

## Advanced Programming Handout 12

Higher-Order Types  
(SOE Chapter 18)

### The Type of a Type

- In previous chapters we discussed:
  - Monomorphic types such as `Int`, `Bool`, etc.
  - Polymorphic types such as `[a]`, `Tree a`, etc.
  - Monomorphic instances of polymorphic types such as `[Int]`, `Tree Bool`, etc.
- `Int`, `Bool`, etc. are *nullary type constructors*, whereas `[]`, `Tree`, etc. are *unary type constructors*. `FiniteMap` is a *binary type constructor*.
- The "type of a type" is called a *kind*. The kind of all monomorphic types is written `*`:  
`Int, Bool, [Int], Tree Bool :: *`
- Therefore the type of unary type constructors is:  
`[], Tree :: * -> *`
- These "higher-order types" can be used in useful ways, especially when used with type classes.

### The Functor Class

- The Functor class demonstrates the use of high-order types:

```
class Functor f where
  fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
```
- Note that `f` is applied here to one (type) argument, so should have kind `* -> *`.
- For example:

```
instance Functor Tree where
  fmap f (Leaf x)      = Leaf (f x)
  fmap f (Branch t1 t2) = Branch (fmap f t1) (fmap f t2)
```
- Or, using the function `mapTree` previously defined:

```
instance Functor Tree where
  fmap = mapTree
```
- Exercise: Write the instance declaration for `lists`.

### The Monad Class

- Monads* are perhaps the most famous (infamous?) feature in Haskell.
- They are captured in a type class:

```
class Monad m where
  (>>=) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b -- "bind"
  (>>)  :: m a -> m b -> m b        -- "sequence"
  return :: a -> m a
  fail   :: String -> m a

-- default implementations:
m >> k      = m >>= (\_ -> k)
fail s     = error s
```
- The key operations are `(>>=)` and `return`.

### Syntactic Mystery Unveiled

- The "do" syntax in Haskell is shorthand for Monad operations, as captured by these rules:

```
do e -> e
do e1; e2; ...; en -> e1 >> do e2; ...; en
do pat <- e1; e2; ...; en ->
  let ok pat = do e2; ...; en
  in e1 >>= ok
do let declist; e2; ...; en ->
  let declist in do e2; ...; en
```
- Note special case of rule 3:  
3a. `do x <- e1; e2; ...; en -> e1 >>= \x -> do e2; ...; en`

### Example Involving IO

- "do" syntax can be completely eliminated using these rules:

```
do putStrLn "Hello"
  c <- getChar
  return c
-> putStrLn "Hello" >> -- by rule (2)
  do c <- getChar
  return c
-> putStrLn "Hello" >> -- by rule (3a)
  getChar >>= \c ->
  do return c
-> putStrLn "Hello" >> -- by rule (1)
  getChar >>= \c ->
  return c
-> putStrLn "Hello" >> -- by currying
  getChar >>=
  return
```

## Functor and Monad Laws

- Functor laws:

```
fmap id = id
fmap (f . g) = fmap f . fmap g
```

- Monad laws:

```
return a >>= k = k a
m >>= return = m
m >>= (\x -> k x >>= h) = (m >>= k) >>= h
```

Note special case of last law:

```
m1 >> (m2 >> m3) = (m1 >> m2) >> m3
```

- Connecting law:

```
fmap f xs = xs >>= (return . f)
```

## Monad Laws Expressed using “do” Syntax

```
do x <- return a ; k x = k a
do x <- m ; return x = m
do x <- m ; y <- k x ; h y = do y <- (do x <- m ; k x) ; h y
do m1 ; m2 ; m3 = do (do m1 ; m2) ; m3
fmap f xs = do x <- xs ; return (f x)
```

- For example, using the second rule above, the example given earlier can be simplified to just:

```
do putStr "Hello"
   getChar
```

or, after desugaring: `putStr "Hello" >> getChar`

## The Maybe Monad

- Recall the Maybe data type:

```
data Maybe a = Just a
              | Nothing
```

- It is both a Functor and a Monad:

```
instance Monad Maybe where
  Just x >>= k = k x
  Nothing >>= k = Nothing
  return x = Just x
  fail s = Nothing

instance Functor Maybe where
  fmap f Nothing = Nothing
  fmap f (Just x) = Just (f x)
```

- These instances are indeed “law abiding”.

