Answering Numeric Queries

The Laplace Distribution:

Lap(b) is the probability distribution with p.d.f.:

$$p(x \mid b) = \frac{1}{2b} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|}{b}\right)$$

i.e. a symmetric exponential distribution $Y \sim \text{Lap}(b), \quad E[|Y|] = b$ $\Pr[|Y| \ge t \cdot b] = e^{-t}$



Laplace $(D, Q: \mathbb{N}^{|X|} \to \mathbb{R}^k, \epsilon)$: 1. Let $\Delta = GS(Q)$.

2. For
$$i = 1$$
 to k : Let $Y_i \sim \text{Lap}(\frac{\Delta}{\epsilon})$.

3. Output
$$Q(D) + (Y_1, ..., Y_k)$$

Independently perturb each coordinate of the output with Laplace noise scaled to the sensitivity of the function.

Idea: This should be enough noise to hide the contribution of any single individual, no matter what the database was.

- Laplace $(D, Q: \mathbb{N}^{|X|} \to \mathbb{R}^k, \epsilon)$: 1. Let $\Delta = GS(Q)$.
- 2. For i = 1 to k: Let $Y_i \sim \text{Lap}(\frac{\Delta}{\epsilon})$.
- 3. Output $Q(D) + (Y_1, ..., Y_k)$



Theorem: The Laplace mechanism is $(\epsilon, 0)$ -differentially private. **Proof**:

Consider any pair of databases D, D' with $||D - D'||_1 \leq 1$

Consider any event $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$

 $\frac{\Pr[\text{Laplace}(D, Q, \epsilon) \in S]}{\Pr[\text{Laplace}(D', Q, \epsilon) \in S]} = \frac{\int_{x \in S} \Pr[\text{Laplace}(D, Q, \epsilon) = x]}{\int_{x \in S} \Pr[\text{Laplace}(D', Q, \epsilon) = x]}$ $\leq \max_{x \in S} \frac{\Pr[\text{Laplace}(D, Q, \epsilon) = x]}{\Pr[\text{Laplace}(D', Q, \epsilon) = x]}$

Theorem: The Laplace mechanism is $(\epsilon, 0)$ -differentially private.

Proof: Let
$$y = \text{Laplace}(D, Q, \epsilon), y' = \text{Laplace}(D', Q, \epsilon)$$

$$\frac{\Pr[y = x]}{\Pr[y' = x]} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\Pr[y_i = x_i]}{\Pr[y'_i = x_i]} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\Pr[Q(D)_i + Y_i = x_i]}{\Pr[Q(D')_i + Y_i = x_i]}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\Pr[Y_i = x_i - Q(D)_i]}{\Pr[Y_i = x_i - Q(D')_i]} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\exp(-\epsilon \frac{|x_i - Q(D)_i|}{\Delta})}{\exp(-\epsilon \frac{|x_i - Q(D')_i|}{\Delta})}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{k} \exp\left(\epsilon \frac{|x_i - Q(D')_i| - |x_i - Q(D)_i|}{\Delta}\right) \le \prod_{i=1}^{k} \exp\left(\epsilon \frac{|Q(D)_i - Q(D')_i|}{\Delta}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\Delta}\sum_{i=1}^{k} |Q(D)_i - Q(D')_i|\right) \le \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\Delta}\Delta\right) = \exp(\epsilon).$$

Take away message:

- 1) Low sensitivity queries can be answered with very little noise! $E\left[Lap\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\right] = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$
- 2) Comparison with lower bound for blatant non-privacy: A subset-sum query $Q: \{0,1\}^{|X|} \to \mathbb{R}$ has sensitivity GS(Q) = 1. Any k of them jointly have sensitivity k. So Laplace Mechanism lets you answer any k subset-sum queries with error $o(\frac{k}{\epsilon})$
 - 1) Recall: Lower bound for blatant non-privacy required $2^{|X|}$ subset-sum queries with error o(|X|) or $\tilde{O}(|X|)$ queries with error $o(\sqrt{|X|})$. So there is a gap we can close!

Privacy for Non-Numeric Queries

The Exponential Mechanism

Output Perturbation

- We know how to handle (a single) numeric query.
 - "How many people in this room have blue eyes?"
 - Perturb the answer by an amount proportional to the sensitivity of the query.
 - Noise of magnitude $O\left(\frac{\Delta}{\epsilon}\right)$ drawn from the Laplace distribution suffices for $(\epsilon, 0)$ -differential privacy

When Output Perturbation Doesn't Make Sense

- What about if we have a non-numeric valued query?
 - "What is the most common eye color in this room?"
- What if the perturbed answer isn't almost as good as the exact answer?
 - "Which price would bring the most money from a set of buyers?

