end{gathered}
$$

## More Efficient Sequencing

We can now redefine many in terms of +++.

```
many :: Parser a -> Parser [a]
many p = many1 p +++ return []
many1 :: Parser a -> Parser [a]
many1 p = do {a <- p; as <- many p; return (a:as)}
```

This change ensures that many always returns exactly one result.

## More Efficient Chaining

Similarly, we can redefine chainl and chainl1 in terms of +++. chainl1 :: Parser a -> Parser (a -> a -> a)
-> Parser a
p 'chainl1' op =
do \{a <- p; rest a\}
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { rest } \mathrm{a}= & \text { do }\{\mathrm{f}<-\mathrm{op} ; \mathrm{b}<-\mathrm{p} ; \text { rest (f a b)\} } \\
& +++ \text { return } \mathrm{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

chainl :: Parser a -> Parser (a -> a -> a) -> a -> Parser a
chainl p op a =
(p 'chainl1' op) +++ return a

## Wrap Up

## More on Functional Parsing

Parsing technology is a large and complex research area, extending back to the 1950s and still continuing today. (E.g., see many recent papers on "Generalized LR parsing," "packrat parsing", etc.)
Functional parsing is also an active research topic, whose surface we have just scratched here.

- further efficiency improvements
- error reporting and correction
- infix operator precedence
- support for "almost deterministic" grammars


## The MonadPlus Class

MonadPlus is an extension of the Monad class that adds a couple of extra operations. It is not as critical as Monad, but there are some library functions that rely on MonadPlus for a few useful things.

```
class Monad m => MonadPlus m where
    mzero :: m a
    mplus :: m a -> m a -> m a
```

Parsers are an instance of MonadPlus: instance MonadPlus Parser where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { mzero }=\text { zeroP } \\
& \text { mplus }=\text { chooseP }
\end{aligned}
$$