## Using the Maybe Monad

- Consider the expression “`g (f x)`”. Suppose that both `f` and `g` could return errors that are encoded as “Nothing”. We might do:

```
case f x of
  Nothing -> Nothing
  Just y -> case g y of
    Nothing -> Nothing
    Just z -> ...proper result using z...
```

- But since Maybe is a Monad, we could instead do:

```
do y <- f x
   z <- g y
   return ...proper result using z...
```

## Simplifying Further

- Note that the last expression can be desugared and simplified as follows:

```
f x >>= \y -> f x >>= \y ->
g y >>= \z -> g y >>= return
return z
```

→ `f x >>= \y -> f x >>= g`

- So we started with `g (f x)` and ended with `f x >>= g`.

## The List Monad

- The List data type is also a Monad:

```
instance Monad [] where
  m >>= k = concat (map k m)
  return x = [x]
  fail x = []
```

- For example:

```
do x <- [1,2,3]
   y <- [4,5]
   return (x,y)
```

→ `[(1,4), (1,5), (2,4), (2,5), (3,4), (3,5)]`

- Note that this is the same as:

```
[(x,y) | x <- [1,2,3], y <- [4,5]]
```

Indeed, list comprehension syntax is an alternative to `do` syntax, for the special case of lists.

## Useful Monad Operations

```
sequence :: Monad m => [m a] -> m [a]
sequence = foldr mcons (return [])
  where mcons p q = do x <- p
                    xs <- q
                    return (x:xs)

sequence_ :: Monad m => [m a] -> m ()
sequence_ = foldr (>>) (return ())

mapM :: Monad m => (a -> m b) -> [a] -> m [b]
mapM f as = sequence (map f as)

mapM_ :: Monad m => (a -> m b) -> [a] -> m ()
mapM_ f as = sequence_ (map f as)

(=<< :: Monad m => (a -> m b) -> m a -> m b
f =<< x = x >>= f
```

## State Monads

- State monads are perhaps the most common kind of monad: they involve updating and threading *state* through a computation. Abstractly:

```
data SM a = SM (State -> (State, a))

instance Monad SM where
  return a = SM $ \s -> (s,a)
  SM sm0 >>= fml = SM $ \s0 ->
    let (s1,a1) = sm0 s0
        SM sm1 = fml a1
    in (s2,a2) = sm1 s1
```

- Haskell's *IO monad* is a state monad, where *State* corresponds to the "state of the world".
- But state monads are also commonly user defined. (For example, tree labeling – *see text*.)

## IO is a State Monad

- Suppose we have these operations that implement an association list:

```
lookup :: a -> [(a,b)] -> Maybe b
update :: a -> b -> [(a,b)] -> [(a,b)]
exists :: a [(a,b)] -> Bool
```

- A file system is just an association list mapping file names (strings) to file contents (strings):

```
type State = [(String, String)]
```

- Then an extremely simplified IO monad is:

```
data IO a = IO (State -> (State, a))
```

whose instance in *Monad* is exactly as on the preceding slide, replacing "SM" with "IO".

## State Monad Operations

- All that remains is defining the domain-specific operations, such as:

```
readFile :: String -> IO (Maybe String)
readFile s = IO (\fs -> (fs, lookup s fs) )

writeFile :: String -> String -> IO ()
writeFile s c = IO (\fs -> (update s c fs, ()))

fileExists :: String -> IO Bool
fileExists s = IO (\fs -> (fs, exists s fs) )
```

- Variations include generating an error when `readFile` fails instead of using the *Maybe* type, etc.

## Polymorphic State Monad

- The state monad can be made polymorphic in the state, in the following way:

```
data SM s a = SM (s -> (s, a))

instance Monad (SM s) where
  return a = SM $ \s -> (s,a)
  SM sm0 >>= fml = SM $ \s0 ->
    let (s1,a1) = sm0 s0
        SM sm1 = fml a1
    in (s2,a2) = sm1 s1
```

- Note the partial application of the type constructor SM in the instance declaration. This works because SM has kind `* -> * -> *`, so "SM s" has kind `* -> *`.