CIS 371 Computer Organization and Design



CIS 371 (Martin/Roth): Reliability

DRAM Error Detection

- Idea: add extra state to DRAM to detect a bit flip
- Parity: simplest scheme
 - One extra bit, detects any single bit flip
 - Parity bit = XOR(data_{N-1}, ..., data₁, data₀)
- Example:
 - 010101 0^1^0^1^0^1 = "1" so parity is "odd" (versus "even")
 - So, store "010101 1" in memory
 - When you read the data, and re-calculate the parity, say
 - + 011101 1, if the parity bit doesn't match, error detected
- Multiple bit errors? more redundancy can detect more

3

1

Reliability of Logic and Memory

- As transistors get smaller, they are less reliable
 Wasn't a problem a few years ago, becoming a big problem
 - Small transistors means fewer electrons represent 1 or 0

• Transient faults

- A bit "flips" randomly, temporarily
- Cosmic rays and such (more common at higher altitudes!)
- Memory cells (especially memory) vulnerable today, logic soon

• Permanent (hard) faults

- A gate or memory cell wears out, breaks and stays broken
- Temperature & electromigration gradually deform components
- Solution for both: use **redundancy** to detect and tolerate CIS 371 (Martin/Roth): Reliability 2

DRAM Error Detection

- What to do on a parity error?
- Crash
 - Dead programs tell no lies
 - Fail-stop is better than silent data corruption
 - Avoiding writing that "\$1m check"
- For user-level data, OS can kill just the program
 - Not the whole system, unless it was OS data
- Alternative: correct the error

SEC Error Correction Code (ECC)

- **SEC**: single-error correct (a hamming code)
- Example: Four data bits, three "code" bits
 - $d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4 c_1 c_2 c_3 \rightarrow c_1 c_2 d_1 c_3 d_2 d_3 d_4$
 - $c_1 = d_1 \wedge d_2 \wedge d_4$, $c_2 = d_1 \wedge d_3 \wedge d_4$, $c_3 = d_2 \wedge d_3 \wedge d_4$
 - Syndrome: $c_i \wedge c'_i = 0$? no error
 - Otherwise, then $c_3' c_2'$, c_1' points to flipped-bit
- Working example
 - Original data = $0110 \rightarrow c_1 = 1, c_2 = 1, c_3 = 0$
 - Flip $d_2 = 0010 \rightarrow c'_1 = 0, c'_2 = 1, c'_3 = 1$ • Syndrome = 101 (binary 5) \rightarrow 5th bit? D₂
 - Flip $c_2 \rightarrow c'_1 = 1, c'_2 = 0, c'_3 = 0$ • Syndrome = 010 (binary 2) \rightarrow 2nd bit? c₂

CIS 371 (Martin/Roth): Reliability

5

SECDED Error Correction Code (ECC)

- SECDED: single error correct, double error detect
- Example: $D = 4 \rightarrow C = 4$
 - $d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4 C_1 C_2 C_3 \rightarrow C_1 C_2 d_1 C_3 d_2 d_3 d_4 C_4$
 - $c_4 = c_1 \wedge c_2 \wedge d_1 \wedge c_3 \wedge d_2 \wedge d_3 \wedge d_4$
 - Syndrome == 0 and $c'_4 == c_4 \rightarrow$ no error
 - Syndrome != 0 and $c'_4 != c_4 \rightarrow 1$ -bit error
 - Syndrome != 0 and $c'_4 == c_4 \rightarrow 2$ -bit error
 - Syndrome == 0 and $c'_4 != c_4 \rightarrow c_4$ error
 - In general: C = log₂D + 2
- Many machines today use 64-bit SECDED code
 - C = 8 (64bits + 8bits = 72bits, 12% overhead)
 - ChipKill correct any aligned 4-bit error
 - If an entire DRAM chips dies, the system still works!

CIS 371 (Martin/Roth): Reliability

6

Disk Reliability: RAID

- Error correction: more important for disk than for memory
 - Error correction/detection per block (handled by disk hardware)
 - Mechanical disk failures (entire disk lost) most common failure mode
 - Many disks means high failure rates
 - Entire file system can be lost
- RAID (redundant array of inexpensive disks)
 - Add redundancy
 - Similar to DRAM error correction, but...
 - Major difference: which disk failed is known
 - Even parity can be used to recover from single failures
 - Parity disk can be used to reconstruct data faulty disk
 - RAID design balances bandwidth and fault-tolerance
 - Implemented in hardware (faster, more expensive) or software

CIS 371 (Martin/Roth): Reliability

Simple RAIDs

- Simplest: mirroring
 - N disks (for example, 2 disks)
 - Write all disks
 - Read any one disk

Bit-level parity

- dedicated parity disk
- N+1 disks, calculate parity (write all, read all)
- Good sequential read/write bandwidth, poor random accesses
- If N=8, only 13% overhead

© 2003 Elsevier Science

. . .

8

0 2 P0 1 3 7 P1 4 5 6 8 11 P2 9 10 12 13 14 15 P3 16 17 19 P4 18 21 20 22 23 P5

. . .

RAID with Block-level Parity

. Read 2. Read 3. Read • **RAID** with block parity ^{D0} D0 D1 D2 D3 Р • Reads: only data you need Writes: require reads, + XOR calculate parity, write data & parity D0 D2 D3 Р Naïve approach to writes D1 • 1. Read all disks 4. Write 5. Write New data 1. Read 2. Read 2. Calculate parity D0 D0 D1 D2 D3 Р 3. Write data & parity disks Better approach to writes XOR ٠ + +) XOR • Read *old* data & parity Calculate parity • Write new data & parity D3 Ρ DO D1 D2 Writes are 4 disk accesses • 3. Write 4. Write CIS 371 (Martin/Roth): Reliability 9 © 2003 Elsevier Science

RAID with Block-level Parity

• Rotates the parity disk, avoid single-disk bottleneck



Aside: Storage Backup

• Data is more valuable than hardware!

• Almost always true

• Protecting data - three aspects

- User error accidental deletion
 - Aside: ".snapshot" on enaic-l/halfdome filesystem
- Disk failure mechanical, wears out over time
 - Disk arrays (RAID) works well
- Disaster recovery An entire site is disabled

• Two approaches:

- Frequent tape backups, taken off site (most common today)
- Handle each problem distinctly
 - File system, redundant disks, network-based remote backup