

Computational Game Theory (CIS 620/OPTM 952)
A Sampling Argument for Approximate NE
M. Kearns Jan 21, 2002

~~_____~~

Setting: 2-player, m -action games

Approach:

- First show that there always \exists approx. NE (P, Q) s.t. P & Q have small support
- Then search small-support P & Q "exhaustively"
- Result will be a "pseudopolynomial" algorithm for computing approx. NE

~~_____~~

Defn. A distribution P , $\text{support}(P) = \{i: P_i > 0\}$

Note that if (P, Q) is a NE, then

- $i \in \text{support}(P) \Rightarrow i$ is a best response to Q
- $j \in \text{support}(Q) \Rightarrow j$ is a b.r. to P

So let (P, Q) be a NE in which the exp. payoffs are (U, V) ($U, V \in \mathbb{R}$).

Suppose we sample P & Q t times each, and create empirical (sampled) distributions (P', Q') .

Some notation:

- for any i , let

$$M_1(i, Q) \triangleq E_{j \sim Q} [M_1(i, j)]$$

- for any j , let

$$M_2(P, j) \triangleq E_{i \sim P} [M_2(i, j)]$$

- $M(P, Q) \triangleq E_{i \sim P, j \sim Q} [M(i, j)]$

Now \forall fixed i , if sample size t is large enough, $M_1(i, Q^t) \approx M_1(i, Q)$.

Why? The return that i gets in a single round against Q is a random variable with mean $M_1(i, Q)$.

$M_1(i, Q^t)$ is the average of this r.v.

over t trials. So certainly

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_1(i, Q^t) = M_1(i, Q)$$

But we need something stronger.

Claim $\forall \epsilon > 0$:

$$P_t [|M_1(i, Q^t) - M_1(i, Q)| \geq \epsilon] \leq e^{-\epsilon^2 t / 3}$$

\hookrightarrow This prob. over the t draws of Q^t .

(assumes $|M_1(i, j)| \leq 1$, but generalizes)

Large Deviation Bound

This was for a single, fixed i . But

by $\Pr[A \text{ or } B] \leq \Pr[A] + \Pr[B]$ (Union Bound)

we have:

$$\Pr [|M_1(i, Q') - M_1(i, Q)| \geq \epsilon \text{ for some } i] \leq m e^{-\epsilon^2 t / 3}$$

\hookrightarrow again, over t samples of Q'

and also

$$\Pr [|M_2(P', j) - M_2(P, j)| \geq \epsilon \text{ for some } j] \leq m e^{-\epsilon^2 t / 3}$$

\hookrightarrow draw of P'

we'll
come back
to this...

$$\rightarrow 2m e^{-\epsilon^2 t / 3}$$

Note:

$$\forall i \ |M_1(i, Q') - M_1(i, Q)| \leq \epsilon \Rightarrow \forall P'' \ |M_1(P'', Q') - M_1(P'', Q)| \leq \epsilon$$

$$\forall j \ |M_2(P', j) - M_2(P, j)| \leq \epsilon \Rightarrow \forall Q'' \ |M_2(P', Q'') - M_2(P, Q'')| \leq \epsilon$$

So against P' , can't get better than $V + \epsilon$
against Q' , can't get better than $U + \epsilon$

Furthermore, since any $i \in \text{support}(P)$ gets at least $U - \epsilon$ against Q' , P' gets at least $U - \epsilon$ against Q' (and Q' gets at least $V - \epsilon$ against P').

Thus: (P', Q') is a 2ϵ -NE
(and has supports of size "only" t).

Now: to make sure such (P', Q') really exist, just need $2me^{-\epsilon^2 t/3} < 1$, e.g.:

$$2me^{-\epsilon^2 t/3} < \frac{1}{2}$$

$$me^{-\epsilon^2 t/3} < \frac{1}{4m}$$

$$-\epsilon^2 t/3 < \log(1/4m)$$

$$\epsilon^2 t/3 > \log(4m)$$

$$t > \frac{3}{\epsilon^2} \log(4m) \ll m$$

So if t is at least this large, we have a 50% chance of sampling (P', Q') that are 2ϵ -NE \Rightarrow must exist such a (P', Q') !

The Probabilistic Method

OK... but how do we find (P', Q') ?
Remember, we don't know (P, Q) to begin!

Ugly but effective: discretized "exhaustive search"

We will search over all possible support sets of size t . This gives

$$\binom{m}{t} \times \binom{m}{t} = m^{2t} \text{ choices.}$$

For each such support set, we will discretize the possible distributions - only considering probabilities that are multiples of some small value Δ . For any fixed support sets, the # of such distributions is

$$\underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right) \times \dots \times \left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)}_{t \text{ times}} = \left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)^t$$

or $\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)^{2t}$ for the pair of distributions.

Now claim that if a t -support set has a 2ε -NE, then we will find a

$(2\varepsilon + 2t\Delta)$ -NE among the Δ -discretized distributions

So if we want to end up with an ε' -NE,

Solve $2\varepsilon + 2t\Delta \leq \varepsilon'$

e.g. $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'/4$ $\Delta = \frac{\varepsilon'}{4t}$ works.

Total computation time goes like:

$$m^{2t} \times \left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)^{2t} = \left(\frac{m}{\Delta}\right)^{2t}$$

$$= \left(\frac{4tm}{\varepsilon'}\right)^{2t}$$

$$= O\left(\left(\frac{1}{(\varepsilon')^3} m \log(m)\right)^{\frac{48}{(\varepsilon')^2} \log(4m)}\right)$$

Upshot: for fixed ε' ,

can compute ε' -NE in time

$$O\left(\underbrace{(m \log(m))^{c \cdot \log(m)}}\right)$$

subexponential in m ...

(But there must be a better idea...)