Example: Items for sale



Could set the price of apples at \$1.00 for profit: \$4.00

Could set the price of apples at \$4.01 for profit \$4.01

Best price: \$4.01 2nd best price: \$1.00 Profit if you set the price at \$4.02: \$0 Profit if you set the price at \$1.01: \$1.01



- A mechanism $M: \mathbb{N}^{|X|} \to R$ for some abstract range R.
 - $-i.e. R = \{Red, Blue, Green, Brown, Purple\}$

 $- \qquad R = \{\$1.00, \$1.01, \$1.02, \$1.03, \dots\}$

• Paired with a *quality score:* $q: \mathbb{N}^{|X|} \times R \to \mathbb{R}$

q(D,r) represents how good output r is for database D.

- Relative parameters for privacy, solution quality:
 - Sensitivity of *q*:

 $GS(q) = \max_{r \in R, D, D': ||D - D'||_1 \le 1} |q(D, r) - q(D', r)|$

– Size and structure of *R*.

• How many elements of *R* are high quality? How many are low quality?



Exponential($D, R, q: \mathbb{N}^{|X|} \to R, \epsilon$): 1. Let $\Delta = GS(q)$. 2. Output $r \sim R$ with probability proportional to: $\Pr[r] \sim \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon q(D, r)}{2\Delta}\right)$

Idea: Make high quality outputs exponentially more likely at a rate that depends on the sensitivity of the quality score (and the privacy parameter)



Theorem: The Exponential Mechanism preserves $(\epsilon, 0)$ -differential privacy.



Theorem: The Exponential Mechanism preserves $(\epsilon, 0)$ -differential privacy. **Proof**: Fix any $D, D' \in \mathbb{N}^{|X|}$ with $||D, D'||_1 \leq 1$ and any $r \in R$... $\frac{\Pr[\text{Exponential}(D, R, q, \epsilon) = r]}{\Pr[\text{Exponential}(D', R, q, \epsilon) = r]} = \left(\frac{\exp(\frac{\epsilon q(D, r)}{2\Delta})}{\sum \exp(\frac{\epsilon q(D', r')}{2\Delta})}\right) = \left(\frac{\exp(\frac{\epsilon q(D, r)}{2\Delta})}{\exp(\frac{\epsilon q(D', r)}{2\Delta})}\right) \left(\frac{\sum_{r'} \exp(\frac{\epsilon q(D', r')}{2\Delta})}{\sum_{r'} \exp(\frac{\epsilon q(D, r')}{2\Delta})}\right)$

Exponential
$$(D, R, q: \mathbb{N}^{|X|} \to R, \epsilon)$$
:
1. Let $\Delta = GS(q)$.
2. Output $r \sim R$ with probability proportional to:
 $\Pr[r] \sim \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon q(D, r)}{2\Delta}\right)$

Theorem: The Exponential Mechanism preserves $(\epsilon, 0)$ -differential privacy. **Proof**:

$$= \left(\frac{\exp(\frac{\epsilon q(D,r)}{2\Delta})}{\exp(\frac{\epsilon q(D',r)}{2\Delta})} \right) = \\ \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon (q(D,r) - q(D',r))}{2\Delta}\right) \leq \\ \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon \Delta}{2\Delta}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)$$



Theorem: The Exponential Mechanism preserves (ϵ , 0)-differential privacy. **Proof**:

$$= \left(\frac{\sum_{r'} \exp(\frac{\epsilon q(D',r')}{2\Delta})}{\sum_{r'} \exp(\frac{\epsilon q(D,r')}{2\Delta})}\right) \leq \left(\frac{\sum_{r'} \exp(\frac{\epsilon q(D,r')+\Delta}{2\Delta})}{\sum_{r'} \exp(\frac{\epsilon q(D,r')}{2\Delta})}\right) = \left(\frac{\exp(\frac{\epsilon q(D,r')}{2\Delta})}{\sum_{r'} \exp(\frac{\epsilon q(D,r')}{2\Delta})}\right) = \exp(\frac{\epsilon q(D,r')}{2\Delta})$$

Exponential $(D, R, q: \mathbb{N}^{|X|} \to R, \epsilon)$: 1. Let $\Delta = GS(q)$. 2. Output $r \sim R$ with probability proportional to: $\Pr[r] \sim \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon q(D, r)}{2\Delta}\right)$

Theorem: The Exponential Mechanism preserves $(\epsilon, 0)$ -differential privacy. **Proof**: Recall:

 $\frac{\Pr[\text{Exponential}(D,R,q,\epsilon)=r]}{\Pr[\text{Exponential}(D',R,q,\epsilon)=r]} = \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$ $\leq \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)\exp\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)$ $= \exp(\epsilon)$



But is the answer any good?



But is the answer any good?

It depends...

Define:

$$OPT_q(D) = \max_{r \in R} q(D, r)$$

$$R_{OPT} = \{r \in R : q(D, r) = OPT_q(D)\}$$

$$r^* = \text{Exponential}(D, R, q, \epsilon)$$

Theorem:

$$\Pr\left[q(r^*) \le OPT_q(D) - \frac{2\Delta}{\epsilon} \left(\log\left(\frac{|R|}{|R_{OPT}|}\right) + t\right)\right] \le e^{-t}$$

Theorem:

$$\Pr\left[q(r^*) \le OPT_q(D) - \frac{2\Delta}{\epsilon} \left(\log\left(\frac{|R|}{|R_{OPT}|}\right) + t\right)\right] \le e^{-t}$$

Corollary:

$$\Pr\left[q(r^*) \le OPT_q(D) - \frac{2\Delta}{\epsilon} (\log(|R|) + t)\right] \le e^{-t}$$

Proof:

 $|R_{OPT}| \ge 1$ by definition.

Theorem:

$$\Pr\left[q(r^*) \le OPT_q(D) - \frac{2\Delta}{\epsilon} \left(\log\left(\frac{|R|}{|R_{OPT}|}\right) + t\right)\right] \le e^{-t}$$

Corollary:

$$\mathbb{E}[q(r^*)] \ge OPT_q(D) - \frac{2\Delta}{\epsilon} \left(\log(|R|) + \log(OPT_q(D))\right) - 1$$

Proof:

$$\begin{split} &\Pr\left[q(r^*) \leq OPT_q(D) - \frac{2\Delta}{\epsilon} \left(\log(|R|) + \log(OPT_q(D))\right] \leq \frac{1}{OPT_q(D)} \\ &\Pr\left[q(r^*) \geq OPT_q(D) - \frac{2\Delta}{\epsilon} \left(\log(|R|) + \log(OPT_q(D))\right] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{OPT_q(D)} \end{split}$$

Theorem:

$$\Pr\left[q(r^*) \le OPT_q(D) - \frac{2\Delta}{\epsilon} \left(\log\left(\frac{|R|}{|R_{OPT}|}\right) + t\right)\right] \le e^{-t}$$

Corollary:

$$\mathbb{E}[q(r^*)] \ge OPT_q(D) - \frac{2\Delta}{\epsilon} \left(\log(|R|) + \log(OPT_q(D))\right) - 1$$

Proof:

$$\begin{split} &E[q(r^*)] \ge (x \cdot \Pr[q(r^*) \ge x]) \\ &\ge \left(OPT_q(D) - \frac{2\Delta}{\epsilon} \left(\log(|R|) + \log\left(OPT_q(D)\right) \right) \right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{OPT_q(D)} \right) \\ &> OPT_q(D) - \frac{2\Delta}{\epsilon} \left(\log(|R|) + \log\left(OPT_q(D)\right) \right) - 1 \end{split}$$

Theorem:

$$\Pr\left[q(r^*) \le OPT_q(D) - \frac{2\Delta}{\epsilon} \left(\log\left(\frac{|R|}{|R_{OPT}|}\right) + t\right)\right] \le e^{-t}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr[q(r^*) \le x] \le \frac{\Pr[q(r^*) \le x]}{\Pr[q(r^*) = OPT_q(D)]} \\ &\le \frac{|\mathsf{R}| \exp(\frac{\epsilon x}{2\Delta})}{|\mathsf{R}_{OPT}| \exp(\frac{\epsilon OPT_q(D)}{2\Delta})} \\ &= \frac{|R|}{|R_{OPT}|} \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon \left(x - OPT_q(D)\right)}{2\Delta}\right) = \left(\frac{|R|}{|R_{OPT}|}\right) \exp\left(-\log\left(\frac{|R|}{|R_{OPT}|}\right) - t\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{|R|}{|R_{OPT}|}\right) \left(\frac{|R_{OPT}|}{|R|}\right) e^{-t} = e^{-t} \end{aligned}$$

So if $R = \{\text{Red, Blue, Green, Brown, Purple}\}$ then we can answer "What is the most common eye color in this room?" with a color that is shared by:

$$OPT - \frac{2}{\epsilon}(\log(5) + 3) < OPT - \frac{7.4}{\epsilon}$$
 people
Except with probability: $\leq e^{-3} < .05$

Independent of the number of people in the room. Very small error if *n* is large.



To Muse On

- The exponential mechanism is based on the vector: $\hat{q}: \mathbb{N}^{|X|} \to |R| = (q(D, r_1), q(D, r_2), \dots, q(D, r_{|R|}))$
 - Might have sensitivity $GS(\hat{q}) = |R| \cdot GS(q)$.
 - Exponential Mechanism only depends on GS(q).
- Error has only logarithmic dependence on |R|.
 - Could take exponentially large ranges!
 - But *sampling* from the exponential mechanism efficiently is non-trivial.

To Muse On

- Read [MT07]: "Mechanism Design Via Differential Privacy"
 - Introduces the exponential mechanism
 - Blog post: Describe the application of the exponential mechanism to digital goods auctions.